

## ANTI-RAMSEY NUMBERS FOR DISJOINT COPIES OF GRAPHS

Izolda Gorgol and Agnieszka Görlich

*Communicated by Ingo Schiermeyer*

**Abstract.** A subgraph of an edge-colored graph is called *rainbow* if all of its edges have different colors. For a graph  $G$  and a positive integer  $n$ , the *anti-Ramsey number*  $ar(n, G)$  is the maximum number of colors in an edge-coloring of  $K_n$  with no rainbow copy of  $H$ . Anti-Ramsey numbers were introduced by Erdős, Simonovits and Sós and studied in numerous papers. Let  $G$  be a graph with anti-Ramsey number  $ar(n, G)$ . In this paper we show the lower bound for  $ar(n, pG)$ , where  $pG$  denotes  $p$  vertex-disjoint copies of  $G$ . Moreover, we prove that in some special cases this bound is sharp.

**Keywords:** anti-Ramsey number, rainbow number, disjoint copies.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C55, 05C15.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A subgraph of an edge-colored graph is called *rainbow* if all of its edges have different colors. For a graph  $G$  and a positive integer  $n$ , the *anti-Ramsey number*  $ar(n, G)$  is the maximum number of colors in an edge-coloring of  $K_n$  with no rainbow copy of  $G$ . Anti-Ramsey numbers were introduced by Erdős *et al.* [4]. They showed that these are closely related to Turán numbers. Since then numerous results were established for a variety of graphs  $H$ , including among others cycles [1, 11, 13], matchings [5, 9, 17], trees [10, 12] and cycles with an edge added [8, 15]. The paper of Fujita, Magnant and Ozeki [6] presents the survey of results of that type.

In this paper we consider the following problem. Given a connected graph  $G$ , the anti-Ramsey number  $ar(n, G)$ , we ask what can be said about  $ar(m, pG)$ , where  $pG$  denotes  $p$  vertex-disjoint copies of  $G$ . We give the lower bound for this number and discuss the sharpness of it. As far as we know the only considered graphs of this type were matchings.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Graphs considered below will always be simple. Throughout the paper we use the standard graph theory notation. For a graph  $G$  the order of  $G$  is denoted by  $|G|$  and the size is denoted by  $\|G\|$ .  $K_n$  and  $pG$  stand for, respectively, the complete graph on  $n$  vertices and the disjoint union of  $p$  copies of a graph  $G$ . A degree of a vertex  $v$  in a graph  $G$  is denoted by  $d_G(v)$  and by  $N_G(v)$  and  $N_G[v]$  its open and closed neighborhoods, respectively. For a graph  $G$  and its subgraph  $H$  by  $G - H$  we mean a graph obtained from  $G$  by deleting all vertices of  $H$  with all incident edges. If  $W \subseteq V(G)$ , then  $G[W]$  denotes the subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $W$ . For a set  $S$ , by  $|S|$  we denote the cardinality of  $S$ .

Additionally, we introduce the following notation.  $C(G)$  is a set of colors used on the edges of a graph  $G$ ,  $C(v)$  is a set of colors used on the edges incident to a vertex  $v$  and  $c(e)$  denotes a color of the edge  $e$ . For a given coloring of the edges of  $K_n$  we choose exactly one edge in each color. A subgraph  $F$  such that  $V(F) = V(K_n)$  induced by these edges we call a *selective subgraph*.

We will need the following theorems.

**Theorem 2.1** ([4]).  $ar(m, K_3) = m - 1$  for  $m \geq 3$ .

**Theorem 2.2** ([16]). If  $G$  is a graph with  $n \geq 3$  vertices such that  $\|G\| > \binom{n-1}{2} + 1$ , then  $G$  has a Hamiltonian cycle.

**Theorem 2.3** ([13]). If  $m \geq k \geq 3$  and  $r$  is the remainder of the division  $m$  by  $k - 1$ , then

$$ar(m, C_k) = \lfloor \frac{m}{k-1} \rfloor \binom{k-1}{2} + \binom{r}{2} + \lceil \frac{m}{k-1} \rceil.$$

**Theorem 2.4** ([11, 14]).  $ar(m, K_{1,3}) = \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + 1$ ,  $m \geq 4$ .

