

## NORMALIZED GROUND STATES FOR A $p$ -LAPLACIAN SYSTEM IN THE MASS SUPER-CRITICAL CASE

Yuhang Tao and Jianjun Zhang

*Communicated by Daniele Cassani*

**Abstract.** In this paper, we study the existence of positive normalized solutions to the following  $p$ -Laplacian system:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + \lambda_1 u^{p-1} = \mu_1 u^{m_1-1} + \beta r_1 u^{r_1-1} v^{r_2} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta_p v + \lambda_2 v^{p-1} = \mu_2 v^{m_2-1} + \beta r_2 u^{r_1} v^{r_2-1} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p = a, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^p = b, \end{cases}$$

where  $1 < p < N$ ,  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \beta, a, b > 0$  are prescribed,  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  are known as the Lagrange multiplier,  $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$  denotes the  $p$ -Laplacian operator. We prove the existence of positive solutions for the coupled purely mass super-critical case (i.e.,  $\frac{p^2}{N} + p < m_1, m_2, r_1 + r_2 < p^*$ ) by a minimization argument based on a closed ball and the Pohozaev constraint.

**Keywords:**  $p$ -Laplacian system, positive normalized solution, coupled purely mass super-critical case.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 35J47, 35J62.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, our objective is to prove the existence of solution to the following  $p$ -Laplacian system:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + \lambda_1 u^{p-1} = \mu_1 u^{m_1-1} + \beta r_1 u^{r_1-1} v^{r_2} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta_p v + \lambda_2 v^{p-1} = \mu_2 v^{m_2-1} + \beta r_2 u^{r_1} v^{r_2-1} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ 0 < u, v \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

with the  $L^p$ -norm constraint:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p dx = a, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^p dx = b. \quad (1.2)$$

Here  $1 < p < N, p > 1, a, b, \mu_1, \mu_2, \beta > 0, \frac{p^2}{N} + p < m_1, m_2, r_1 + r_2 < p^*$ ,

$$p^* := \begin{cases} +\infty, & \text{if } N \leq p, \\ \frac{Np}{N-p}, & \text{if } N > p, \end{cases}$$

and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  are Lagrange multiplier,  $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$  denotes the  $p$ -Laplacian operator.

The  $p$ -Laplacian operator indeed plays a significant role in various fluid dynamics models, see e.g. [11, 14, 24]. It has the capacity to account for complex nonlinear phenomena. For example, it can explain shear thickening and shear thinning in non-Newtonian fluids as well as nonlinear flow in porous media. In the past few years, many scholars have studied the existence of  $p$ -Laplacian equations, see e.g. [29, 30, 32]. In [12], Byeon, Jeanjean and Maris proved the existence of least energy solutions of the following system:

$$-\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u_i|^{p-2} \nabla u_i) = g_i(u), \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

where  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_m) : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m, 1 < p \leq N, g_i(0) = 0$  and there exists  $G \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $g_i(u) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial u_i}(u)$  for  $u \neq 0$ . In [31], Wang studied the components symmetry property of the following  $\gamma$ -Laplacian systems:

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{\gamma-2} \nabla u) = f(u, v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \\ -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla v|^{\gamma-2} \nabla v) = g(u, v) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

Here  $n > \gamma, \gamma > 1$ , and under some monotonicity assumption

$$(X - Y)[f(X, Y) - g(X, Y)] \leq 0, \quad X, Y \geq 0.$$

In [18], Guo, Perera and Zou considered the following critical  $p$ -Laplacian systems:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u - \frac{\lambda a}{p} |u|^{a-2} u |v|^b = \mu_1 |u|^{p^*-2} u + \frac{\alpha \gamma}{p^*} |u|^{\alpha-2} u |v|^\beta, & x \in \Omega, \\ -\Delta_p v - \frac{\lambda b}{p} |u|^a |v|^{b-2} v = \mu_2 |v|^{p^*-2} v + \frac{\beta \gamma}{p^*} |u|^\alpha |v|^{\beta-2} v, & x \in \Omega, \\ u, v \in D_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

where  $N \geq 3, 1 < p < N, \lambda, \mu_1, \mu_2 \geq 0, \gamma \neq 0, a, b, \alpha, \beta > 1$  satisfy  $a + b = p, \alpha + \beta = p^* := \frac{Np}{N-p}, \Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$  or a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . By variational methods, they obtained the existence, nonexistence results of a positive least energy solution and the multiplicity of the nontrivial nonnegative solutions of this problem.

In the case  $p = 2$ , problem (1.1) comes from the study of the following time-dependent systems of coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations:

$$\begin{cases} -i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi_1 = \Delta \Phi_1 + |\Phi_1|^{m_1-2} \Phi_1 + r_1 |\Phi_1|^{r_1-2} |\Phi_2|^{r_2} \Phi_1, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}, \\ -i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi_2 = \Delta \Phi_2 + |\Phi_2|^{m_2-2} \Phi_2 + r_2 |\Phi_1|^{r_1} |\Phi_2|^{r_2-2} \Phi_2, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}, \\ \Phi_j = \Phi_j(x, t) \in \mathbb{C}, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad N \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

The problem (1.3) appears more naturally in mathematical physics and used as model for various physical phenomena, see e.g. [2, 3]. So it is necessary to consider that for any solution  $[\Phi_1, \Phi_2]$  of problem (1.3) with the preserves the  $L^2$ -mass, namely

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Phi_1(t, x)|^2 dx = a, \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Phi_2(t, x)|^2 dx = b, \forall t \in (0, +\infty).$$

Obviously, the solitary wave is a solution of problem (1.3) with the form

$$[\Phi_1, \Phi_2] = [e^{-i\lambda_1 t} u(x), e^{-i\lambda_2 t} v(x)],$$

and satisfies the nonlinear elliptic system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \lambda_1 u = \mu_1 |u|^{m_1-2} u + \beta r_1 |u|^{r_1-2} |v|^{r_2} u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta v + \lambda_2 v = \mu_2 |v|^{m_2-2} v + \beta r_2 |u|^{r_1} |v|^{r_2-2} v & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

with the constraints

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx = a, \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^2 dx = b. \tag{1.5}$$

The different cases of the problem specified by (1.4)–(1.5) have been researched by some mathematicians, which appear in [5–9, 16, 17, 21]. In [7], Bartsch and Soave consider the case  $N = 3$ ,  $\mu_1, \mu_2 > 0$ ,  $m_1 = m_2 = 4$ ,  $r_1 = r_2 = 2$  and  $\beta < 0$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u - \lambda_1 u = \mu_1 u^3 + \beta uv^2, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ -\Delta v - \lambda_2 v = \mu_2 v^3 + \beta u^2 v, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^2 dx = a \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v^2 dx = b. \end{cases}$$

They obtained the existence of positive normalized solutions by using the Pohozaev manifold constraint. Moreover, they also derived the multiplicity results presented in [8]. For the case  $\mu_1, \mu_2 > 0$ ,  $\beta < 0$  and  $2 < m_1, m_2, r_1 + r_2 < \frac{4}{N} + 2$ , Gou and Jeanjean in [16] proved the existence of normalized solutions by means of a minimization argument. They in [5] also obtained a multiplicity result when  $m_1, m_2 < \frac{4}{N} + 2 < r_1 + r_2$  or  $r_1 + r_2 < \frac{4}{N} + 2 < m_1, m_2$ .

When  $\beta > 0$ , the existence results of equations (1.1)–(1.2) are different. In [5, 6], Bartsch, Jeanjean and Soave studied the existence of positive normalized solutions of the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u - \lambda_1 u = \mu_1 u^3 + \beta uv^2 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ -\Delta v - \lambda_2 v = \mu_2 v^3 + \beta u^2 v & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^2 = a, \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v^2 = b. \end{cases}$$

They obtained the existence of normalized solution through the variational argument in two intervals of  $\beta$  depending on  $a, b, \mu_1, \mu_2$ . In [9], Bartsch, Zhong and Zou overcame the dependence of  $\beta$  on the masses  $a, b$  by a new approach based on bifurcation

theory. They obtained the existence of normalized solution provided  $\beta$  is in a range for any  $a, b > 0$ . In [4], Bartsch, Li and Zou investigated the existence and asymptotic properties of normalized ground states of the following Sobolev critical Schrödinger system:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \lambda_1 u = |u|^{2^*-2}u + \beta r_1 |u|^{r_1-2} |v|^{r_2} u, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta v + \lambda_2 v = |v|^{2^*-2}v + \beta r_2 |u|^{r_1} |v|^{r_2-2} v, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 = a, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v^2 = b, \end{cases}$$

where  $N = 3, 4$ ,  $r_1, r_2 > 1$  and  $2 < r_1 + r_2 < 2^*$ . When  $\beta > 0$ , they obtained the existence and non-existence results in different cases. While when  $\beta < 0$ , they proved the ground state does not exist. Recently, Jeanjean, Zhang and Zhong derived a new range of  $\beta$  by combining Liouville type theorem with the closed balls of radius  $a, b$  in [21]. More precisely, they obtained the existence of positive normalized ground states of the following systems of coupled Schrödinger equations:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \lambda_1 u = \mu_1 u^{m_1-1} + \beta r_1 u^{r_1-1} v^{r_2} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta v + \lambda_2 v = \mu_2 v^{m_2-1} + \beta r_2 u^{r_1} v^{r_2-1} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 = a, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v^2 = b, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \beta > 0$  and  $\frac{4}{N} + 2 < m_1, m_2 < 2^*$ . In particular, if  $N = 1, 2$  or  $N = 3, 4$  with  $r_1, r_2 \in (1, 2)$ , they just need  $\beta > 0$  to guarantee that the existence result holds for any  $a, b > 0$ .

However, up to now, there have been relatively few studies on the problems of this kind of  $p$ -Laplacian system (1.1) with the mass constraints (1.2). It is worthwhile to investigate the existence of the ground state solutions to (1.1)–(1.2).

Denote  $\mathcal{W} := W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \times W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , and we define the energy functional corresponding to (1.1) as follows:

$$J_\beta[u, v] = \frac{1}{p} (\|\nabla u\|_p^p + \|\nabla v\|_p^p) - \frac{\mu_1}{m_1} \|u\|_{m_1}^{m_1} - \frac{\mu_2}{m_2} \|v\|_{m_2}^{m_2} - \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{r_1} |v|^{r_2} dx,$$

constrained to the  $\mathcal{S}_a \times \mathcal{S}_b$ , where

$$\mathcal{S}_a := \{u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \|u\|_p^p = a\}, \quad \mathcal{S}_b := \{v \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \|v\|_p^p = b\}.$$

Note that since  $\frac{p^2}{N} + p < m_1, m_2, r_1 + r_2 < p^*$ ,  $J_\beta|_{\mathcal{S}_a \times \mathcal{S}_b}$  is unbounded from below, it is necessary to consider the so-called Pohozaev manifold

$$\mathcal{P}_\beta := \{[u, v] \in \mathcal{W} \setminus \{[0, 0]\} : P_\beta[u, v] = 0\},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} P_\beta[u, v] &= \|\nabla u\|_p^p + \|\nabla v\|_p^p - \mu_1 \delta_{m_1} \|u\|_{m_1}^{m_1} - \mu_2 \delta_{m_2} \|v\|_{m_2}^{m_2} \\ &\quad - (r_1 + r_2) \delta_{r_1+r_2} \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{r_1} |v|^{r_2} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta_m := \frac{N}{p} - \frac{N}{m}$ .

