

Dedicated to the Memory of Professor Zdzisław Kamont

## ON A SINGULAR NONLINEAR NEUMANN PROBLEM

Jan Chabrowski

*Communicated by P.A. Cojuhari*

**Abstract.** We investigate the solvability of the Neumann problem involving two critical exponents: Sobolev and Hardy-Sobolev. We establish the existence of a solution in three cases: (i)  $2 < p + 1 < 2^*(s)$ , (ii)  $p + 1 = 2^*(s)$  and (iii)  $2^*(s) < p + 1 \leq 2^*$ , where  $2^*(s) = \frac{2(N-s)}{N-2}$ ,  $0 < s < 2$ , and  $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$  denote the critical Hardy-Sobolev exponent and the critical Sobolev exponent, respectively.

**Keywords:** Neumann problem, critical Sobolev exponent, Hardy-Sobolev exponent Neumann problem.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 35B33, 35J20, 35J65.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N \geq 3$ , be a bounded domain with a smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Throughout this paper we assume that  $0 \in \partial\Omega$ . In this paper we investigate the solvability of the following nonlinear Neumann problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \lambda u^p = \frac{u^{2^*(s)-1}}{|x|^s} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad u > 0 \text{ on } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $2^*(s) = \frac{2(N-s)}{N-2}$ ,  $N \geq 3$ ,  $0 < s < 2$ , is the critical Hardy-Sobolev exponent and  $\lambda > 0$  is a parameter. It is assumed that  $0 \in \partial\Omega$  and  $2 < p + 1 \leq 2^*$ , where  $2^*$  is a critical Sobolev exponent given by  $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$ ,  $N \geq 3$ . Obviously  $2^*(0) = 2^*$ .

Solutions to problem (1.1) are sought in the Sobolev space  $H^1(\Omega)$  equipped with norm

$$\|u\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 + u^2) dx.$$

A nonnegative function  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  is said to be a weak solution of problem (1.1) if

$$\int_{\Omega} (\nabla u \nabla v + \lambda u^p v) dx = \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^{2^*(s)-1}}{|x|^s} v dx \quad (1.2)$$

for every  $v \in H^1(\Omega)$ . Problem (1.1) is characterized by lack of compactness because embeddings of the space  $H^1(\Omega)$  into spaces  $L^{2^*}(\Omega)$  and  $L^{2^*(s)}(\Omega, |x|^{-s})$  are continuous but not compact. The literature on problems involving the critical Sobolev exponent and the Hardy-Sobolev potential is very extensive. The pioneering paper by Brezis and Nirenberg [6] has greatly inspired research on nonlinear elliptic problems involving these critical exponents. For further developments we refer to survey articles [4, 19] and the monograph [24]. The results of the paper [6], which deals with the Dirichlet problem have been extended by many authors to the Neumann problem. We mention here some of them [1, 2, 7–12, 15, 16, 22] and [23]. This paper has been inspired by the recent article [17]. The authors of this paper considered a number nonlinear problems, with the Dirichlet boundary conditions, involving the critical Sobolev exponent and the Hardy-Sobolev potential. In particular, they considered the following problems:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \lambda u^p = \frac{u^{2^*(s)-1}}{|x|^s} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad u > 0 \text{ on } \Omega \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u - \lambda u^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}} = \frac{u^{2^*(s)-1}}{|x|^s} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad u > 0 \text{ on } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

The following two theorems have been established in [17]:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $0 \in \partial\Omega$ ,  $1 \leq p < \frac{N}{N-2}$ ,  $p+1 < 2^*(s)$  with  $0 < s < 2$ . If the mean curvature of  $\partial\Omega$  at 0 is negative, then problem (1.3) has a solution.*

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $0 \in \partial\Omega$ . Suppose that the mean curvature of  $\partial\Omega$  at 0 is negative. Then problem (1.4) has a solution provided that one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i)  $N = 3$  and  $0 < s < 1$ ,
- (ii)  $N \geq 4$  and  $0 < s < 2$ .

We now observe that equation (1.4) with the Neumann boundary conditions has no positive solution. Indeed, assuming that  $u$  is a solution, it follows from the definition of a weak solution of (1.4) that

$$\lambda \int_{\Omega} u^{\frac{N+2}{N-2}} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^{2^*(s)-1}}{|x|^s} dx = 0$$

which is impossible.