**Theorem 2.5** ([11, 14]).  $ar(m, K_{1,4}) = m + 1$ ,  $m \geq 5$ .

## 3. LOWER BOUND

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $G$  be an arbitrary connected graph on  $n \geq 3$  vertices and  $m \geq p|V(G)|$ . Then

$$ar(m, pG) \geq \max \left\{ \binom{pn-2}{2} + 1, ar(m-p+1, G) + (p-1)m - \binom{p}{2} \right\}.$$

*Proof.* We color the edges of  $K_m$  as follows. To obtain the first number we choose  $K_{pn-2}$  and color it rainbowly and we color the remaining edges with one extra color. In such a way we do not obtain any rainbow  $pG$  and use exactly  $\binom{pn-2}{2} + 1$  colors.

To obtain the second number we choose  $K_{p-1}$  and color it rainbowly, then we color the edges of  $K_m - K_{p-1}$  with next  $ar(m-p+1, G)$  colors without producing

rainbow  $G$  and finally we color the remaining edges each with next distinct colors. In such a way we do not obtain any rainbow  $pG$  and use exactly

$$ar(m-p+1, G) + (p-1)(m-p+1) + \binom{p-1}{2} = ar(m-p+1, G) + (p-1)m - \binom{p}{2}$$

colors, so the theorem is proved.  $\square$

It is worth to pay attention to the fact that the lower bound from Theorem 3.1 is not appropriate for a matching. In this case assuming  $G = K_2$  it is reasonable to put  $ar(m, K_2) = 0$ . But in the construction of the coloring we must not use 0 colors on the rest of the graph. Similarly the colorings are based on the fact that by adding one new color we do not produce any copy of  $G$  which is not true for  $G = K_2$ . That is why matchings need a different treating. It is done in [5, 9, 17] by using an appropriate Turán number.

From this point of view  $G = P_3$  is the smallest graph to consider.

In our paper we are interested in selecting graphs for which this lower bound can be sharp. We do not focus on cases when

$$\max \left\{ \binom{pn-2}{2} + 1, ar(m-p+1, G) + (p-1)m - \binom{p}{2} \right\} = \binom{pn-2}{2} + 1,$$

since this can happen only for finitely many values of  $m$ . It is so, as the first expression is a constant and the second one is at least linear in  $m$ .

We state the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph on  $n \geq 3$  vertices and  $m \geq p|V(G)|$ . Then*

$$ar(m, pG) = ar(m-p+1, G) + (p-1)m - \binom{p}{2}$$

*if and only if  $G$  is a tree.*

In the next paragraphs we give the reasons which motivated us to state such a conjecture.

### 3.1. DISJOINT PATHS

It is easy to see that  $ar(m, P_3) = 1$ . By Theorem 3.1, it can be obtained that  $ar(m, 2P_3) \geq m$  for  $m \geq 7$  and  $ar(6, 2P_3) \geq 7$ . The next theorem shows that this lower bound is sharp. The result was also achieved by Bialostocki, Gilboa and Roditty [2], but with a different method of the proof, so we put the theorem into the paper.

**Theorem 3.3.**

$$ar(m, 2P_3) = \begin{cases} 7 & \text{for } m = 6, \\ m & \text{for } m \geq 7. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The lower bound for  $m \geq 7$  results from Theorem 3.1. For  $m = 6$  we color the edges of a subgraph  $K_4$  with distinct colors and all remaining edges of  $K_6$  with one extra color.

To show the upper bound we color the edges of a complete graph  $K_m = K$  with  $m + 1$  colors and assume that there is no rainbow  $2P_3$ . By Theorem 2.1, there is a rainbow triangle  $T$  with vertices  $\{u, v, w\}$ . Let  $C_R = C(K) \setminus C(T)$  and  $V(K - T) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m-3}\}$ . Note that if there is an edge  $e \in E(K - T)$  with  $c(e) \in C_R$ , then we obtain a rainbow  $2P_3$  consisting of the edge  $e$ , an edge  $e' \in E(K - T)$  incident to it and to edges from  $E(T)$  of colors different from  $c(e')$ . A contradiction. Hence we can assume that all edges of colors from  $C_R$  are placed between  $T$  and  $K - T$ .