Prompted by the above literature and methods, we use the similar way in [21]. So we define

$$\mathcal{D}_a := \{u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \|u\|_p^p \leq a\}, \quad \mathcal{D}_b := \{v \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \|v\|_p^p \leq b\}.$$

We will prove the existence of ground state solution on  $\mathcal{D}_a \times \mathcal{D}_b$ . So, for any  $a, b > 0$ , we can define

$$\mathcal{P}_\beta^{(a,b)} := \mathcal{P}_\beta \cap (\mathcal{D}_a \times \mathcal{D}_b).$$

We denote

$$M_\beta(a, b) := \inf_{[u,v] \in \mathcal{P}_\beta^{(a,b)}} J_\beta[u, v]. \tag{1.6}$$

Next, we need to show that  $[u, v] \in \mathcal{S}_a \times \mathcal{S}_b$  provided  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$ . At this point, the Liouville type theorem plays a vital role.

Now, we can state our main results as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $1 < p < N \leq p^2$ ,  $m_1, m_2, r_1 + r_2 \in \left(\frac{p^2}{N} + p, p^*\right)$  and  $r_1, r_2 > 1$ . There exist  $b_{m_1, m_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, a}$  defined in (2.7), and  $\beta_{m_1, \mu_1, a, N, r}, \beta_{m_2, \mu_2, b, N, r}$  defined in (2.4), such that the following hold:*

- (i) *For any  $a > 0$  and  $b \in [b_{m_1, m_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, a}, +\infty)$ , there exists a ground state solution  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, u, v)$  to the equations (1.1)–(1.2), provided that either  $r_1 < p$  with  $\beta > 0$  or  $r_1 = p$  with  $\beta > \beta_{m_2, \mu_2, b, N, r}$ .*
- (ii) *For any  $a > 0$  and  $b \in (0, b_{m_1, m_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, a}]$ , there exists a ground state solution  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, u, v)$  to the equations (1.1)–(1.2), provided that either  $r_2 < p$  with  $\beta > 0$  or  $r_2 = p$  with  $\beta > \beta_{m_1, \mu_1, a, N, r}$ .*

**Remark 1.2.** When  $p = 2$ , our theorem holds for  $N = 3, 4$ , which generalizes the result for Schrödinger system in [21] for the case  $2 < N$ .

Furthermore, we can study some asymptotic properties of the normalized solutions obtained in Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Under the assumption of Theorem 1.1. Let  $m_{m_1, \mu_1, a}, m_{m_2, \mu_2, b}$  defined in (2.6) and  $w_{m_1, \mu_1, a}, w_{m_2, \mu_2, b}$  defined in (2.3). Then the following results hold:*

- (i) *For any  $a > 0$ , if either  $b \in [b_{m_1, m_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, a}, +\infty)$  and  $r_1 < p$  or  $b \in (0, b_{m_1, m_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, a}]$  and  $r_2 < p$ , then as  $\beta \rightarrow 0^+$ , we have*

$$M_\beta(a, b) \rightarrow \min\{m_{m_1, \mu_1, a}, m_{m_2, \mu_2, b}\}.$$

*In particular,*

$$[u_\beta, v_\beta] \rightarrow \begin{cases} [0, w_{m_2, \mu_2, b}] & \text{if } b \in (b_{m_1, m_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, a}, +\infty) \text{ and } r_1 < p, \\ [w_{m_1, \mu_1, a}, 0] & \text{if } b \in (0, b_{m_1, m_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, a}) \text{ and } r_2 < p. \end{cases}$$

- (ii) *For any  $a, b > 0$ ,  $M_\beta(a, b) \rightarrow 0^+$  as  $\beta \rightarrow +\infty$ .*

2. PROPERTIES OF A  $p$ -LAPLACIAN PROBLEM

In this section, we introduce some results about  $p$ -Laplacian equations. Firstly, a couple of nonnegative solutions of (1.1) is semitrivial when one component is 0 while the other is not. In order to understand the properties of semitrivial solutions of (1.1), we need the ground state solution to the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + u^{p-1} = u^{m-1} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

For  $p < N$ ,  $m \in \left(\frac{p^2+p}{N}, p^*\right)$ , the uniqueness and existence of ground state of (2.1) are given by [27, Theorem 3]. Moreover, the ground state is positive, radially symmetric and decreasing.

Denote the ground solution of (2.1) by  $U_m$ . Then for any  $a, \mu > 0$  fixed, by scaling  $U_m$ , we can obtain the ground state solution of the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + \lambda u^{p-1} = \mu u^{m-1} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ \|u\|_p^p = a, \quad u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

We denote the ground state of (2.2) by  $w_{m,\mu,a}$ . More precisely,

$$w_{m,\mu,a} := \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^{\frac{1}{m-p}} U_m \left(\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}} x\right), \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\lambda := \mu^{-\frac{p^2}{N(m-p)-p^2}} \|U_m\|_p^{\frac{p^2(m-p)}{N(m-p)-p^2}} a^{-\frac{p(m-p)}{N(m-p)-p^2}}.$$

Then, we can define

$$\beta_{m,\mu,a,N,r} = \frac{1}{p} \inf_{h \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h|^p dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w_{m,\mu,a}|^r |h|^p dx}. \quad (2.4)$$

From [32], we can obtain the positive ground state solution of (2.1) as well. Moreover, some properties of the ground state solution are also presented in [32].

Define the energy functional associated to (2.2) as

$$J_{m,\mu}(u) := \frac{1}{p} \|\nabla u\|_p^p - \frac{\mu}{m} \|u\|_m^m, \quad (2.5)$$

and the corresponding Pohozaev identity  $\mathcal{P}_{m,\mu,a}$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{P}_{m,\mu,a} := \{u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \|\nabla u\|_p^p - \mu \delta_m \|u\|_m^m = 0\}.$$

For any  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , define

$$(t \star u)(x) := t^{\frac{N}{p}} u(tx).$$

Then we have

$$[t \star u(x), t \star v(x)] = [t^{\frac{N}{p}} u(tx), t^{\frac{N}{p}} v(tx)] \in \mathcal{S}_{\|u\|_p^p} \times \mathcal{S}_{\|v\|_p^p}.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $N \geq 2$ ,  $m \in (\frac{p^2+p}{N}, p^*)$ . Then  $w_{m,\mu,a} \in \mathcal{P}_{m,\mu,a}$  and*

$$m_{m,\mu,a} := J_{m,\mu}(w_{m,\mu,a}) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{S}_a} \max_{t > 0} J_{m,\mu}(t \star u) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{P}_{m,\mu,a}} J_{m,\mu}(u),$$

where  $w_{m,\mu,a}$  is the positive ground state solution to (2.2).

*Proof.* The proof can be found in [32, Section 5]. □

Now, we can derive from a direct calculation that

$$\begin{aligned} m_{m,\mu,a} &= J_{m,\mu}(w_{m,\mu,a}) = \frac{1}{p} \|\nabla w_{m,\mu,a}\|_p^p - \frac{\mu}{m} \|w_{m,\mu,a}\|_m^m \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{m\delta_m} \right) \|\nabla w_{m,\mu,a}\|_m^m \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{m\delta_m} \right) \|U_m\|_p^{\frac{p[m_p - N(m-p)]}{N(m-p)-p^2}} \\ &\quad \cdot \|\nabla U_m\|_p^p \mu^{-\frac{p^2}{N(m-p)-p^2}} a^{\frac{N(m-p)-m_p}{N(m-p)-p^2}} \\ &= -\frac{N(m-p) - p^2}{p[N(m-p) - m_p]} \|U_m\|_p^{\frac{p^2(m-p)}{N(m-p)-p^2}} \mu^{-\frac{p^2}{N(m-p)-p^2}} a^{\frac{N(m-p)-m_p}{N(m-p)-p^2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Then we define

$$\begin{aligned} b_{m_1,m_2,\mu_1,\mu_2,a} &:= \left[ \frac{N(m_1-p) - p^2}{N(m_1-p) - m_1p} \cdot \frac{N(m_2-p) - m_2p}{N(m_2-p) - p^2} \right]^{\frac{N(m_2-p)-p^2}{N(m_2-p)-m_2p}} \\ &\quad \cdot \|U_{m_1}\|_p^{\frac{p^2(m_1-p)}{N(m_1-p)-p^2}} \cdot \frac{N(m_2-p)-p^2}{N(m_2-p)-m_2p} \|U_{m_2}\|_p^{-\frac{p^2(m_2-p)}{N(m_2-p)-m_2p}} \\ &\quad \cdot \mu_1^{-\frac{p^2}{N(m_1-p)-p^2}} \cdot \frac{N(m_2-p)-p^2}{N(m_2-p)-m_2p} \mu_2^{\frac{p}{N(m_2-p)-m_2p}} \\ &\quad \cdot a^{\frac{N(m_1-p)-m_1p}{N(m_1-p)-p^2} \cdot \frac{N(m_2-p)-p^2}{N(m_2-p)-m_2p}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

It is easy to check that the semi-trivial solutions of (1.1) satisfy

$$J_\beta[u, 0] = \frac{1}{p} \|\nabla u\|_p^p - \frac{\mu_1}{m_1} \|u\|_{m_1}^{m_1} = J_{m_1, \mu_1}(u),$$

and

$$J_\beta[0, v] = \frac{1}{p} \|\nabla v\|_p^p - \frac{\mu_2}{m_2} \|v\|_{m_2}^{m_2} = J_{m_2, \mu_2}(v).$$

**Remark 2.2.** By the definition of  $m_{m_1, \mu_1, a}$ ,  $m_{m_2, \mu_2, a}$ , we have

$$J_\beta[0, w_{m_2, \mu_2, b}] = m_{m_2, \mu_2, b} < (\text{resp. } =, >) m_{m_1, \mu_1, a} = J_\beta[w_{m_1, \mu_1, a}, 0]$$

if and only if

$$b > (\text{resp. } =, <) b_{m_1, m_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, a}.$$

### 3. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we introduce some preliminary results. Firstly, let us recall the famous Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality.

**Lemma 3.1** ([1, 25]). *For every  $m \in (p, p^*)$ , there exists a sharp constant  $C_{N, m} > 0$  such that*

$$\|u\|_m \leq C_{N, m} \|\nabla u\|_p^{\delta_m} \|u\|_p^{1-\delta_m}, \quad \forall u \in W^{1, p}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\delta_m = \frac{N}{p} - \frac{N}{m}$ .