In this paper we focus our attention on problem (1.1) which is an extension of (1.3) to the Neumann boundary conditions. Unlike in paper [17] we consider a full range of exponents  $p, 2^*(s)$  and distinguish three cases: (i)  $2 < p+1 < 2^*(s)$ , (ii)  $p+1 = 2^*(s)$ , (iii)  $2^*(s) < p+1 \leq 2^*$ . In particular, a solution in the case (iii) has been obtained by a local minimization. However, this method cannot be used for the same equation with the Dirichlet boundary conditions.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains some information about minimizers for the best Sobolev and Hardy-Sobolev constants that is used in the next sections. The existence results for problem (1.1) in these three cases are given in Sections 3, 4 and 5. In the final Section 6 we discuss the solvability for problem (1.1) with terms  $u^p$  and  $\frac{u^{2^*(s)-1}}{|x|^s}$  interchanged.

Throughout this paper we denote a strong convergence by " $\rightarrow$ " and a weak convergence by " $\rightharpoonup$ ".

Let  $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^1$  functional on a Banach space  $X$ . We recall that a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  is a Palais-Smale sequence for  $\phi$  at a level  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  (a  $(PS)_c$  sequence for short) if  $\phi(x_n) \rightarrow c$  and  $\phi'(x_n) \rightarrow 0$  in  $X^*$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Finally, we say that the functional  $\phi$  satisfies the Palais-Smale condition at level  $c$  ( $(PS)_c$  condition for short) if each  $(PS)_c$  sequence is relatively compact in  $X$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Solutions to problem (1.1) will be sought as critical points of the variational functional

$$J_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{\lambda}{p+1} \int_\Omega |u|^{p+1} dx - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx.$$

It is clear that  $J_\lambda$  is of class  $C^1$  on  $H^1(\Omega)$ .

Problems investigated in this paper are closely related to optimal constants of the Hardy-Sobolev type. The best Sobolev constant is defined by

$$S = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx : u \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N), \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} dx = 1 \right\},$$

where  $D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \nabla u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)\}$ .  $S$  is attained by a family of functions (see [21])

$$U_{\epsilon,y}(x) = \epsilon^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} U\left(\frac{x-y}{\epsilon}\right), \quad \epsilon > 0, y \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

called instantons, where

$$U(x) = \left( \frac{N(N-2)}{N(N-2) + |x|^2} \right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}.$$

We also have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla U|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U^{2^*} dx = S^{\frac{N}{2}}$$

and moreover  $U$  satisfies the equation

$$-\Delta u = u^{2^*-1} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

The best Sobolev constant can be defined on every domain  $\Omega$ . It is well-known that  $S$  is independent of  $\Omega$  and is only attained when  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$ .

The best Hardy-Sobolev constant for the domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is defined by

$$M_s(\Omega) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx : \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx = 1, u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \right\}.$$

If  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$ , we write  $M_s$  instead of  $M_s(\Omega)$ . If  $s = 0$ , then  $M_0 = S$ . In the case  $0 < s < 2$ ,  $M_s(\Omega)$  depends on  $\Omega$  (see [16]). If  $s = 2$ , we obtain the Hardy constant and  $M_2$  is independent of  $\Omega$  and is given by  $M_2 = \left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^2$ . The constant  $M_2$  is not attained.

If  $0 < s < 2$ , then  $M_s$  is attained by a family of functions

$$W_{\epsilon}(x) = \frac{C_N \epsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2(2-s)}}}{\left(\epsilon + |x|^{2-s}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2-s}}},$$

where  $C_N > 0$  is normalizing constant depending on  $N$  and  $s$ . Moreover,  $W_{\epsilon}$  satisfies the equation

$$-\Delta u = \frac{u^{2^*(s)-1}}{|x|^s} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N - \{0\}.$$

We also have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla W_{\epsilon}|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{W_{\epsilon}^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx = M_s^{\frac{N-s}{2-s}}.$$

### 3. CASE $p + 1 < 2^*(s)$

First we show that the functional  $J_{\lambda}$  has a mountain-pass structure. The following result is well-known (see [16]).