Since  $|C_R| = m - 2$ , at least one vertex from  $T$  is joined to at least two vertices from  $K - T$  with edges of distinct colors from  $C_R$ . Let  $u$  be this vertex,  $c(ux_1), c(ux_2) \in C_R$ ,  $c(ux_1) \neq c(ux_2)$  and  $C'_R = C_R \setminus \{c(ux_1), c(ux_2)\}$ .

Note that we can assume that for each  $i \in \{3, \dots, m - 3\}$  we have that  $c(x_iv) \notin C'_R$  and  $c(x_iw) \notin C'_R$ , since otherwise there would be a rainbow  $2P_3$ :  $x_1ux_2, x_jvw$  ( $x_jwv$ , respectively) for a certain  $j \in \{3, \dots, m - 3\}$ . Since  $|C'_R| = m - 4$ , there is an edge of color from  $C'_R$  between  $\{x_1, x_2\}$  and  $\{v, w\}$ . Let  $x_1v$  be this edge. Similarly as above we obtain that  $c(x_iu) \notin C'_R \setminus \{c(x_1v)\}$  for each  $i \in \{3, \dots, m - 3\}$ , otherwise  $x_2ux_j, x_1vw$  is a rainbow  $2P_3$  for certain  $j \in \{3, \dots, m - 3\}$ . Now there are only two edges left ( $x_2v$  and  $x_2w$ ) which are allowed to be colored with colors from  $C'_R \setminus \{c(x_1v)\}$ . But  $|C'_R \setminus \{c(x_1v)\}| = m - 3$ . A contradiction for  $m \geq 8$ . For  $m = 6, 7$ ,  $|C'_R \setminus \{c(x_1v)\}| = 2$ , so surely  $x_3x_2w, ux_1v$  is a rainbow  $2P_3$ , remembering that  $c(x_2x_3) \in C(T)$ .  $\square$

The next theorem deals with three copies of  $P_3$ . It is a special case of a more general result obtained by Gilboa and Roditty [7], namely  $ar(m, pP_3) = (p - 1)(m - \frac{p}{2}) + 1$  for  $m > 5p + 1$ . By a different method of the proof, we managed to decrease the constraint for  $m$  from 16 to 12 for  $p = 3$ .

**Theorem 3.4.**  $ar(m, 3P_3) = 2m - 2$  for  $m > 12$ .

*Proof.* The lower bound results from Theorem 3.1. To show the upper bound we color the edges of a complete graph  $K_m = K$  with  $2m - 1$  colors arbitrarily and assume that there is no rainbow  $3P_3$ .

Let  $F$  be a selective subgraph of  $K$  containing the longest cycle and  $l$  denote its length. Since  $|V(F)| = m$  and  $|E(F)| = 2m - 1$ , such a selective subgraph can be chosen. Moreover, there are at most two vertex-disjoint cycles in  $F$ , since otherwise a rainbow  $3P_3$  is in  $K$ .

Note that if  $l \geq 9$ , then obviously a rainbow  $3P_3$  is contained in  $K$ . Moreover, by Theorem 2.3,  $l \geq 5$ . Therefore  $l \in \{5, 6, 7, 8\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_l$  be the subgraph of  $F$  being the longest cycle.

Let  $F_l = F[V(\mathcal{C}_l)]$ ,  $B = F - \mathcal{C}_l$ ,  $R = \{vw : v \in V(\mathcal{C}_l), w \in V(B)\}$  and  $N = \{w \in V(B) : \text{there exists } v \in V(\mathcal{C}_l) \text{ such that } vw \in E(F)\}$ . Note that

$$\|F\| = \|F_l\| + \|B\| + |R|.$$

Case 1.  $l = 8$ .

Observe that  $|N| = 0$ , since otherwise a rainbow  $3P_3$  is in  $K$ .