Next, we introduce some properties of the Pohozaev manifold.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $[u, v] \in \mathcal{W}$  be a weak solution of (1.1), then the following identity holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{N-p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^p + |\nabla v|^p) + \frac{N}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\lambda_1 |u|^p + \lambda_2 |v|^p) \\ &= N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{\mu_1}{m_1} |u|^{m_1} + \frac{\mu_2}{m_2} |v|^{m_2} \right) + N\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{r_1} |v|^{r_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

*Proof.* By the regularity of the elliptic equation (see, e.g., [10]),  $u, v \in C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Let

$$\mathcal{L}_i(s, \xi) := \frac{1}{p}|\xi|^p + \frac{\lambda_i}{p}|s|^p - \frac{\mu_i}{m_i}|s|^{m_i}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

and

$$f_1(x) := \beta r_1 |u(x)|^{r_1-2} u(x) |v(x)|^{r_2}, \quad f_2(x) := \beta r_2 |u(x)|^{r_1} |v(x)|^{r_2-2} v(x).$$

Then it follows from [13, Lemma 1] that, for any  $h \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} D_i h_j D_{\xi_i} \mathcal{L}_1(u, \nabla u) D_j u dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\operatorname{div} h) \mathcal{L}_1(u, \nabla u) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (h \cdot \nabla u) f_1 dx, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} D_i h_j D_{\xi_i} \mathcal{L}_2(v, \nabla v) D_j v dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\operatorname{div} h) \mathcal{L}_2(v, \nabla v) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (h \cdot \nabla v) f_2 dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Now we can choose  $\phi \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\phi(x) \equiv 1$  for  $|x| \leq 1$ ,  $\phi \equiv 0$  for  $|x| \geq 2$  and  $0 \leq \phi(x) \leq 1$ . Define  $\phi_k(x) := \phi(\frac{x}{k})$ . Taking  $h(x) = \phi_k(x)x$  respectively in (3.3) and (3.4), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} D_i \phi \left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \frac{x_j}{k} |\nabla u|^{p-2} D_i u D_j u dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi \left(\frac{x}{k}\right) |\nabla u|^p dx \\ & - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ (\nabla \phi) \left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \cdot \frac{x}{k} \right] \left[ |\nabla u|^p + \frac{\lambda_1}{p} |u|^p - \frac{\mu_1}{m_1} |u|^{m_1} \right] dx \\ & - N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi \left(\frac{x}{k}\right) \left[ \frac{1}{p} |\nabla u|^p + \frac{\lambda_1}{p} |u|^p - \frac{\mu_1}{m_1} |u|^{m_1} \right] dx \\ & = \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ \phi \left(\frac{x}{k}\right) x \cdot \nabla u \right] [r_1 |u|^{r_1-2} u |v|^{r_2}] dx, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} D_i \phi \left( \frac{x}{k} \right) \frac{x_j}{k} |\nabla v|^{p-2} D_i v D_j v dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi \left( \frac{x}{k} \right) |\nabla v|^p dx \\
 & - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ (\nabla \phi) \left( \frac{x}{k} \right) \cdot \frac{x}{k} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{p} |\nabla v|^p + \frac{\lambda_2}{p} |v|^p - \frac{\mu_2}{m_2} |v|^{m_2} \right] dx \\
 & - N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi \left( \frac{x}{k} \right) \left[ |\nabla v|^p + \frac{\lambda_2}{p} |v|^p - \frac{\mu_2}{m_2} |v|^{m_2} \right] dx \\
 & = \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ \phi \left( \frac{x}{k} \right) x \cdot \nabla v \right] [r_2 |v|^{r_2-2} v |u|^{r_1}] dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

By the Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence theorem, as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ , we can derive

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} D_i \phi \left( \frac{x}{k} \right) \frac{x_j}{k} |\nabla z|^{p-2} D_i z D_j z dx \rightarrow 0, \quad z = \{u, v\}, \\
 & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi \left( \frac{x}{k} \right) |\nabla z|^p dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla z|^p dx, \quad z = \{u, v\}, \\
 & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ (\nabla \phi) \left( \frac{x}{k} \right) \cdot \frac{x}{k} \right] \left[ |\nabla z|^p + \frac{\lambda_i}{p} |z|^p - \frac{\mu_i}{m_i} |z|^{m_i} \right] dx \rightarrow 0, \quad (i, z) = \{(1, u), (2, v)\}, \\
 & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi \left( \frac{x}{k} \right) \left[ \frac{1}{p} |\nabla z|^p + \frac{\lambda_i}{p} |z|^p - \frac{\mu_i}{m_i} |z|^{m_i} \right] dx \\
 & \quad \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[ \frac{1}{p} |\nabla z|^p + \frac{\lambda_i}{p} |z|^p - \frac{\mu_i}{m_i} |z|^{m_i} \right] dx, \quad (i, z) = \{(1, u), (2, v)\}, \\
 & \sum_{i=1}^N \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi \left( \frac{x}{k} \right) x_i D_i u (r_1 |u|^{r_1-2} u |v|^{r_2}) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi \left( \frac{x}{k} \right) x_i D_i v (r_2 |u|^{r_1} |v|^{r_2-2} v) dx \right] \\
 & = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi \left( \frac{x}{k} \right) (|u|^{r_1} |v|^{r_2})_{x_i} x_i dx \\
 & = N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi \left( \frac{x}{k} \right) (|u|^{r_1} |v|^{r_2}) dx + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} D_i \phi \left( \frac{x}{k} \right) \frac{x_i}{k} (|u|^{r_1} |v|^{r_2}) dx \\
 & \rightarrow N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u|^{r_1} |v|^{r_2}) dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, combining (3.5) and (3.6), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{N-p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^p + |\nabla v|^p) + \frac{N}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\lambda_1 |u|^p + \lambda_2 |v|^p) \\ &= N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{\mu_1}{m_1} |u|^{m_1} + \frac{\mu_2}{m_2} |v|^{m_2} \right) + N\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{r_1} |v|^{r_2}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Lemma 3.3.** *If  $[u, v]$  is a solution of (1.1) for some  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $[u, v] \in \mathcal{P}_\beta$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $[u, v]$  is a solution of (1.1), it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^p dx + \lambda_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p dx = \mu_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{m_1} dx + \beta r_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{r_1} |v|^{r_2} dx,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^p dx + \lambda_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^p dx = \mu_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{m_2} dx + \beta r_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{r_1} |v|^{r_2} dx.$$

On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{N-p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^p + |\nabla v|^p) dx + \frac{N}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\lambda_1 |u|^p + \lambda_2 |v|^p) dx \\ &= N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \frac{\mu_1}{m_1} |u|^{m_1} + \frac{\mu_2}{m_2} |v|^{m_2} \right) dx + N\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{r_1} |v|^{r_2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above three formulas, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} P_\beta[u, v] &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^p + |\nabla v|^p) dx - \mu_1 \delta_{m_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{m_1} dx - \mu_2 \delta_{m_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^{m_2} dx \\ &\quad - (r_1 + r_2) \delta_{r_1+r_2} \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{r_1} |v|^{r_2} dx \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Now, we define  $\Psi_{[u,v]}^\beta : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{[u,v]}^\beta(t) &:= J_\beta[t \star u, t \star v] \\ &= \frac{1}{p} [\|\nabla u\|_p^p + \|\nabla v\|_p^p] t^p - \frac{\mu_1}{m_1} \|u\|_{m_1}^{m_1} t^{m_1 \delta_{m_1}} \\ &\quad - \frac{\mu_2}{m_2} \|v\|_{m_2}^{m_2} t^{m_2 \delta_{m_2}} - \beta \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{r_1} |v|^{r_2} dx \right) t^{(r_1+r_2) \delta_{r_1+r_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $[u, v] \in \mathcal{W} \setminus \{[0, 0]\}$ , then for any  $t > 0$ ,  $\Psi'_{[u, v]}(t) = 0$  if and only if  $[t \star u, t \star v] \in \mathcal{P}$ .*

*Proof.* By direct calculation, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\Psi_{[u, v]}^\beta)'(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} J_\beta[t \star u, t \star v] \\
 &= (\|\nabla u\|_p^p + \|\nabla v\|_p^p) t^{p-1} - \mu_1 \delta_{m_1} \|u\|_{m_1}^{m_1} t^{m_1 \delta_{m_1} - 1} \\
 &\quad - \mu_2 \delta_{m_2} \|v\|_{m_2}^{m_2} t^{m_2 \delta_{m_2} - 1} \\
 &\quad - (r_1 + r_2) \delta_{r_1 + r_2} \beta \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{r_1} |v|^{r_2} dx \right) t^{(r_1 + r_2) \delta_{r_1 + r_2} - 1} \\
 &= \frac{P_\beta[t \star u, t \star v]}{t}, \quad \forall t > 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Hence, we can obtain that  $(\Psi_{[u, v]}^\beta)'(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow P_\beta[t \star u, t \star v] = 0$  for any  $t > 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.**  $\mathcal{P}_\beta$  is a  $C^1$  manifold of codimension 1 in  $\mathcal{W}$ .

*Proof.* For any  $[u, v] \in \mathcal{P}_\beta$ , suppose by contradiction that  $P'_\beta[u, v] = 0$ , then  $[u, v]$  satisfies the following system:

$$\begin{cases}
 -p \Delta_p u - \mu_1 m_1 \delta_{m_1} |u|^{m_1 - 2} u \\
 \quad - \beta r_1 (r_1 + r_2) \delta_{r_1 + r_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{r_1 - 2} |v|^{r_2} u dx = 0, \\
 -p \Delta_p v - \mu_2 m_2 \delta_{m_2} |v|^{m_2 - 2} v \\
 \quad - \beta r_2 (r_1 + r_2) \delta_{r_1 + r_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{r_1} |v|^{r_2 - 2} v dx = 0.
 \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

Similar to Lemma 3.2, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{p}{p^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^p + |\nabla v|^p) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\mu_1 \delta_{m_1} |u|^{m_1} + \mu_2 \delta_{m_2} |v|^{m_2}) \\
 &\quad + \beta (r_1 + r_2) \delta_{r_1 + r_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{r_1} |v|^{r_2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Combining (3.9) with  $P_\beta[u, v] = 0$ , we deduce that

$$\frac{p}{p^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^p + |\nabla v|^p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^p + |\nabla v|^p),$$

which implies that  $\|\nabla u\|_p^p = 0$  and  $\|\nabla v\|_p^p = 0$ . Then  $[u, v] = [0, 0]$  in  $\mathcal{W}$ . This is a contradiction with  $[0, 0] \neq [u, v] \in \mathcal{P}_\beta$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** *Suppose that  $[u, v]$  is a critical point of  $J_\beta|_{\mathcal{P}_\beta^{(a,b)}}$ , then there exist some  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$J'_\beta[u, v] + \lambda_1[u, 0] + \lambda_2[0, v] = 0.$$

*Proof.* For any  $[u, v] \in \mathcal{P}_\beta^{(a,b)}$ , by direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi^\beta_{[u,v]})''(1) &= (p-1)(\|\nabla u\|_p^p + \|\nabla v\|_p^p) \\ &\quad - (m_1\delta_{m_1} - 1)\mu_1\delta_{m_1}\|u\|_{m_1}^{m_1} - (m_2\delta_{m_2} - 1)\mu_2\delta_{m_2}\|v\|_{m_2}^{m_2} \\ &\quad - [(r_1 + r_2)\delta_{r_1+r_2} - 1](r_1 + r_2)\delta_{r_1+r_2}\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{r_1}|v|^{r_2} dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

On the other hand, by  $P_\beta[u, v] = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u\|_p^p + \|\nabla v\|_p^p &= \mu_1\delta_{m_1}\|u\|_{m_1}^{m_1} + \mu_2\delta_{m_2}\|v\|_{m_2}^{m_2} \\ &\quad + (r_1 + r_2)\delta_{r_1+r_2}\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{r_1}|v|^{r_2} dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Combining (3.10) and (3.11), we can obtain that  $(\Psi^\beta_{[u,v]})''(1) < 0$ .