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $0 \in \partial\Omega$ . Then there exists a constant  $S_H > 0$  such that*

$$\left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \leq S_H \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 + u^2) dx$$

for every  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $2 < p + 1 < 2^*(s)$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Then there exist constants  $\kappa > 0$  and  $\rho > 0$  such that*

$$J_\lambda(u) \geq \kappa \text{ for } \|u\| = \rho. \tag{3.1}$$

*Proof.* It follows from the Hölder inequality that

$$\int_\Omega u^2 dx \leq \left( \int_\Omega |u|^{p+1} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p+1}} |\Omega|^{1-\frac{2}{p+1}}.$$

Hence

$$\int_\Omega |u|^{p+1} dx \geq \left( \int_\Omega u^2 dx \right)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} |\Omega|^{1-\frac{p+1}{2}}.$$

Thus

$$J_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{\lambda}{p+1} |\Omega|^{1-\frac{p+1}{2}} \left( \int_\Omega u^2 dx \right)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx.$$

If  $\|u\| = \rho < 1$ , then  $\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx < 1$  and

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \left( \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{p+1}{2}}$$

as  $p + 1 > 2$ . From this we obtain the following estimate of  $J_\lambda$  for  $\|u\| = \rho$ :

$$J_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} + \frac{\lambda}{p+1} |\Omega|^{1-\frac{p+1}{2}} \left( \int_\Omega u^2 dx \right)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx.$$

Let  $c_1 = \min(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{p+1} |\Omega|^{1-\frac{p+1}{2}})$ . Then using Lemma 3.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u) &\geq c_1 \left[ \left( \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} + \left( \int_\Omega u^2 dx \right)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \right] - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \geq \\ &\geq c_1 2^{\frac{1-p}{2}} \left( \int_\Omega (|\nabla u|^2 + u^2) dx \right)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} - \frac{S_H^{2^*(s)}}{2^*(s)} \|u\|^{2^*(s)}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $\rho > 0$  sufficiently small the estimate (3.1) follows. □

We now observe that if  $u = t\phi$  with  $\phi \in H^1(\Omega)$  and  $\phi \neq 0$  then  $J_\lambda(t\phi) < 0$  for  $t > 0$  sufficiently large. Thus the functional  $J_\lambda$  has a mountain-pass structure (see [3]).

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $\lambda > 0$  and  $2 < p + 1 < 2^*(s)$ . Then  $J_\lambda$  satisfies the  $(PS)_c$  condition for*

$$c < \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \right) M_s^{\frac{N-s}{2-s}}. \tag{3.2}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\{u_n\} \subset H^1(\Omega)$  be a  $(PS)_c$  sequence with  $c$  satisfying (3.2). First we show that  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $H^1(\Omega)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{1}{p+1} \langle J'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle &= \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1} \right) \int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + \\ &+ \lambda \left( \frac{1}{p+1} - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \right) \int_\Omega \frac{|u_n|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx = c + o(\|u_n\|). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\frac{1}{p+1} - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} > 0$  we see that

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + \int_\Omega \frac{|u_n|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \leq C + o(\|u_n\|)$$

for some constant  $C > 0$ . This obviously shows that  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $H^1(\Omega)$ . Hence we may assume that  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  in  $H^1(\Omega)$ ,  $L^{2^*(s)}(\Omega, |x|^{-s})$  and  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $L^{p+1}(\Omega)$ . By the concentration-compactness principle (see [18]) there exist constants  $\mu_0 > 0$  and  $\nu_0 > 0$  such that

$$|\nabla u_n|^2 \rightharpoonup \mu \geq |\nabla u|^2 + \mu_0 \delta_0$$

and

$$\frac{|u_n|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} \rightharpoonup \nu = \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} + \nu_0 \delta_0$$

in the sense of measures, where  $\delta_0$  denotes the Dirac measure assigned to 0. The constants  $\nu_0$  and  $\mu_0$  satisfy the inequality

$$2^{-\frac{2-s}{N-s}} \nu_0^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} M_s \leq \mu_0. \tag{3.3}$$