We show that there is at most one edge in  $B$ . Suppose that there are vertices  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in V(B)$  such that  $x_1x_2, x_3x_4 \in E(F)$ . If  $x_2 = x_3$ , then  $x_1x_2x_4$  is rainbow. If  $x_2 \neq x_3$ , then at least one of paths  $A^1 = x_1x_2x_3$  or  $A^2 = x_4x_3x_2$  is rainbow. Possibly deleting the edge with color  $c(x_2x_3)$  in  $C_8$  we obtain a rainbow subgraph of  $C_8$  which contains  $2P_3$ . It contradicts the assumption that there is no  $3P_3$  in  $F$ . Therefore,  $\|B\| \leq 1$ .

Now, let  $e = xy$  be an edge in  $K$  such that  $x \in V(C_8)$  and  $y \in V(B)$ . Obviously,  $e \notin E(F)$  and  $c(e)$  is one of colors from  $C(C_8)$ . Then  $\|F_8\| \leq 23$ . Otherwise, deleting the edge with color  $c(e)$  in  $F_8$ , by Theorem 2.2 we obtain a rainbow hamiltonian graph of order 8 without a color  $c(e)$  and joining  $e$  to the hamiltonian cycle we obtain a rainbow  $P_9$  in  $K$  and hence a rainbow  $3P_3$  is in  $K$ . Hence,

$$\|F\| = \|F_8\| + \|B\| \leq 23 + 1 = 24 < 2m - 1,$$

a contradiction.

Case 2.  $l = 7$ .

Observe that  $|N| \leq 1$ . Otherwise it is easy to obtain  $3P_3$  in  $F$ .

Analogously as in previous cases, we can show that  $\|B\| \leq 1$ .

Suppose that  $|N| = 0$ . So,  $\|F_7\| \leq 21$ . Hence,

$$\|F\| \leq 21 + 1 = 22 < 2m - 1,$$

a contradiction.

Assume that  $|N| = 1$  and  $N = \{x\}$ . Similarly as in a previous case, by Theorem 2.2, we obtain that  $F[V(C_7) \cup \{x\}]$  contains at most 23 edges. Hence,

$$\|F\| \leq 23 + 1 = 24 < 2m - 1,$$

a contradiction.

Case 3.  $l = 6$ .

Analogously as in Case 1, we can show that  $\|B\| \leq 1$ .

Denote the consecutive vertices in  $C_6$  by  $\{c_0, c_1, \dots, c_5\}$  and by  $d_R(x)$  the number of edges in  $R$  incident with  $x$ . Observe that since  $\|B\| \leq 1$ , we have

$$|R| \geq 2m - 1 - (15 + 1) = 2m - 17 \geq m - 4$$

for every  $m > 12$ . It implies that  $|R| > 0$  and there are at least two distinct vertices  $c_i, c_j$  such that  $d_R(c_i) \geq 1$  and  $d_R(c_j) \geq 1$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $d_R(c_0) \geq 2$ .

The assumption that  $d_R(c_k) \geq 1$  for certain  $k \in \{1, 2, 4, 5\}$  leads us to a contradiction with the assumption that there is no  $3P_3$  in  $F$ . Therefore  $c_3$  is the other vertex with neighbors in  $N$  and moreover  $d_R(c_3) \geq 2$ .

If  $|N| \leq 3$ , then  $|R| \leq 6$ , a contradiction. So  $|N| \geq 4$  which means that we can choose a rainbow  $2P_3$  in  $F$  with middle vertices  $c_0$  and  $c_3$  and endpoints in  $N$ .

The rainbow  $3P_3$  in  $K$  we can find as follows.

If  $c(c_1c_4) \notin C(2P_3)$ , then we are done, since at least one of the paths  $c_5c_4c_1, c_2c_1c_4$  is rainbow in  $K$ .

So suppose that  $c(c_1c_4) \in C(2P_3)$ . Without loss of generality let  $xc_0y$  be one of above mentioned rainbow  $2P_3$  and  $c(c_1c_4) = c(xc_0)$ . Then the other  $P_3$  with middle vertex  $c_3, c_2c_1c_4$  and  $yc_0c_5$  form a rainbow  $3P_3$  in  $K$ .

Hence we obtain a contradiction.

Case 4.  $l = 5$ .