From Lemma 3.5, we have that  $P'_\beta[u, v] \neq 0$  and there exist  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$J'_\beta[u, v] + \lambda_1[u, 0] + \lambda_2[0, v] + \nu P'_\beta[u, v] = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Now, we just need to show that  $\nu = 0$ . The functional of equation (3.12) is defined as

$$\Phi_\beta[u, v] := J_\beta[u, v] + \lambda_1\|u\|_p^p + \lambda_2\|v\|_p^p + \nu P_\beta[u, v].$$

Similar to Lemma 3.4,  $[u, v]$  satisfies a Pohozaev identity which is in the form of  $\frac{d}{dt}\Phi_\beta[t \star u, t \star v]|_{t=1} = 0$ . Moreover, through direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt}\Phi_\beta[t \star u, t \star v]|_{t=1} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} [J_\beta[t \star u, t \star v] + \lambda_1\|u\|_p^p + \lambda_2\|v\|_p^p + \nu P_\beta[t \star u, t \star v]]|_{t=1} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \Psi^\beta_{[u,v]}(t) + \nu t(\Psi^\beta_{[u,v]})'(t) \right] \Big|_{t=1} \\ &= (1 + \nu)(\Psi^\beta_{[u,v]})'(1) + \nu(\Psi^\beta_{[u,v]})''(1). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $(\Psi^\beta_{[u,v]})''(1) < 0$ . Hence, we can deduce that  $\nu = 0$ . □

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $\frac{p^2}{N} + p < m_1, m_2, r_1 + r_2 < p^*$ . For every  $[0, 0] \neq [u, v] \in \mathcal{D}_a \times \mathcal{D}_b$ , there exists a unique  $t = t_{[u,v]} > 0$  such that  $[t \star u, t \star v] \in \mathcal{P}_\beta^{(a,b)}$ . Moreover,  $t_{[u,v]} < (\text{resp. } =, >) 1$  if and only if  $P_\beta[u, v] < (\text{resp. } =, >) 0$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $[u, v] \in \mathcal{D}_a \times \mathcal{D}_b$  and  $t > 0$ , we have that  $\|t \star u\|_p^p = \|u\|_p^p \leq a$  and  $\|t \star v\|_p^p = \|v\|_p^p \leq b$ . So we just need to verify that there exists a unique  $t$  such that  $P_\beta[t \star u, t \star v] = 0$ . Since  $\frac{p^2}{N} + p < m_1, m_2, r_1 + r_2 < p^*$ , we have that

$$m_1 \delta_{m_1}, m_2 \delta_{m_2}, (r_1 + r_2) \delta_{r_1+r_2} > p.$$

Then it follows from (3.7) that there exists just one point  $t = t_{[u,v]}$  such that  $(\Psi_{[u,v]}^\beta)'(t) = 0$ , and

$$(\Psi_{[u,v]}^\beta)'(s) > 0, \forall s \in (0, t_{[u,v]}), \quad (\Psi_{[u,v]}^\beta)'(s) < 0, \forall s \in (t_{[u,v]}, +\infty),$$

which means that  $P_\beta[t \star u, t \star v] = 0$  by Lemma 3.4. And  $t$  is the maximum critical point of  $\Psi_{[u,v]}^\beta(t)$ .

Moreover, since  $P_\beta[u, v] = (\Psi_{[u,v]}^\beta)'(1)$ , we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} P_\beta[u, v] < (\text{resp. } =, >) 0 &\Leftrightarrow (\Psi_{[u,v]}^\beta)'(1) < (\text{resp. } =, >) 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow t_{[u,v]} < (\text{resp. } =, >) 1. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Denote the Schwartz symmetrization of  $u$  as  $u^*$ . We can derive the following result.

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $\frac{p^2}{N} + p < m_1, m_2, r_1 + r_2 < p^*$ . For any  $[u, v] \in \mathcal{P}_\beta^{(a,b)}$ , there exists a unique  $t = t_{[u^*, v^*]} \in (0, 1]$  such that  $[t \star u^*, t \star v^*] \in \mathcal{P}_\beta^{(a,b)}$  and  $J_\beta[t \star u^*, t \star v^*] \leq J_\beta[u, v]$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $[u, v] \in \mathcal{P}_\beta^{(a,b)}$ , we have  $[u, v] \neq [0, 0]$ . So by  $\|u^*\|_p^p = \|u\|_p^p$  and  $\|v^*\|_p^p = \|v\|_p^p$ , we see that  $[u^*, v^*] \in \mathcal{D}_a \times \mathcal{D}_b \setminus \{[0, 0]\}$ . Then by Lemma 3.7, there exists a unique  $t = t_{[u^*, v^*]} > 0$  such that  $[t \star u^*, t \star v^*] \in \mathcal{P}_\beta^{(a,b)}$ .

By the properties of rearrangement, we also have that

$$\|\nabla u^*\|_p^p \leq \|\nabla u\|_p^p, \quad \|\nabla v^*\|_p^p \leq \|\nabla v\|_p^p, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u^*|^{r_1} |v^*|^{r_2} dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{r_1} |v|^{r_2} dx.$$

Thus, we have  $P_\beta[u^*, v^*] \leq P_\beta[u, v] = 0$  and  $J_\beta[u^*, v^*] \leq J_\beta[u, v]$ . By Lemma 3.7 again, we can get the fact that  $t = t_{[u^*, v^*]} \leq 1$ .

Moreover, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{s>0} J_\beta[s \star u^*, s \star v^*] &= J_\beta[t \star u^*, t \star v^*] = J_\beta[(t \star u)^*, (t \star v)^*] \\ &\leq J_\beta[t \star u, t \star v] \leq \max_{s>0} J_\beta[s \star u, s \star v] = J_\beta[u, v]. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Lemma 3.9.** *Let  $1 < p < N$ ,  $\frac{p^2}{N} + p < m_1, m_2, r_1 + r_2 < p^*$ . Then for any  $[u, v] \in \mathcal{P}_\beta$ , there exists a constant  $C_0 > 0$  such that*

$$J_\beta[u, v] \geq C_0 (\|\nabla u\|_p^p + \|\nabla v\|_p^p).$$

*Proof.* Since  $p + \frac{p^2}{N} < m_1, m_2, r_1 + r_2 < p^*$ , we have

$$m_1\delta_{m_1}, m_2\delta_{m_2}, (r_1 + r_2)\delta_{r_1+r_2} > p,$$

Define

$$\kappa := \max \left\{ \frac{1}{m_1\delta_{m_1}}, \frac{1}{m_2\delta_{m_2}}, \frac{1}{(r_1 + r_2)\delta_{r_1+r_2}} \right\} < \frac{1}{p}.$$

Hence, for any  $[u, v] \in \mathcal{P}_\beta$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa (\|\nabla u\|_p^p + \|\nabla v\|_p^p) &= \kappa \left[ \mu_1\delta_{m_1}\|u\|_{m_1}^{m_1} + \mu_2\delta_{m_2}\|v\|_{m_2}^{m_2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \beta(r_1 + r_2)\delta_{r_1+r_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{r_1}|v|^{r_2} dx \right] \\ &\geq \frac{\mu_1}{m_1}\|u\|_{m_1}^{m_1} + \frac{\mu_2}{m_2}\|v\|_{m_2}^{m_2} + \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{r_1}|v|^{r_2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, one can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} J_\beta[u, v] &= \frac{1}{p} (\|\nabla u\|_p^p + \|\nabla v\|_p^p) - \frac{\mu_1}{m_1}\|u\|_{m_1}^{m_1} - \frac{\mu_2}{m_2}\|v\|_{m_2}^{m_2} - \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{r_1}|v|^{r_2} dx \\ &\geq \left( \frac{1}{p} - \kappa \right) (\|\nabla u\|_p^p + \|\nabla v\|_p^p) =: C_0 (\|\nabla u\|_p^p + \|\nabla v\|_p^p). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.9 above, we can deduce the following result.

**Corollary 3.10.** *Let  $1 < p < N$ ,  $p + \frac{p^2}{N} < m_1, m_2, r_1 + r_2 < p^*$ . For any  $a, b > 0$ ,  $J_\beta|_{\mathcal{P}_\beta^{(a,b)}}$  is coercive.*

**Lemma 3.11.** *Let  $1 < p < N$ ,  $p + \frac{p^2}{N} < m_1, m_2, r_1 + r_2 < p^*$ . For any  $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$ , there exists a constant  $R_0 > 0$  such that*

$$\inf_{[u,v] \in \mathcal{P}_\beta^{(a,b)}} (\|\nabla u\|_p^p + \|\nabla v\|_p^p) \geq R_0.$$

*Proof.* Suppose by contradiction that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough, there exists  $[u_0, v_0] \in \mathcal{P}_\beta^{(a,b)}$  such that

$$(\|\nabla u_0\|_p^p + \|\nabla v_0\|_p^p) < \varepsilon.$$

By the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality (3.1), Hölder inequality and Young inequality, for any  $[u, v] \in \mathcal{W}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{m_1}\mu_1\|u\|_{m_1}^{m_1} &\leq \delta_{m_1}\mu_1 C_{N,m_1}^{m_1} a^{m_1(1-\delta_{m_1})} \|\nabla u\|_p^{m_1\delta_{m_1}} \\ &\leq \delta_{m_1}\mu_1 C_{N,m_1}^{m_1} a^{m_1(1-\delta_{m_1})} (\|\nabla u\|_p^p + \|\nabla v\|_p^p)^{\frac{m_1\delta_{m_1}}{p}} \\ &=: R_1 (\|\nabla u\|_p^p + \|\nabla v\|_p^p)^{\frac{m_1\delta_{m_1}}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{m_2} \mu_2 \|v\|_{m_2}^{m_2} &\leq \delta_{m_2} \mu_2 C_{N,m_2}^{m_2} b^{m_2(1-\delta_{m_2})} \|\nabla v\|_p^{m_2 \delta_{m_2}} \\ &\leq \delta_{m_2} \mu_2 C_{N,m_2}^{m_2} b^{m_2(1-\delta_{m_2})} (\|\nabla u\|_p^p + \|\nabla v\|_p^p)^{\frac{m_2 \delta_{m_2}}{p}} \\ &=: R_2 (\|\nabla u\|_p^p + \|\nabla v\|_p^p)^{\frac{m_2 \delta_{m_2}}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\beta(r_1 + r_2) \delta_{r_1+r_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{r_1} |v|^{r_2} dx \\ &\leq \beta(r_1 + r_2) \delta_{r_1+r_2} \|u\|_{r_1+r_2}^{r_1} \|v\|_{r_1+r_2}^{r_2} \\ &\leq \beta(r_1 + r_2) \delta_{r_1+r_2} C_{N,r_1+r_2}^{r_1+r_2} a^{r_1(1-\delta_{r_1+r_2})} b^{r_2(1-\delta_{r_1+r_2})} \\ &\quad \cdot (\|\nabla u\|_p^p + \|\nabla v\|_p^p)^{\frac{(r_1+r_2)\delta_{r_1+r_2}}{p}} \\ &=: R_3 (\|\nabla u\|_p^p + \|\nabla v\|_p^p)^{\frac{(r_1+r_2)\delta_{r_1+r_2}}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} P_\beta[u_0, v_0] &\geq (\|\nabla u_0\|_p^p + \|\nabla v_0\|_p^p) - R_1 (\|\nabla u_0\|_p^p + \|\nabla v_0\|_p^p)^{\frac{m_1 \delta_{m_1}}{p}} \\ &\quad - R_2 (\|\nabla u_0\|_p^p + \|\nabla v_0\|_p^p)^{\frac{m_2 \delta_{m_2}}{p}} \\ &\quad - R_3 (\|\nabla u_0\|_p^p + \|\nabla v_0\|_p^p)^{\frac{(r_1+r_2)\delta_{r_1+r_2}}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

By  $p + \frac{p^2}{N} < m_1, m_2, r_1 + r_2 < p^*$ , we have

$$\frac{m_1 \delta_{m_1}}{p}, \frac{m_2 \delta_{m_2}}{p}, \frac{(r_1 + r_2) \delta_{r_1+r_2}}{p} > 1.$$

Since  $(\|\nabla u_0\|_p^p + \|\nabla v_0\|_p^p)$  is small enough, we can derive that

$$P_\beta[u_0, v_0] > 0.$$

This is a contradiction. □

Then from Lemma 3.11 and Lemma 3.9, we have the following result.