To complete the proof it is sufficient to show that  $\nu_0 = 0$ . Arguing by contradiction assume that  $\nu_0 > 0$ . Testing  $J'_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  in  $H^{-1}(\Omega)$  by a family of functions  $\phi_\delta$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , concentrating at 0 we derive the inequality  $\mu_0 \leq \nu_0$ . From this and (3.3) we get that  $\nu_0 \geq \frac{1}{2} M_s^{\frac{N-s}{2-s}}$ . It then follows again from (3.3) that

$$\mu_0 \geq \frac{1}{2} M_s^{\frac{N-s}{2-s}}. \tag{3.4}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \langle J'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle &= \lambda \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \right) \int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + \\ &+ \lambda \left( \frac{1}{p+1} - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \right) \int_\Omega |u_n|^{p+1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we deduce from this that

$$c \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \right) M_s^{\frac{N-s}{2-s}},$$

which is impossible. Since  $\nu_0 = 0$ ,  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $L^{2^*(s)}(\Omega, |x|^{-s})$ . This and the fact that  $J'_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  in  $H^{-1}(\Omega)$  imply that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $H^1(\Omega)$ .  $\square$

A solution to problem (1.1) always exists for  $\lambda$  belonging to a small interval  $(0, \Lambda)$ . Indeed, for  $t \geq 0$  we have

$$J_\lambda(t) = \frac{\lambda}{p+1} |\Omega| t^{p+1} - \frac{t^{2^*(s)}}{2^*(s)} \int_\Omega \frac{dx}{|x|^s}$$

and

$$\max_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(t) = J_\lambda(t_{\max}) = \left( \frac{1}{p+1} - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \right) \frac{(\lambda |\Omega|)^{\frac{2^*(s)}{2^*(s)-p-1}}}{\left( \int_\Omega \frac{dx}{|x|^s} \right)^{\frac{p+1}{2^*(s)-p-1}}},$$

where

$$t_{\max} = \left( \frac{\lambda |\Omega|}{\int_\Omega \frac{dx}{|x|^s}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*(s)-p-1}}.$$

If  $\lambda > 0$  satisfies the following inequality

$$\left( \frac{1}{p+1} - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \right) \frac{(\lambda |\Omega|)^{\frac{2^*(s)}{2^*(s)-p-1}}}{\left( \int_\Omega \frac{dx}{|x|^s} \right)^{\frac{p+1}{2^*(s)-p-1}}} < \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \right) M_s^{\frac{N-s}{2-s}},$$

then problem (1.1) has a solution. It is clear that this inequality holds for  $\lambda$  belonging to some interval  $(0, \Lambda)$ .

To verify the validity of the condition (3.2) for each  $\lambda > 0$ , we need the following asymptotic properties of  $W_\epsilon$ . Let

$$I(u) = \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\left( \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{N-2}{2-s}}},$$

then we have

$$I(W_\epsilon) = \begin{cases} \frac{M_s}{2^{\frac{N-s}{2-s}}} - H(0) a_N \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2-s}} + o(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2-s}}) & \text{for } N \geq 4, \\ \frac{M_s}{2^{\frac{N-s}{2-s}}} - H(0) b_N \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2-s}} |\log \epsilon| + o(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2-s}}) & \text{for } N = 3, \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

where  $H(0)$  denotes the mean curvature of  $\partial\Omega$  at 0, and  $a_N, b_N$  are positive constants depending on  $N$  and  $s$  (see [16]).

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $\lambda > 0$  and  $H(0) > 0$ .*

- (i) *If  $N \geq 4$ ,  $1 < p < \frac{N}{N-2}$  and  $0 < s < 1$ , then problem (1.1) has a solution.*
- (ii) *If  $N = 3$  and  $2 < p < 3$  and  $0 < s < 1$ , then problem (1.1) has a solution.*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $\lambda = 1$ . It suffices to verify the condition (3.2). Then the existence of a solution follows from the mountain-pass theorem [3]. Since  $p+1 < 2^*(s)$ , there exists a constant  $t_\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$\max_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tW_\epsilon) = \frac{t_\epsilon^2}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla W_\epsilon|^2 dx - \frac{t_\epsilon^{2^*(s)}}{2^*(s)} \int_\Omega \frac{W_\epsilon^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx + \frac{t_\epsilon^{p+1}}{p+1} \int_\Omega W_\epsilon^{p+1} dx.$$