Denote the consecutive vertices in  $C_5$  by  $\{c_0, c_1, \dots, c_4\}$ .

Suppose that  $P_3 = P$  is contained in  $B$ . Note that either one can find rainbow  $2P_3$  with one vertex in  $V(B) \setminus V(P)$  and five vertices in  $V(C_5)$  or for each  $u \in (V(B) \setminus V(P))$  we have  $c(uc_i) = c(c_{i+2 \bmod 5}c_{i+3 \bmod 5})$ . In the latter case we have a rainbow  $2P_3$ :  $c_0u_1c_1, c_2u_2c_3$ , where  $u_1, u_2 \in (V(B) \setminus V(P))$ . The rainbow  $2P_3$  forms a rainbow  $3P_3$  with  $P$ . A contradiction.

Therefore we can assume that  $B = sK_2 \cup (m - 5 - 2s)K_1$ .

Note that each vertex  $u \in V(B)$  is adjacent to at most two vertices on the cycle  $(c_i, c_{i+2 \bmod 5})$  otherwise  $F$  contains a longer cycle.

Moreover, if at least one  $u \in V(B)$  has two neighbors on the cycle, then  $\|F_5\| \leq 8$  ( $c_{i+1 \bmod 5}c_{i+4 \bmod 5} \notin E(F), c_{i+1 \bmod 5}c_{i+3 \bmod 5} \notin E(F)$ ) otherwise  $F$  contains a longer cycle.

Finally, note that if  $u_1u_2 \in E(F)$ , then there are at most two edges between  $\{u_1, u_2\}$  and  $V(C_5)$  otherwise  $F$  contains a longer cycle.

Hence if at least one  $u \in V(B)$  has two neighbors on the cycle, then

$$2m - 1 = \|F\| = \|F_5\| + \|B\| + |R| \leq 8 + s + 2s + 2[(m - 5) - 2s] = 2m - s - 2.$$

A contradiction.

If all  $u \in V(B)$  have at most one neighbor on the cycle, then

$$2m - 1 = \|F\| = \|F_5\| + \|B\| + |R| \leq 10 + s + (m - 5) = m + s + 5.$$

Since  $s \leq \lfloor \frac{m-5}{2} \rfloor$ , we have a contradiction. □

Next we consider two copies of a star with three rays.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $m \geq 69$ . Then  $ar(m, 2K_{1,3}) = \lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor + m$ .*

*Proof.* The lower bound results from Theorems 3.1 and 2.4. To show the upper bound we color the edges of a complete graph  $K_m = K$  with  $\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor + m + 1$  colors arbitrarily and assume that there is no rainbow  $2K_{1,3}$ .

Let  $F$  be a selective subgraph of  $K$  chosen in such a way that the maximal degree  $\Delta(F)$  is as big as possible and let  $x_0$  be the vertex of  $K$  such that  $d(x_0) = \Delta(F) = d$ . Note that, since  $\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor + m + 1 > m + 1 = ar(m, K_{1,4})$  (see Theorem 2.5), we can assume that  $d \geq 4$ . Obviously  $d \leq m - 1$ . Let  $N_F(x_0) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d\}$  and  $V(F) \setminus N_F[x_0] = \{x_{d+1}, x_{d+2}, \dots, x_{m-1}\}$ . The latter set is empty if  $d = m - 1$ .

Let us consider the case  $d \geq 8$  firstly. Let  $F^- = F - x_0$ . Note that

$$\|F^-\| \geq \|F\| - (m - 1) = \left\lfloor \frac{m - 1}{2} \right\rfloor + m + 1 - (m - 1) = \left\lfloor \frac{m - 1}{2} \right\rfloor + 2. \tag{3.1}$$

Since  $|F^-| = m - 1$ , we obtain that  $K_{1,2} \subset F^-$ . Without loss of generality let  $x_1x_2x_3$  be this star with the center  $x_1$ . Note that there is no other edges with the end  $x_1$  in  $F^-$  otherwise there would be rainbow  $2K_{1,3}$  in  $F$  (one star with center  $x_1$  and one with  $x_0$ ).