**Corollary 3.12.** *Let  $1 < p < N$ ,  $p + \frac{p^2}{N} < m_1, m_2, r_1 + r_2 < p^*$  and  $M_\beta(a, b)$  be defined in (1.6). Then  $M_\beta(a, b) > 0$ .*

Define

$$\mathcal{W}_r := \{[u, v] \in \mathcal{W} : [u(x), v(x)] = [u(|x|), v(|x|)], x \in \mathbb{R}^N\},$$

and

$$M_\beta^{\text{rad}}(a, b) := \inf_{[u,v] \in \mathcal{P}_\beta^{(a,b)} \cap \mathcal{W}_r} J_\beta[u, v].$$

**Lemma 3.13.**  $M_\beta^{\text{rad}}(a, b) = M_\beta(a, b)$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that  $M_\beta^{\text{rad}}(a, b) \geq M_\beta(a, b)$  by the fact that  $\mathcal{P}_\beta^{(a,b)} \cap \mathcal{W}_r \subset \mathcal{P}_\beta^{(a,b)}$ .

On the other hand, for any  $[u, v] \in \mathcal{P}_\beta^{(a,b)}$ , we can deduce from Lemma 3.8 that there exists  $t = t_{[u^*, v^*]}$  such that  $[t \star u^*, t \star v^*] \in \mathcal{P}_\beta^{(a,b)}$  and satisfies

$$J_\beta[t \star u^*, t \star v^*] \leq J_\beta[u, v],$$

which implies that

$$M_\beta^{\text{rad}}(a, b) \leq \inf_{[u,v] \in \mathcal{P}_\beta^{(a,b)}} J_\beta[t \star u^*, t \star v^*] \leq \inf_{[u,v] \in \mathcal{P}_\beta^{(a,b)}} J_\beta[u, v] = M_\beta(a, b). \quad \square$$

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we will prove the existence of normalized solution to (1.1)–(1.2). Firstly, we need to construct some conditions to rule out the semi-trivial solutions mentioned in Section 2.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $1 < p < N$ ,  $\mu > 0$ ,  $a > 0$ ,  $r > 0$  and  $\beta_{p,\mu,a,N,r}$  be defined in (2.4). Then it holds that  $\beta_{p,\mu,a,N,r} > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $w = w_{p,\mu,a}$  be defined in (2.2). Since  $1 < p < N$ , we can derive that  $w \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$  by the Moser iteration technique (see, e.g., [15, 22]). Then it follows from Hölder’s inequality and the critical Sobolev inequality that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w|^r |h|^p dx \leq \|w\|_{\frac{Nr}{p}}^r \|h\|_{p^*}^p \leq S_N^{-1} \|w\|_{\frac{Nr}{p}}^r \|\nabla h\|_p^p, \quad \forall h \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

which implies that

$$\beta_{p,\mu,a,N,r} = \frac{1}{p} \inf_{h \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h|^p dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w|^r |h|^p dx} \geq S_N \|w\|_{\frac{Nr}{p}}^{-r} > 0. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $1 < p < N$  and  $p + \frac{p^2}{N} < m_1, m_2, r_1 + r_2 < p^*$ .*

- (i) *If  $1 < r_2 < p$  or  $r_2 = p$  with  $\beta > \beta_{m_1, \mu_1, a, N, r_1}$ , then  $M_\beta(a, b) < m_{m_1, \mu_1, a}$ ,*
- (ii) *If  $1 < r_1 < p$  or  $r_1 = p$  with  $\beta > \beta_{m_2, \mu_2, b, N, r_2}$ , then  $M_\beta(a, b) < m_{m_2, \mu_2, b}$ .*

*Proof.* This proof is similar to the proof in [21, Lemma 7.3]. We only need to show (i), and the proof of (ii) is completely analogous. For the sake of convenience, we denote  $w_{m_1, \mu_1, a}$  defined in (2.2) as  $w$  here.

For any  $\bar{h} \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ , let  $h := \frac{\bar{h}}{\|\bar{h}\|_p}$ . So we have that  $[w, sh] \in \mathcal{D}_a \times \mathcal{D}_b$  for any  $|s| \leq b^{\frac{1}{p}}$ . By Lemma 3.7, there exists a unique  $t = t(s) > 0$  for which  $[t(s) \star w, t(s) \star sh] \in \mathcal{P}_\beta^{(a,b)}$ , and  $t(s)$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla w\|_p^p + |s|^p \|\nabla h\|_p^p \\ &= \delta_{m_1} \mu_1 \|w\|_{m_1}^{m_1} t^{m_1 \delta_{m_1} - p} + \delta_{m_2} \mu_2 \|h\|_{m_2}^{m_2} |s|^{m_2} t^{m_2 \delta_{m_2} - p} \\ &+ (r_1 + r_2) \delta_{r_1+r_2} \beta \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w|^{r_1} |h|^{r_2} dx \right) |s|^{r_2} t^{(r_1+r_2) \delta_{r_1+r_2} - p}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

which implies that  $t(0) = 1$  by the definition of  $w$ . Then it follows from the implicit function theorem and (4.1) that  $t(s) \in C^1$  locally around  $s = 0$  and

$$t'(s) = \frac{P_h(s)}{Q_h(s)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P_h(s) &:= p|s|^{p-2} s \|\nabla h\|_p^p - m_2 \delta_{m_2} \mu_2 \|h\|_{m_2}^{m_2} |s|^{m_2-2} s t^{m_2 \delta_{m_2} - p} \\ &- r_2 (r_1 + r_2) \delta_{r_1+r_2} \beta \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w|^{r_1} |h|^{r_2} dx \right) |s|^{r_2-2} s t^{(r_1+r_2) \delta_{r_1+r_2} - p}, \\ Q_h(s) &:= (m_1 \delta_{m_1} - p) \delta_{m_1} \mu_1 \|w\|_{m_1}^{m_1} t^{m_1 \delta_{m_1} - p - 1} \\ &+ (m_2 \delta_{m_2} - p) \delta_{m_2} \mu_2 \|h\|_{m_2}^{m_2} |s|^{m_2} t^{m_2 \delta_{m_2} - p - 1} \\ &+ [(r_1 + r_2) \delta_{r_1+r_2} - p] (r_1 + r_2) \delta_{r_1+r_2} \beta \\ &\cdot \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w|^{r_1} |h|^{r_2} dx \right) |s|^{r_2} t^{(r_1+r_2) \delta_{r_1+r_2} - p - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 1.  $1 < r_2 < p$ . For  $|s|$  small enough, we have that  $t(s) = 1 + o(1)$ ,

$$P_h(s) = -r_2 (r_1 + r_2) \delta_{r_1+r_2} \beta \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w|^{r_1} |h|^{r_2} dx \right) |s|^{r_2-2} s (1 + o(1)),$$

and

$$Q_h(s) = (m_1 \delta_{m_1} - p) \delta_{m_1} \mu_1 \|w\|_{m_1}^{m_1} (1 + o(1)) = (m_1 \delta_{m_1} - p) \|\nabla w\|_p^p (1 + o(1)).$$

Define

$$C_h := \frac{(r_1 + r_2) \delta_{r_1+r_2} \beta \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w|^{r_1} |h|^{r_2} dx \right)}{(m_1 \delta_{m_1} - p) \|\nabla w\|_p^p}.$$

So we can obtain

$$t'(s) = -r_2 C_h |s|^{r_2-2} s(1 + o(1)).$$

Then by integrating the function  $t'(\cdot)$  from 0 to  $s$ , we can obtain

$$t(s) = 1 - C_h |s|^{r_2}(1 + o(1)),$$

and for any  $\tau > 0$ ,

$$t^\tau(s) = 1 - \tau C_h |s|^{r_2}(1 + o(1)).$$

Hence, combining  $w \in \mathcal{P}_{m_1, \mu_1, a}$  and  $r_2 < p < m_1, m_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & J_\beta[t(s) \star w, t(s) \star (sh)] - J_\beta[w, 0] \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \|\nabla w\|_p^p (t^p(s) - 1) + \frac{1}{p} \|\nabla h\|_p^p |s|^p t^p(s) - \frac{\mu_1}{m_1} \|w\|_{m_1}^{m_1} (t^{m_1 \delta_{m_1}} - 1) \\ &\quad - \frac{\mu_2}{m_2} \|h\|_{m_2}^{m_2} |s|^{m_2} t^{m_2 \delta_{m_2}} - \beta \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w|^{r_1} |h|^{r_2} dx \right) |s|^{r_2} t^{(r_1+r_2)\delta_{r_1+r_2}} \\ &= [\|\nabla w\|_p^p - \mu_1 \delta_{m_1} \|w\|_{m_1}^{m_1}] C_h |s|^{r_2} (1 + o(1)) + \frac{1}{p} \|\nabla h\|_p^p |s|^p t^p(s) \\ &\quad - \frac{\mu_2}{m_2} \|h\|_{m_2}^{m_2} |s|^{m_2} t^{m_2 \delta_{m_2}} - \beta \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w|^{r_1} |h|^{r_2} dx \right) |s|^{r_2} t^{(r_1+r_2)\delta_{r_1+r_2}} \\ &\leq -\beta \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w|^{r_1} |h|^{r_2} dx \right) |s|^{r_2} (1 + o(1)) < 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that for any  $|s| < b^{\frac{1}{p}}$  small enough, we have

$$M_\beta(a, b) \leq J_\beta[t(s) \star w, t(s) \star (sh)] < J_\beta[w, 0] = m_{m_1, \mu_1, a}.$$

Case 2.  $r_2 = p$ . For  $|s|$  small enough, we have that

$$P_h(s) = \left( p \|\nabla h\|_p^p - p\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w|^{r_1} |h|^p dx \right) |s|^{p-2} s(1 + o(1)),$$

and

$$Q_h(s) = (m_1 \delta_{m_1} - p) \delta_{m_1} \mu_1 \|w\|_{m_1}^{m_1} (1 + o(1)) = (m_1 \delta_{m_1} - p) \|\nabla w\|_p^p (1 + o(1)).$$

Define

$$\bar{C}_h := \frac{p \|\nabla h\|_p^p - p\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w|^{r_1} |h|^p dx}{(m_1 \delta_{m_1} - p) \|\nabla w\|_p^p}.$$

Similar to the analysis in Case 1, we have

$$t'(s) = p \bar{C}_h |s|^{p-2} s(1 + o(1)), \quad t(s) = 1 + \bar{C}_h |s|^p (1 + o(1)),$$

and

$$t^\tau(s) = 1 + \tau \bar{C}_h |s|^p (1 + o(1)).$$

Hence, it follows from  $\beta > \beta_{m_1, \mu_1, a, N, r_1}$ ,  $w \in \mathcal{P}_{m_1, \mu_1, a}$  and  $r_2 = p < m_1, m_2$  that

$$\begin{aligned} & J_\beta[t(s) \star w, t(s) \star (sh)] - J_\beta[w, 0] \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \|\nabla w\|_p^p (t^p(s) - 1) + \frac{1}{p} \|\nabla h\|_p^p |s|^p t^p(s) - \frac{\mu_1}{m_1} \|w\|_{m_1}^{m_1} (t^{m_1 \delta_{m_1}} - 1) \\ &\quad - \frac{\mu_2}{m_2} \|h\|_{m_2}^{m_2} |s|^{m_2} t^{m_2 \delta_{m_2}} - \beta \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w|^{r_1} |h|^p dx \right) |s|^p t^{(r_1+r_2)\delta_{r_1+r_2}} \\ &= [\|\nabla w\|_p^p - \mu_1 \delta_{m_1} \|w\|_{m_1}^{m_1}] \bar{C}_h |s|^p (1 + o(1)) + \frac{1}{p} \|\nabla h\|_p^p |s|^p (1 + o(1)) \\ &\quad - \frac{\mu_2}{m_2} \|h\|_{m_2}^{m_2} |s|^{m_2} t^{m_2 \delta_{m_2}} - \beta \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w|^{r_1} |h|^p dx \right) |s|^p (1 + o(1)) \\ &\leq \left[ \frac{1}{p} \|\nabla h\|_p^p - \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w|^{r_1} |h|^p dx \right] |s|^p (1 + o(1)) < 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that for any  $|s| < b^{\frac{1}{p}}$  small enough, we have

$$M_\beta(a, b) \leq J_\beta[t(s) \star w, t(s) \star (sh)] < J_\beta[w, 0] = m_{m_1, \mu_1, a}. \quad \square$$