It is easy to show that  $t_\epsilon$  is bounded independently of  $\epsilon > 0$ , that is, there exists a constant  $T > 0$  such that  $t_\epsilon \leq T$  for every  $\epsilon > 0$  (small). From this we deduce that

$$\max_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tW_\epsilon) \leq \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \right) \left[ \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla W_\epsilon|^2 dx}{\left( \int_\Omega \frac{W_\epsilon^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \right)^{\frac{N-2}{N-s}}} \right]^{\frac{N-s}{2-s}} + \frac{T^{p+1}}{p+1} \int_\Omega W_\epsilon^{p+1} dx. \tag{3.6}$$

We now observe that

$$\int_\Omega W_\epsilon^{p+1} dx = O\left( \epsilon^{\frac{2N-(N-2)(p+1)}{2(2-s)}} \right), \tag{3.7}$$

if  $\frac{2}{N-2} < p$ . Since  $p < \frac{N}{N-2}$  we see that  $\int_\Omega W_\epsilon^{p+1} dx = o(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2-s}})$ . We point out here that conditions  $p < \frac{N}{N-2}$  and  $0 < s < 1$  yield  $p+1 < 2^*(s)$ . Finally, combining (3.5) with inequalities (3.6) and (3.7) we get condition (3.2) and assertions (i) and (ii) follow. According to Theorem 10 in [5] these mountain-pass solutions can be taken to be nonnegative and by the strong maximum principle these solutions are positive on  $\Omega$  (see [14]). □

4. CASE  $p+1 = 2^*(s)$ ,  $0 < s < 2$

In this case we also have  $p+1 < 2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$ . If  $p+1 = 2^*(s)$  with  $0 < s < 2$ , then  $s = N - \frac{(N-2)(p+1)}{2}$ . Obviously if  $1 < p < \frac{N+2}{N-2}$ , then  $0 < s < 2$ . In this case we look for a solution of (1.1) as a minimizer of the constrained variational problem

$$I = \inf \left\{ \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx : u \in H^1(\Omega), \int_\Omega \left( \frac{1}{|x|^s} - \lambda \right) |u|^{p+1} dx = 1 \right\}. \tag{4.1}$$

A minimizer  $u$  after rescaling  $I^{\frac{1}{p-1}} u$  is a solution of problem (1.1). It is assumed that a parameter  $\lambda > 0$  satisfies

$$\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega \frac{dx}{|x|^s} < \lambda. \tag{4.2}$$



To justify this assumption let us assume that  $u$  is a solution of problem (1.1). Testing (1.2) with  $v = 1$  we get

$$\lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx = \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^s} dx \geq d^{-s} \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx,$$

where  $d = \text{diam } \Omega$ . This inequality implies that  $\lambda$  satisfies

$$\lambda > d^{-s}. \tag{4.3}$$

Obviously inequality (4.2) yields inequality (4.3).

To proceed further we need the following decomposition of the space  $H^1(\Omega)$ . Since 0 is the first eigenvalue of the operator “ $-\Delta$ ” with the Neumann boundary conditions, we have the following decomposition of  $H^1(\Omega)$ :

$$H^1(\Omega) = V \oplus \mathbb{R} \quad \text{with} \quad V = \left\{ v \in H^1(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} v dx = 0 \right\}.$$

Using this decomposition we can define an equivalent norm on  $H^1(\Omega)$  given by

$$\|u\|_V^2 = \|\nabla v\|_2^2 + t^2 \quad \text{for} \quad u = v + t \quad \text{with} \quad v \in V, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $p + 1 = 2^*(s)$  for some  $0 < s < 2$ . Suppose that (4.2) holds. Then  $I > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Arguing by contradiction, assume that  $I = 0$ . Let  $u_n = v_n + t_n$ ,  $v_n \in V$ ,  $t_n \in \mathbb{R}$  be a minimizing sequence for  $I = 0$ . Since  $\|\nabla v_n\|_2^2 \rightarrow 0$ , we see that  $v_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . We now show that the sequence  $\{t_n\}$  is bounded. In the contrary case we may assume that  $t_n \rightarrow \infty$  (the case  $t_n \rightarrow -\infty$  can be treated in a similar way). We have

$$1 + \lambda \int_{\Omega} |v_n + t_n|^{p+1} dx = \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} |v_n + t_n|^{p+1} dx, \tag{4.4}$$

that is,

$$t_n^{-p-1} + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{v_n}{t_n} + 1 \right|^{p+1} dx = \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} \left| \frac{v_n}{t_n} + 1 \right|^{p+1} dx.$$