Moreover, note that  $G^-$  does not contain two edge-disjoint stars  $K_{1,2}$ . If  $x_1x_2x_3$  and  $x_ix_jx_k$  be such a stars with centers  $x_1$  and  $x_i$ , respectively, then at least one of the stars  $x_1x_2x_3x_i$  or  $x_ix_jx_kx_1$  would be rainbow in  $K$  and form a rainbow  $2K_{1,3}$  together with a certain star with a center  $x_0$ , even if  $c(x_1x_i) \in C(x_0)$ . Therefore  $G^-$  is a subset of (i)  $K_{1,2} \cup \lfloor \frac{m-4}{2} \rfloor K_2$  or (ii)  $P_4 \cup \lfloor \frac{m-5}{2} \rfloor K_2$  or (iii)  $K_3 \cup \lfloor \frac{m-4}{2} \rfloor K_2$ . In case (i) we have  $\|F^-\| \leq 2 + \lfloor \frac{m-4}{2} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$  and in case (ii)  $\|F^-\| \leq 3 + \lfloor \frac{m-5}{2} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor$ , which is a contradiction to (3.1). There is no similar contradicion in case (iii) only in case  $F^- \simeq K_3 \cup \lfloor \frac{m-4}{2} \rfloor K_2$ . In that case let  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  be the vertices of the triangle and  $x_ix_j$  be an edge of  $F^-$ ,  $i, j \notin \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ . Now we look at colors of the edges in  $K$ . If  $c(x_1x_i) \notin \{c(x_1x_2), c(x_1x_3)\}$  or  $c(x_2x_i) \notin \{c(x_2x_1), c(x_2x_3)\}$  or  $c(x_3x_i) \notin \{c(x_3x_2), c(x_3x_1)\}$ , then we have a rainbow star  $K_{1,3}$  with a centrum  $x_s$  for a certain  $s \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  which forms a rainbow  $2K_{1,3}$  with the rainbow  $K_{1,3}$  with a centrum  $x_0$ . Therefore we can assume that  $c(x_1x_i) \in \{c(x_1x_2), c(x_1x_3)\}$  and  $c(x_2x_i) \in \{c(x_2x_1), c(x_2x_3)\}$  and  $c(x_3x_i) \in \{c(x_3x_2), c(x_3x_1)\}$ . So there is a rainbow star  $K_{1,3}$   $x_ix_sx_tx_j$  with a centrum  $x_i$  for a certain  $s, t \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . So again we have the rainbow  $2K_{1,3}$  with the rainbow  $K_{1,3}$  with a centrum  $x_0$ . A contradiction.

Now consider the case  $4 \leq d \leq 7$ . Note that each  $x_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$  can have at most two neighbors in  $\{x_{d+1}, x_{d+2}, \dots, x_{m-1}\}$  otherwise we can easily find  $2K_{1,3}$  in  $F$ . So there is at most  $d + 2d + \binom{d}{2}$  edges with at least one endpoint in  $\{x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d\}$ . Hence at least  $\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor + m + 1 - 3d - \binom{d}{2}$  edges have both endpoints in  $\{x_{d+1}, x_{d+2}, \dots, x_{m-1}\}$ . Note that at least one of these vertices has three neighbors in this set, since

$$\left\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \right\rfloor + m + 1 - 3d - \binom{d}{2} > 2(m-d-1)/2$$

for  $m \geq 69$ . So again we have  $2K_{1,3}$  in  $F$ . A contradiction. □

### 3.2. DISJOINT TRIANGLES

It is unlikely that the lower bound we discuss is sharp in any case. By the results of Erdős *et al.* [3, 4], it follows that if  $G$  is a graph which is not bipartite and does not become bipartite after deleting a single edge, then  $ar(m, G)$  and  $ex(m, \mathcal{G}^-)$  are asymptotically equal, where  $ex(m, \mathcal{H})$  denotes well known Turán number for a family  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{G}^-$  is the family of all graphs obtained from  $G$  by deleting one edge. Moreover, recently Schiermeyer and Sótak [18] showed that for a graph  $G$  with cyclomatic number at least 2 the anti-Ramsey number  $ar(m, G)$  cannot be bounded above by a function which is linear in  $m$ .