Next, we prove the following existence result on  $\mathcal{D}_a \times \mathcal{D}_b$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $1 < p < N$ ,  $r_1, r_2 > 1$ ,  $p + \frac{p^2}{N} < m_1, m_2, r_1 + r_2 < p^*$  and let  $M_\beta(a, b)$  be defined in (1.6). Then for any  $a, b > 0$ ,  $M_\beta(a, b)$  is achieved by some nonnegative Schwarz symmetric function  $[u, v]$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.13 and Corollary 3.12, we can take a minimizing sequence  $[u_n, v_n] \in \mathcal{P}_\beta^{(a,b)}$  which is Schwarz symmetric such that

$$[u_n, v_n] = [|u_n|, |v_n|] = [u_n^*, v_n^*], \quad 0 < \|u_n\|_p^p \leq a, \quad 0 < \|v_n\|_p^p \leq b, \quad P_\beta[u_n, v_n] = 0,$$

and

$$J_\beta[u_n, v_n] \rightarrow M_\beta(a, b) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

It follows from Corollary 3.10 that  $J_\beta$  is coercive. So we can derive that  $\{\|\nabla u_n\|_p\}$  and  $\{\|\nabla v_n\|_p\}$  are bounded, i.e.,  $\{[u_n, v_n]\}$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{W}$ .

By the fact that the embedding  $W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is compact for any  $s \in (p, p^*)$ , up to a subsequence if necessary, there exists  $[u, v] \in \mathcal{W}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightharpoonup u \text{ in } W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N), & u_n &\rightarrow u \text{ in } L^s(\mathbb{R}^N), & u_n &\rightarrow u \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ v_n &\rightharpoonup v \text{ in } W_r^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N), & v_n &\rightarrow v \text{ in } L^s(\mathbb{R}^N), & v_n &\rightarrow v \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Since  $r_1, r_2 > 1$ , we can derive from the Mean Value Theorem and Hölder inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{r_1} |v_n|^{r_2} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{r_1} |v|^{r_2} dx \\
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{r_1} (|v_n|^{r_2} - |v|^{r_2}) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n|^{r_1} - |u|^{r_1}) |v|^{r_2} dx \\
 &\leq r_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{r_1} (|v_n|^{r_2-1} + |v|^{r_2-1}) |v_n - v| dx \\
 &\quad + r_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u_n|^{r_1-1} + |u|^{r_1-1}) |v|^{r_2} |u_n - u| dx \\
 &\leq r_2 \|u_n\|_{r_1+r_2}^{r_1} (\|v_n\|_{r_1+r_2}^{r_2-1} + \|v\|_{r_1+r_2}^{r_2-1}) \|v_n - v\|_{r_1+r_2} \\
 &\quad + r_1 (\|u_n\|_{r_1+r_2}^{r_1-1} + \|u\|_{r_1+r_2}^{r_1-1}) \|v\|_{r_1+r_2}^{r_2} \|u_n - u\|_{r_1+r_2} \\
 &\rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

We claim that  $u \not\equiv 0$  and  $v \not\equiv 0$ . Suppose by contradiction that  $u \equiv 0$  or  $v \equiv 0$ . By  $[u_n, v_n] \in \mathcal{P}_\beta^{(a,b)}$ , (4.2) and (4.3), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\nabla u_n\|_p^p + \|\nabla v_n\|_p^p &= \mu_1 \delta_{m_1} \|u_n\|_{m_1}^{m_1} + \mu_2 \delta_{m_2} \|v_n\|_{m_2}^{m_2} \\
 &\quad + \beta(r_1 + r_2) \delta_{r_1+r_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{r_1} |v_n|^{r_2} dx \\
 &= o(1),
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $\|\nabla u_n\|_p^p = o(1)$  and  $\|\nabla v_n\|_p^p = o(1)$ . This is a contradiction with Lemma 3.11.

Moreover, by the weak lower semicontinuity, we have

$$\|\nabla u\|_p \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\nabla u_n\|_p, \quad \|\nabla v\|_p \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\nabla v_n\|_p. \tag{4.4}$$

Now, we have that

$$P_\beta[u, v] \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P_\beta[u_n, v_n] = 0.$$

Then by Lemma 3.7, there exists a unique  $t = t_{[u,v]} \in (0, 1]$  such that  $[t \star u, t \star v] \in \mathcal{P}_\beta^{(a,b)}$ . Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& J_\beta[t \star u, t \star v] \\
&= \frac{1}{p} [\|\nabla(t \star u)\|_p^p + \|\nabla(t \star v)\|_p^p] - \frac{\mu_1}{m_1} \|t \star u\|_{m_1}^{m_1} - \frac{\mu_2}{m_2} \|t \star v\|_{m_2}^{m_2} \\
&\quad - \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |t \star u|^{r_1} |t \star v|^{r_2} dx \\
&= \mu_1 \left( \frac{\delta_{m_1}}{p} - \frac{1}{m_1} \right) \|t \star u\|_{m_1}^{m_1} + \mu_2 \left( \frac{\delta_{m_2}}{p} - \frac{1}{m_2} \right) \|t \star v\|_{m_2}^{m_2} \\
&\quad + \beta \left( \frac{(r_1 + r_2)\delta_{r_1+r_2}}{p} - 1 \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |t \star u|^{r_1} |t \star v|^{r_2} dx \\
&= \mu_1 \left( \frac{\delta_{m_1}}{p} - \frac{1}{m_1} \right) \|u\|_{m_1}^{m_1} t^{m_1 \delta_{m_1}} + \mu_2 \left( \frac{\delta_{m_2}}{p} - \frac{1}{m_2} \right) \|v\|_{m_2}^{m_2} t^{m_2 \delta_{m_2}} \\
&\quad + \beta \left( \frac{(r_1 + r_2)\delta_{r_1+r_2}}{p} - 1 \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{r_1} |v|^{r_2} dx \right) t^{(r_1+r_2)\delta_{r_1+r_2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Furthermore, combining with  $p + \frac{p^2}{N} < m_1, m_2, r_1 + r_2 < p^*$ , (4.2), (4.3) and (4.5), we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
M_\beta(a, b) &= \inf_{[\bar{u}, \bar{v}] \in \mathcal{P}_\beta^{(a,b)}} J_\beta[\bar{u}, \bar{v}] \leq J_\beta[t \star u, t \star v] \\
&= \mu_1 \left( \frac{\delta_{m_1}}{p} - \frac{1}{m_1} \right) \|u\|_{m_1}^{m_1} t^{m_1 \delta_{m_1}} + \mu_2 \left( \frac{\delta_{m_2}}{p} - \frac{1}{m_2} \right) \|v\|_{m_2}^{m_2} t^{m_2 \delta_{m_2}} \\
&\quad + \beta \left( \frac{(r_1 + r_2)\delta_{r_1+r_2}}{p} - 1 \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{r_1} |v|^{r_2} dx \right) t^{(r_1+r_2)\delta_{r_1+r_2}} \\
&\leq \mu_1 \left( \frac{\delta_{m_1}}{p} - \frac{1}{m_1} \right) \|u\|_{m_1}^{m_1} + \mu_2 \left( \frac{\delta_{m_2}}{p} - \frac{1}{m_2} \right) \|v\|_{m_2}^{m_2} \\
&\quad + \beta \left( \frac{(r_1 + r_2)\delta_{r_1+r_2}}{p} - 1 \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{r_1} |v|^{r_2} dx \\
&\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mu_1 \left( \frac{\delta_{m_1}}{p} - \frac{1}{m_1} \right) \|u_n\|_{m_1}^{m_1} + \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mu_2 \left( \frac{\delta_{m_2}}{p} - \frac{1}{m_2} \right) \|v_n\|_{m_2}^{m_2} \\
&\quad + \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \beta \left( \frac{(r_1 + r_2)\delta_{r_1+r_2}}{p} - 1 \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{r_1} |v_n|^{r_2} dx \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} J_\beta[u_n, v_n] = M_\beta(a, b),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $t = 1$  and

$$J_\beta[u, v] = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} J_\beta[u_n, v_n] = M_\beta(a, b).$$

So, it follows from (4.2), (4.3) and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} J_\beta[u_n, v_n] = J_\beta[u, v]$  that

$$\frac{1}{p}(\|\nabla u_n\|_p^p + \|\nabla v_n\|_p^p) + o(1) = \frac{1}{p}(\|\nabla u\|_p^p + \|\nabla v\|_p^p),$$

and combining with (4.4), we can derive that

$$\|\nabla u_n\|_p^p \rightarrow \|\nabla u\|_p^p, \quad \|\nabla v_n\|_p^p \rightarrow \|\nabla v\|_p^p \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty$$

and  $P_\beta[u, v] = 0$ .

We proved that  $M_\beta(a, b)$  is achieved by  $[u, v] \in \mathcal{P}_\beta^{(a,b)}$ . □

To show that a minimizer  $[u, v] \in \mathcal{P}_\beta^{(a,b)}$  satisfies  $\|u\|_p^p = a$  and  $\|v\|_p^p = b$ , we need the following Liouville type result for  $p$ -Laplacian, which is similar to [20, Lemma A.2] and [23, Lemma 2.7].

**Lemma 4.4.** *Suppose  $1 < p < N$ ,  $q \in (0, \frac{N(p-1)}{N-p}]$ . If  $u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is a nonnegative function and satisfies the following inequality:*

$$-\Delta_p u \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

then  $u \equiv 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose by contradiction that  $u \not\equiv 0$ . Then by the strong maximum principle (see [26, Theorem 1]), we have  $u > 0$ . Hence, it follows from [28, Lemma 2.3] that

$$u(x) \geq C|x|^{-\frac{N-p}{p-1}}, \quad |x| > 2,$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant depending on  $N, p, u$ . For any  $q \in (0, \frac{N(p-1)}{N-p}]$ , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^q dx \geq C^q \int_{|x|>2} \left( \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{N-p}{p-1}}} \right)^q dx \geq C^q \int_{|x|>2} \frac{1}{|x|^N} dx = +\infty.$$

This contradicts the assumption that  $u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . □

**Remark 4.5.** Assume  $1 < p < N$ . If  $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , we have  $u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for  $q \in [p, p^*]$  by the Sobolev embedding inequality. In this situation, we can obtain that  $q \in (0, \frac{N(p-1)}{N-p}]$  if  $N \leq p^2$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $1 < p < N \leq p^2$ . Assume that*

$$M_\beta(a, b) < \min\{m_{m_1, \mu_1, a}, m_{m_2, \mu_2, b}\}.$$

Then there exists a ground state solution  $[u, v]$  of equations (1.1)–(1.2).