Since  $V$  is continuously embedded into  $L^{p+1}(\Omega)$  and  $L^{2^*(s)}(\Omega, |x|^{-s})$ , letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the above equation, we obtain

$$\lambda |\Omega| = \int_{\Omega} |x|^{-s} dx,$$

which is impossible. Thus  $\{t_n\}$  is bounded and we may assume that  $t_n \rightarrow t_0$ . Using this, we derive a contradiction from (4.4). This contradiction completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $p+1 = 2^*(s)$  for some  $0 < s < 2$  and suppose that (4.2) holds. If*

$$I < \frac{M_s}{2^{\frac{2-s}{N-s}}}, \tag{4.5}$$

*then problem (1.1) has a solution.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{u_n\}$  be a minimizing sequence for  $I$  such that  $\int_{\Omega} (|x|^{-s} - \lambda)|u_n|^{p+1} dx = 1$  for each  $n$ . We have  $u_n = v_n + t_n$ ,  $v_n \in V$ ,  $t_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . Assuming that the sequence  $\{t_n\}$  is unbounded, we obtain a contradiction, as in the proof of Lemma 4.1. Thus the sequence  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $H^1(\Omega)$  and we may assume that  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  in  $H^1(\Omega)$ ,  $L^{2^*(s)}(\Omega, |x|^{-s})$  and  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $L^{p+1}(\Omega)$ . It then follows from the concentration-compactness principle that there exist constants  $\mu_0 \geq 0$  and  $\nu_0 \geq 0$  such that

$$|\nabla u_n|^2 \rightharpoonup \mu \geq |\nabla u|^2 + \mu_0 \delta_0$$

and

$$\frac{|u_n|^{p+1}}{|x|^s} - \lambda|u_n|^{p+1} \rightharpoonup |u|^{p+1} \left( \frac{1}{|x|^s} - \lambda \right) + \nu_0 \delta_0$$

in the sense of measures. The constants  $\mu_0$  and  $\nu_0$  satisfy the following inequality

$$\frac{M_s \nu_0^{\frac{2}{p+1}}}{2^{\frac{2-s}{N-s}}} \leq \mu_0. \tag{4.6}$$

Moreover, there holds

$$1 = \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{|x|^s} - \lambda \right) |u|^{p+1} dx + \nu_0. \tag{4.7}$$

First we show that

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{|x|^s} - \lambda \right) |u|^{p+1} dx > 0.$$

In the contrary case we would have

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{|x|^s} - \lambda \right) |u|^{p+1} dx \leq 0.$$

By (4.7), we would have  $\nu_0 \geq 1$ . It then follows from (4.6) that  $\mu_0 \geq \frac{M_s}{2^{\frac{2-s}{N-s}}}$ . Consequently,

$$I \geq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \mu_0 \geq \frac{M_s}{2^{\frac{2-s}{N-s}}}$$

which is impossible. From the definition of  $I$  we derive, using (4.5) and (4.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &\geq I\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{|u|^{p+1}}{|x|^s}-\lambda|u|^{p+1}\right)dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p+1}}+\frac{M_s\nu_0^{\frac{2}{p+1}}}{2^{\frac{2-s}{N-s}}}> \\
 &> I\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{|u|^{p+1}}{|x|^s}-\lambda|u|^{p+1}\right)dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p+1}}+I\nu_0^{\frac{2}{p+1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$1 > \left(\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{|u|^{p+1}}{|x|^s}-\lambda|u|^{p+1}\right)dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p+1}}+\nu_0^{\frac{2}{p+1}}.$$