As an example we present the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $m \geq 6$ . Then  $ar(m, 2K_3) \geq \lfloor \frac{m^2}{4} \rfloor + 1$ .*

*Proof.* To construct an appropriate coloring of the edges of  $K_m$  we proceed as follows. We choose a triangle-free subgraph  $H$  with maximum possible number of edges (Turán graph) and assign to each edge a different color. Then we put one extra color to all remaining edges. Certainly, by the Turán theorem,  $|E(H)| = \lfloor \frac{m^2}{4} \rfloor$ . Obviously, there is no rainbow  $2K_3$  in such a coloring, hence the proof is completed.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] N. Alon, *On the conjecture of Erdős, Simonovits and Sós concerning anti-Ramsey theorems*, J. Graph Theory **7** (1983), 91–94.
- [2] A. Bialostocki, S. Gilboa, Y. Roditty, *Anti-Ramsey numbers of small graphs*, Ars Combin. **123**, 41–53.
- [3] P. Erdős, M. Simonovits, *A limit theorem in graph theory*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. **1** (1966), 51–57.
- [4] P. Erdős, M. Simonovits, V. Sós, *Anti-Ramsey theorems*, [in:] A. Hajnal, R. Rado, V. Sós (eds), Infinite and finite sets, Colloq. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai, North-Holland, 1973, 633–643.
- [5] S. Fujita, A. Kaneko, I. Schiermeyer, K. Suzuki, *A rainbow  $k$ -matching in the complete graph with  $r$  colors*, Electron. J. Combin. **16** (2009), 51.
- [6] S. Fujita, C. Magnant, K. Ozeki, *Rainbow generalizations of Ramsey theory: A survey*, Graphs Combin. **26** (2010), 1–30.
- [7] S. Gilboa, Y. Roditty, *Anti-Ramsey numbers of graphs with small connected components*, Graphs Combin. **32** (2016), 649–662.
- [8] I. Gorgol, *On rainbow numbers for cycles with pendant edges*, Graphs Combin. **24** (2008), 327–331.
- [9] R. Haas, M. Young, *The anti-Ramsey number of perfect matching*, Discrete Math. **312** (2012), 933–937.
- [10] T. Jiang, *Edge-colorings with no large polychromatic stars*, Graphs Combin. **18** (2002), 303–308.
- [11] T. Jiang, D.B. West, *On the Erdős-Simonovits-Sós conjecture about the anti-Ramsey number of a cycle*, Combin. Probab. Comput. **12** (2003), 585–598.
- [12] T. Jiang, D.B. West, *Edge-colorings of complete graphs that avoid polychromatic trees*, Discrete Math. **274** (2004), 137–145.
- [13] J.J. Montellano-Ballesteros, V. Neuman-Lara, *An anti-Ramsey theorem on cycles*, Graphs and Combinatorics **21** (2005), 343–354.
- [14] J.J. Montellano-Ballesteros, *On totally multicolored stars*, J. Graph Theory **51** (2006), 225–243.
- [15] J.J. Montellano-Ballesteros, *Totally multicolored diamonds*, Electron. Notes Discrete Math. **30** (2008), 231–236.
- [16] O. Ore, *Arc coverings of graphs*, Ann. Math. Pure Appl. **55** (1961), 315–321.



- [17] I. Schiermeyer, *Rainbow numbers for matchings and complete graphs*, Discrete Math. **286** (2004), 157–162.
- [18] I. Schiermeyer, R. Soták, *Rainbow numbers for graphs containing small cycles*, Graphs Combin. **31** (2015) 1985–1991.

Izolda Gorgol  
i.gorgol@pollub.pl

Lublin University of Technology  
Department of Applied Mathematics  
Nadbystrzycka 38D, 20-618 Lublin, Poland

Agnieszka Görlich  
forys@agh.edu.pl

AGH University of Science and Technology  
Faculty of Applied Mathematics  
al. A. Mickiewicza 30, 30-059 Krakow, Poland

*Received: March 10, 2016.*

*Revised: July 20, 2016.*

*Accepted: August 6, 2016.*