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.3, we can assume that  $[u, v] \neq [0, 0]$  is a minimizer on  $\mathcal{D}_a \times \mathcal{D}_b$ . So there exist  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + \lambda_1 u^{p-1} = \mu_1 u^{m_1-1} + \beta r_1 u^{r_1-1} v^{r_2}, \\ -\Delta_p v + \lambda_2 v^{p-1} = \mu_2 v^{m_2-1} + \beta r_2 u^{r_1} v^{r_2-1}. \end{cases} \tag{4.6}$$

*Step 1.*  $u \not\equiv 0, v \not\equiv 0$ . If  $u \not\equiv 0$  and  $v \equiv 0$ , one obtains

$$m_{m_1, \mu_1, a} = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{P}_{m_1, \mu_1, a}} J_\beta[u, 0] \leq J_\beta[u, 0] = M_\beta(a, b),$$

which contradicts the assumption

$$M_\beta(a, b) < \min\{m_{m_1, \mu_1, a}, m_{m_2, \mu_2, b}\}.$$

If  $u \equiv 0$  and  $v \not\equiv 0$ , we can derive a similar contradiction in the same way.

*Step 2.*  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$ . If not, we assume that  $\lambda_1 \leq 0$  or  $\lambda_2 \leq 0$ . Then we can obtain that

$$-\Delta_p u \geq \mu_1 u^{m_1-1} \quad \text{or} \quad -\Delta_p v \geq \mu_2 v^{m_2-1}.$$

Since  $1 < p < N \leq p^2$ , by Lemma 4.4, one obtains  $u \equiv 0$  or  $v \equiv 0$ , which is impossible.

*Step 3.*  $[u, v] \in \mathcal{S}_a \times \mathcal{S}_b$ . In this step, the proof is similar to [21, Lemma 8.2]. If  $\lambda_1 > 0$ , we claim that  $u \in \mathcal{S}_a$ . Suppose by contradiction that  $\delta := \|u\|_p^p \in (0, a)$ . Then for any  $s \in (0, (\frac{a}{\delta})^{\frac{1}{p}}]$ , we have

$$[su, v] \in \mathcal{D}_a \times \mathcal{D}_b \setminus \{[0, 0]\}.$$

So, there exists a unique  $t = t(s) > 0$  such that  $[t \star (su), t \star v] \in \mathcal{P}_\beta^{(a,b)}$  by Lemma 3.7. Precisely,  $t = t(s)$  is determined by

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla u\|_p^p s^p + \|\nabla v\|_p^p \\ &= \delta_{m_1} \mu_1 \|u\|_{m_1}^{m_1} s^{m_1} t^{m_1 \delta_{m_1} - p} + \delta_{m_2} \mu_2 \|v\|_{m_2}^{m_2} t^{m_2 \delta_{m_2} - p} \\ &+ (r_1 + r_2) \delta_{r_1+r_2} \beta \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{r_1} |v|^{r_2} dx \right) s^{r_1} t^{(r_1+r_2) \delta_{r_1+r_2} - p}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Then we can derive from (4.7) and the implicit function theorem that  $t(s) \in C^1$  locally around  $s = 1$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{ds} J_\beta[t(s) \star (su), t(s) \star v] \\ &= \|\nabla u\|_p^p s^{p-1} t^p - \mu_1 \|u\|_{m_1}^{m_1} s^{m_1-1} t^{m_1 \delta_{m_1}} \\ & \quad - \beta r_1 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^{r_1} v^{r_2} dx \right) s^{r_1-1} t^{(r_1+r_2)\delta_{r_1+r_2}} + \left[ \|\nabla u\|_p^p s^p t^{p-1} + \|\nabla v\|_p^p t^{p-1} \right. \\ & \quad - \delta_{m_1} \mu_1 \|u\|_{m_1}^{m_1} s^{m_1} t^{m_1 \delta_{m_1}-1} - \delta_{m_2} \mu_2 \|v\|_{m_2}^{m_2} t^{m_2 \delta_{m_2}-1} \\ & \quad \left. - \beta(r_1 + r_2)\delta_{r_1+r_2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^{r_1} v^{r_2} dx \right) s^{r_1} t^{(r_1+r_2)\delta_{r_1+r_2}-1} \right] t'(s). \end{aligned}$$

Noting that  $t(1) = 1$  and  $P_\beta[u, v] = 0$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left. \frac{d}{ds} J_\beta[t(s) \star (su), t(s) \star v] \right|_{s=1} \\ &= \|\nabla u\|_p^p - \mu_1 \|u\|_{m_1}^{m_1} - \beta r_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^{r_1} v^{r_2} dx + P_\beta[u, v] t'(1) \\ &= -\lambda_1 \|u\|_p^p < 0, \end{aligned}$$

which means that for any  $s$  near  $s = 1$ ,

$$M_\beta(a, b) \leq J_\beta[t(s) \star (su), t(s) \star v] < J_\beta[u, v] = M_\beta(a, b),$$

a contradiction.

So the claim that  $u \in \mathcal{S}_a$  is guaranteed by  $\lambda_1 > 0$ . Similarly,  $\lambda_2 > 0$  implies that  $v \in \mathcal{S}_b$ . □

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* It follows from the definition of  $b_{m_1, m_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, a}$  that

$$m_{m_2, \mu_2, b} \leq m_{m_1, \mu_1, a}, \quad \forall b \in [b_{m_1, m_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, a}, +\infty),$$

and

$$m_{m_2, \mu_2, b} \geq m_{m_1, \mu_1, a}, \quad \forall b \in (0, b_{m_1, m_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, a}].$$

Since  $N \geq 2$ ,  $p \in (\sqrt{N}, N)$ ,  $\frac{p^2}{N} + p < m_1, m_2, r_1 + r_2 < p^*$  and  $r_1, r_2 > 1$ .

(i) If  $r_1 < p$ ,  $\beta > 0$ , or  $r_1 = p$ ,  $\beta > \beta_{m_2, \mu_2, b, N, r_1}$ , then since  $1 < p < N$ ,  $\frac{p^2}{N} + p < m_1, m_2, r_1 + r_2 < p^*$ , and  $r_1, r_2 > 1$ , we can derive from Lemma 4.2(ii) that for any  $b \in [b_{m_1, m_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, a}, +\infty)$

$$M_\beta(a, b) < m_{m_2, \mu_2, b} \leq m_{m_1, \mu_1, a}.$$

Now, since  $1 < p < N \leq p^2$ , by Remark 4.5, the requirement of the Liouville-type lemma is satisfied. Hence, Lemma 4.3 holds, which implies that there exists a minimizer on  $\mathcal{D}_a \times \mathcal{D}_b$ . Then by Lemma 4.6, we can prove that there exists  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathcal{W}$  which is a ground state solution of (1.1)–(1.2). Moreover, by the strong maximum principle (see [26, Theorem 1]),  $u$  and  $v$  are positive.

(ii) The proof is similar to (i), it suffices to use Lemma 4.2 (i), Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.6. □

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

In this section, we show some properties of normalized ground states of (1.1)–(1.2) obtained in Theorem 1.1.

**Lemma 5.1.**  $M_\beta(a, b)$  is non-increasing in  $\beta$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.1, for any  $\beta_1 > 0$ , there exists  $[u_{\beta_1}, v_{\beta_1}] \in \mathcal{S}_a \times \mathcal{S}_b$  such that

$$J_{\beta_1}[u_{\beta_1}, v_{\beta_1}] = M_{\beta_1}(a, b).$$

For any  $0 < \beta_1 < \beta_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\beta_2}(a, b) &\leq \max_{t>0} J_{\beta_2}[t \star u_{\beta_1}, t \star v_{\beta_1}] \\ &\leq \max_{t>0} J_{\beta_1}[t \star u_{\beta_1}, t \star v_{\beta_1}] = J_{\beta_1}[u_{\beta_1}, v_{\beta_1}] = M_{\beta_1}(a, b). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 5.2.** Let  $1 < p < N$  and  $m_1, m_2, r_1 + r_2 \in (\frac{p^2}{N} + p, p^*)$ . Then  $M_\beta(a, b)$  is uniformly bounded with respect to  $\beta$ .

*Proof.* Let  $w_1 = w_{m_1, \mu_1, a}$  and  $w_2 = w_{m_2, \mu_2, b}$  be defined in (2.3). For any  $\beta > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_\beta(a, b) &\leq \max_{t>0} J_\beta[t \star w_1, t \star w_2] \\ &= \max_{t>0} \left[ \frac{1}{p} \|\nabla(t \star w_1)\|_p^p + \frac{1}{p} \|\nabla(t \star w_2)\|_p^p - \frac{\mu_1}{m_1} \|t \star w_1\|_{m_1}^{m_1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\mu_2}{m_2} \|t \star w_2\|_{m_2}^{m_2} - \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |t \star w_1|^{r_1} |t \star w_2|^{r_2} dx \right] \\ &\leq \max_{t>0} \left[ \frac{1}{p} \|\nabla(t \star w_1)\|_p^p - \frac{\mu_1}{m_1} \|t \star w_1\|_{m_1}^{m_1} \right] \\ &\quad + \max_{t>0} \left[ \frac{1}{p} \|\nabla(t \star w_2)\|_p^p - \frac{\mu_2}{m_2} \|t \star w_2\|_{m_2}^{m_2} \right] \\ &= m_{m_1, \mu_1, a} + m_{m_2, \mu_2, b}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $m_{m_1, \mu_1, a}, m_{m_2, \mu_2, b}$  are defined in (2.6), which do not depend on  $\beta$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* (i) By Theorem 1.1, for any  $\beta > 0$ ,  $M_\beta(a, b)$  is achieved by some  $[u_\beta, v_\beta] \in \mathcal{S}_a \times \mathcal{S}_b$ , where  $u_\beta, v_\beta$  are positive radial functions.

Since

$$\min\{m_{m_1, \mu_1, a}, m_{m_2, \mu_2, b}\} > M_\beta(a, b) = J_\beta[u_\beta, v_\beta],$$

we obtain the boundedness of  $\{[u_\beta, v_\beta]\}$  in  $\mathcal{W}$  by a similar argument as in Lemma 3.9. Then up to a subsequence as  $\beta \rightarrow 0^+$ , there exists  $[\bar{u}, \bar{v}] \in \mathcal{W}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_\beta &\rightharpoonup \bar{u}, & v_\beta &\rightharpoonup \bar{v} & \text{in } W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ u_\beta &\rightarrow \bar{u}, & v_\beta &\rightarrow \bar{v} & \text{in } L^s(\mathbb{R}^N), \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

where  $s \in (p, p^*)$  and  $\bar{u}, \bar{v} \geq 0$ .

Note that  $[u_\beta, v_\beta]$  is a normalized solution of the system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u_\beta + \lambda_{1,\beta}|u_\beta|^{p-2}u_\beta = \mu_1|u_\beta|^{m_1-2}u_\beta + \beta r_1|u_\beta|^{r_1-2}u_\beta|v_\beta|^{r_2}, \\ -\Delta_p v_\beta + \lambda_{2,\beta}|v_\beta|^{p-2}v_\beta = \mu_2|v_\beta|^{m_2-2}v_\beta + \beta r_2|u_\beta|^{r_1}|v_\beta|^{r_2-2}v_\beta, \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

and satisfies the Pohozaev identity in Lemma 3.3. We deduce that

$$\lambda_{1,\beta}a + \lambda_{2,\beta}b = \mu_1(1 - \delta_{m_1})\|u_\beta\|_{m_1}^{m_1} + \mu_2(1 - \delta_{m_2})\|v_\beta\|_{m_2}^{m_2}. \quad (5.3)$$

Hence,  $\{\lambda_{1,\beta}\}$  and  $\{\lambda_{2,\beta}\}$  are bounded. Combining  $\lambda_{1,\beta}, \lambda_{2,\beta} > 0$ , up to a subsequence,

$$\lambda_{1,\beta} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1 \geq 0, \quad \lambda_{2,\beta} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_2 \geq 0.$$

We consider the following cases.