This is obviously in contradiction with (4.7). Therefore  $\mu_0 = \nu_0 = 0$  and the minimizing sequence  $\{u_n\}$  converges in  $H^1(\Omega)$  to  $u$ . A minimizer  $u$ , up to a multiplicative constant, is a solution of problem (1.1). Indeed, let  $\phi \in H^1(\Omega)$  and set

$$f(t)=\frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla(u+t\phi)|^2dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega}(|x|^{-s}-\lambda)|u+t\phi|^{2^*(s)}dx\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}}}$$

for  $t$  small. Since  $f'(0) = 0$ , we get

$$\int_{\Omega}\nabla u\nabla\phi dx=I\int_{\Omega}\frac{|u|^{2^*(s)-2}u}{|x|^s}dx.$$

We now set  $u = \frac{1}{I^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}v$  and it is easy to check that  $v$  is a solution of problem (1.1). Since  $|u|$  is also a minimizer for  $I$ , we may assume that  $u$  is nonnegative and by the strong maximum principle  $u(x) > 0$  on  $\Omega$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $p + 1 = 2^*(s)$  for some  $1 < s < 2$  and  $H(0) > 0$ . Suppose that (4.2) holds. Then (4.5) holds and problem (1.1) has a solution.*

*Proof.* The assumption that  $1 < s < 2$  implies that  $p < \frac{N}{N-2}$ . To verify (4.5) we need the following asymptotic properties of  $W_\epsilon$  (see [16]). Let  $K_1(\epsilon) = \int_{\Omega}|\nabla W_\epsilon|^2 dx$  and

$$K_2(\epsilon)=\int_{\Omega}\frac{W_\epsilon^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s}dx.$$

We then have (see [16])

$$K_1(\epsilon)=\frac{1}{2}K_1-I(\epsilon)+o\left(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2-s}}\right),$$

$$K_2(\epsilon)=\frac{1}{2}K_2-\Pi(\epsilon)+o\left(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2-s}}\right),$$

where

$$K_1=c_N^2(N-2)^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{|y|^{2-2s}dy}{(1+|y|^{2-s})^{\frac{2(N-s)}{2-s}}},$$

$$K_2 = c_N^{2^*(s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{dy}{|y|^s (1 + |y|^{2-s})^{\frac{2(N-s)}{2-s}}},$$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2-s}} I(\epsilon) = H(0)A_N \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2-s}} \Pi(\epsilon) = H(0)B_N,$$

where  $A_N > 0$  and  $B_N > 0$  are constants depending on  $N$  and  $s$ . We also have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{I(\epsilon)}{\Pi(\epsilon)} > \frac{(N-2)K_1}{(N-s)K_2}.$$

Since  $1 < s < 2$ , it is easy to check that

$$\int_{\Omega} W_{\epsilon}^{p+1} dx = O\left(\epsilon^{\frac{2N-(N-2)(p+1)}{2(2-s)}}\right) = O\left(\epsilon^{\frac{s}{2-s}}\right) = o\left(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2-s}}\right).$$

Using these asymptotic formulae we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla W_{\epsilon}|^2 dx}{\left(\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{W_{\epsilon}^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} - \lambda W_{\epsilon}^{2^*(s)}\right) dx\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}}} &= \frac{\frac{1}{2}K_1 - I(\epsilon) + o\left(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2-s}}\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{2}K_2 - \Pi(\epsilon) + o\left(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2-s}}\right)\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}}} = \\ &= \frac{M_s}{2^{\frac{2-s}{N-s}}} - H(0)a_N \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2-s}} + o\left(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2-s}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for some constant  $a_N$  depending on  $N$  and  $s$ . This obviously yields (4.5). □

### 5. CASE $2^*(s) < p + 1 \leq 2^*$ , $0 < s < 2$

In this case we modify equation (1.1) by moving a parameter  $\lambda$  to the term  $\frac{|u|^{2^*(s)-1}}{|x|^s}$ , that is, we consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + u^p = \lambda \frac{u^{2^*(s)-1}}{|x|^s} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad u > 0 \text{ on } \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

In fact, problem (1.1) can be reduced to (5.1) by introducing a new unknown function  $u = \lambda^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}v$ . Then  $v$  satisfies the equation

$$-\Delta v + v^p = \lambda^{-\frac{2^*(s)-2}{p-1}} \frac{v^{2^*(s)-1}}{|x|^s}.$$