*Case 1.*  $\bar{\lambda}_1 = 0, \bar{\lambda}_2 = 0$ . From (5.3) and Lemma 5.1, for any fixed  $\beta_0 > \beta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mu_1 \left( \frac{\delta_{m_1}}{p} - \frac{1}{m_1} \right) \|\bar{u}\|_{m_1}^{m_1} + \mu_2 \left( \frac{\delta_{m_2}}{p} - \frac{1}{m_2} \right) \|\bar{v}\|_{m_2}^{m_2} \\ &= \lim_{\beta \rightarrow 0^+} J_\beta[u_\beta, v_\beta] \geq M_{\beta_0}(a, b) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

*Case 2.*  $\bar{\lambda}_1 > 0, \bar{\lambda}_2 > 0$ . By (5.1),  $(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$  is a weak solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p \bar{u} + \bar{\lambda}_1|\bar{u}|^{p-2}\bar{u} = \mu_1|\bar{u}|^{m_1-2}\bar{u}, \\ -\Delta_p \bar{v} + \bar{\lambda}_2|\bar{v}|^{p-2}\bar{v} = \mu_2|\bar{v}|^{m_2-2}\bar{v}. \end{cases} \quad (5.4)$$

Testing the first equations in (5.2) and (5.4) with  $u_\beta - \bar{u}$  and arguing as in [19, Lemma 3.6], we obtain

$$\|\nabla(u_\beta - \bar{u})\|_p^p + \bar{\lambda}_1\|u_\beta - \bar{u}\|_p^p \rightarrow 0, \quad \beta \rightarrow 0^+.$$

Thus,  $u_\beta \rightarrow \bar{u}$  in  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Similarly,  $v_\beta \rightarrow \bar{v}$  in  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Moreover,

$$\|\bar{u}\|_p^p = a, \quad \|\bar{v}\|_p^p = b, \quad \bar{u}, \bar{v} > 0.$$

Since  $[\bar{u}, \bar{v}]$  are normalized solutions of (5.4),

$$\min\{m_{m_1, \mu_1, a}, m_{m_2, \mu_2, b}\} \geq \lim_{\beta \rightarrow 0^+} J_\beta[u_\beta, v_\beta] \geq m_{m_1, \mu_1, a} + m_{m_2, \mu_2, b},$$

a contradiction.

*Case 3.*  $\bar{\lambda}_1 = 0, \bar{\lambda}_2 > 0$ . By Lemma 4.4,  $\bar{u} \equiv 0$ , and from (5.3),  $\bar{v} > 0$ . By the same argument as in Case 2,

$$\min\{m_{m_1, \mu_1, a}, m_{m_2, \mu_2, b}\} \geq \lim_{\beta \rightarrow 0^+} J_\beta[u_\beta, v_\beta] = m_{m_2, \mu_2, b}.$$

If  $b \in [b_{m_1, m_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, a}, +\infty)$ , then

$$M_\beta(a, b) \rightarrow m_{m_2, \mu_2, b}, \quad [u_\beta, v_\beta] \rightarrow [0, w_{m_2, \mu_2, b}] \quad \text{as } \beta \rightarrow 0^+.$$

If  $b \in (0, b_{m_1, m_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, a})$ , this case cannot occur.

*Case 4.*  $\bar{\lambda}_1 > 0, \bar{\lambda}_2 = 0$ . This case is similar to Case 3. If  $b \in (0, b_{m_1, m_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, a})$ , then

$$M_\beta(a, b) \rightarrow m_{m_1, \mu_1, a}, \quad [u_\beta, v_\beta] \rightarrow [w_{m_1, \mu_1, a}, 0] \quad \text{as } \beta \rightarrow 0^+.$$

If  $b \in (b_{m_1, m_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, a}, +\infty)$ , this case cannot occur.

(ii) We now prove that

$$M_\beta(a, b) \rightarrow 0^+ \quad \text{as } \beta \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Without loss of generality, assume  $a \leq b$ . Let  $w = w_{r_1+r_2, \beta/2, a}$  be defined in (2.3). By the definition of  $M_\beta(a, b)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < M_\beta(a, b) &\leq \max_{t>0} J_\beta[t \star w, t \star w] \\ &= \max_{t>0} \left[ \frac{2}{p} \|\nabla(t \star w)\|_p^p - \frac{\mu_1}{m_1} \|t \star w\|_{m_1}^{m_1} - \frac{\mu_2}{m_2} \|t \star w\|_{m_2}^{m_2} - \beta \|t \star w\|_{r_1+r_2}^{r_1+r_2} \right] \\ &\leq \max_{t>0} 2 \left( \frac{1}{p} \|\nabla(t \star w)\|_p^p - \frac{\beta}{2(r_1+r_2)} \|t \star w\|_{r_1+r_2}^{r_1+r_2} \right) \\ &= 2m_{r_1+r_2, \beta/2, a}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $m_{r_1+r_2, \beta/2, a}$  is defined in (2.6). This implies  $M_\beta(a, b) \rightarrow 0^+$  as  $\beta \rightarrow +\infty$ .  $\square$

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the anonymous referee for his/her valuable suggestions and comments.

### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Agueh, *Sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities via  $p$ -Laplacian type equations*, *Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.* **15** (2008), 457–472.
- [2] V.S. Bagnato, D.J. Frantzeskakis, P.G. Kevrekidis, B.A. Malomed, D. Mihalache, *Bose–Einstein condensation: Twenty years after*, *Romanian Rep. Phys.* **67** (2015), 251–253.
- [3] W. Bao, Y. Cai, *Mathematical theory and numerical methods for Bose–Einstein condensation*, arXiv:1212.5341 [cond-mat.quant-gas].
- [4] T. Bartsch, H. Li, W. Zou, *Existence and asymptotic behavior of normalized ground states for Sobolev critical Schrödinger systems*, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **62** (2023), 1–9.
- [5] T. Bartsch, L. Jeanjean, *Normalized solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger systems*, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A Math.* **148** (2018), 225–242.

- [6] T. Bartsch, L. Jeanjean, N. Soave, *Normalized solutions for a system of coupled cubic Schrödinger equations on  $\mathbb{R}^3$* , J. Math. Pures Appl. **106** (2016), 583–614.
- [7] T. Bartsch, N. Soave, *A natural constraint approach to normalized solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equations and systems*, J. Funct. Anal. **272** (2017), 4998–5037.
- [8] T. Bartsch, N. Soave, *Multiple normalized solutions for a competing system of Schrödinger equations*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **58** (2019), 1–24.
- [9] T. Bartsch, X. Zhong, W. Zou, *Normalized solutions for a coupled Schrödinger system*, Math. Ann. **380** (2021), 1713–1740.
- [10] A. Bensoussan, J. Frehse, *Regularity Results for Nonlinear Elliptic Systems and Applications*, Springer, Berlin, 2013.
- [11] J. Benedikt, P. Girg, L. Kotrla, P. Takac, *Origin of the  $p$ -Laplacian and A. Missbach*, Electron. J. Differential Equations **2018** (2018), no. 16.
- [12] J. Byeon, L. Jeanjean, M. Maris, *Symmetry and monotonicity of least energy solutions*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **36** (2009), 481–492.
- [13] M. Degiovanni, A. Musesti, M. Squassina, *On the regularity of solutions in the Pucci–Serrin identity*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **18** (2003), 317–333.
- [14] J.I. Díaz, *Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Free Boundaries*, Res. Notes Math., Boston, 1985.
- [15] D. Gilbarg, N.S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- [16] T. Gou, L. Jeanjean, *Existence and orbital stability of standing waves for nonlinear Schrödinger systems*, Nonlinear Anal. **144** (2016), 10–22.
- [17] T. Gou, L. Jeanjean, *Multiple positive normalized solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger systems*, Nonlinearity **31** (2018), 2319.
- [18] Z. Guo, K. Perera, W. Zou, *On critical  $p$ -Laplacian systems*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. **17** (2017), 641–659.
- [19] D. Huang, Y. Li, *Multiplicity of solutions for a noncooperative  $p$ -Laplacian elliptic system in  $\mathbb{R}^N$* , J. Differential Equations **215** (2005), 206–223.
- [20] N. Ikoma, *Compactness of minimizing sequences in nonlinear Schrödinger systems under multiconstraint conditions*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. **14** (2014), 115–136.
- [21] L. Jeanjean, J. Zhang, X. Zhong, *Normalized ground states for a coupled Schrödinger system: Mass super-critical case*, Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl. **31** (2024), 85.
- [22] G. Li, *Some properties of weak solutions of nonlinear scalar field equations*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **15** (1990), 27–36.
- [23] M. Li, J. He, H. Xu, M. Yang, *Normalized solutions for a coupled fractional Schrödinger system in low dimensions*, Bound. Value Probl. **2020** (2020), 166.
- [24] N.E. Mastorakis, H. Fathabadi, *On the Solution of  $p$ -Laplacian for non-Newtonian fluid flow*, WSEAS Trans. Math. **8** (2009), 238–245.
- [25] L. Nirenberg, *On Elliptic Partial Differential Equations*, Springer, Berlin, 2011.

- [26] P. Pucci, J. Serrin, *A note on the strong maximum principle for elliptic differential inequalities*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **79** (2000), 57–71.
- [27] J. Serrin, M. Tang, *Uniqueness of ground states for quasilinear elliptic equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **49** (2000), 897–923.
- [28] J. Serrin, H. Zou, *Cauchy–Liouville and universal boundedness theorems for quasilinear elliptic equations and inequalities*, Acta Math. **189** (2002), 79–142.
- [29] C. Wang, J. Sun, *Normalized solutions for the  $p$ -Laplacian equation with a trapping potential*, Adv. Nonlinear Anal. **12** (2023), 20220291.
- [30] W. Wang, Q. Li, J. Zhou, Y. Li, *Normalized solutions for  $p$ -Laplacian equations with a  $L^2$ -supercritical growth*, Ann. Funct. Anal. **12** (2021), 1–19.
- [31] Y. Wang, *Symmetry of components for radial solutions of  $\gamma$ -Laplacian systems*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **53** (2016), 305–313.
- [32] Z. Zhang, Z. Zhang, *Normalized solutions to  $p$ -Laplacian equations with combined nonlinearities*, Nonlinearity **35** (2022), 5621.

Yuhang Tao  
taoyuhang01@163.com

Chongqing Jiaotong University  
College of Mathematics and Statistics  
Xuefu, Nan'an, 400074, Chongqing, P.R. China

Jianjun Zhang (corresponding author)  
zhangjianjun09@tsinghua.org.cn

Key Laboratory of Complex Systems Optimization  
and Intelligent Control of Chongqing Municipal Education Commission  
Chongqing Jiaotong University  
Chongqing, P.R. China

Chongqing Jiaotong University  
College of Mathematics and Statistics  
Xuefu, Nan'an, 400074, Chongqing, P.R. China

*Received: January 27, 2026.*

*Revised: March 25, 2026.*

*Accepted: March 31, 2026.*

*Published online: June 15, 2026.*