The variational functional for problem (5.1) is given by

$$I_{\lambda}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+1} dx - \frac{\lambda}{2^*(s)} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx.$$

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $2^*(s) < p + 1 \leq 2^*$ . Then there exists  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that problem (5.1) has a solution for each  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$  (consequently problem (1.1) has a solution for  $\lambda > \lambda_0^{-\frac{p-1}{2^*(s)-2}}$ ).*

*Proof.* First we consider the case  $2^*(s) < p + 1 = 2^*$ . As in the proof of Proposition 3.2 we obtain the following estimate

$$I_\lambda(u) \geq c_1 2^{\frac{1-p}{2}} \rho^{p+1} - \lambda \frac{S_H^{\frac{2^*(s)}{2}}}{2^{2^*(s)}} \rho^{2^*(s)}$$

for  $\|u\| = \rho < 1$ , where  $c_1 = \min\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{|\Omega|^{1-\frac{p+1}{2}}}{p+1}\right)$ . Let

$$c_2 = \frac{c_1 2^{\frac{1-p}{2}} 2^{2^*(s)}}{2S_H^{\frac{2^*(s)}{2}}} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \rho < \min\left(1, \left[\frac{M_s^{\frac{N-s}{2-s}}}{2c_2^{\frac{N-2}{2-s}}}\right]^{\frac{2-s}{4}}\right).$$

We choose  $\lambda_0$  satisfying

$$\lambda_0 \frac{S_H^{\frac{2^*(s)}{2}}}{2^{2^*(s)}} \rho^{2^*(s)} = \frac{1}{2} c_1 2^{\frac{1-p}{2}} \rho^{2^*},$$

that is,

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{c_1 2^{\frac{1-p}{2}} 2^{2^*(s)}}{2S_H^{\frac{2^*(s)}{2}}} \rho^{\frac{2s}{N-2}} = c_2 \rho^{\frac{2s}{N-2}}.$$

Then

$$I_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} c_1 2^{\frac{1-p}{2}} \rho^{2^*(s)}$$

for  $\|u\| = \rho$  and  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ . We also have  $d = \inf_{\|u\| \leq \rho} I_\lambda(u) < 0$  for each  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ . By the Ekeland variational principle (see [13]) there exists a sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset \{u : \|u\| \leq \rho\}$  such that  $I_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow d$  and  $I'_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  in  $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ . Applying the P.L. Lions' concentration-compactness principle (see [18]) there exist points  $\{x_j\} \subset \bar{\Omega}$  and constants  $\nu_j, \mu_j, j \in J \cup \{0\}$  such that

$$|\nabla u_n|^2 dx \rightharpoonup d\mu \geq |\nabla u|^2 dx + \sum_{j \in J} \mu_j \delta_{x_j} + \mu_0 \delta_0, \tag{5.2}$$

$$|u_n|^{2^*} dx \rightharpoonup d\nu = |u|^{2^*} dx + \sum_{j \in J} \nu_j \delta_{x_j} + \nu_0 \delta_0, \tag{5.3}$$

$$\frac{|u_n|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx \rightharpoonup d\gamma = \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} + \gamma_0 \delta_0, \tag{5.4}$$

$$S\nu_j^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq \mu_j \quad \text{if } x_j \in \Omega, j \in J, \tag{5.5}$$

$$\frac{S}{2^{\frac{2}{N}}} \nu_j^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq \mu_j \text{ if } x_j \in \partial\Omega, j \in J, \tag{5.6}$$

and

$$\frac{M_s}{2^{\frac{2-s}{N-s}}} \gamma_0^{\frac{2}{2^*(s)}} \leq \mu_0. \tag{5.7}$$

Testing  $I'_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  in  $H^{-1}(\Omega)$  with  $u_n \varphi_\delta$ , where  $\varphi_\delta, \delta > 0$ , is a family of  $C^1$ -functions concentrating at  $x_j$  as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  we deduce that

$$\mu_j + \nu_j = 0 \text{ for } j \in J.$$

This shows that the concentration can only occur at  $0 \in \partial\Omega$ . In a similar way we can show that  $\mu_0 + \nu_0 \leq \lambda \gamma_0$ . It suffices to show that  $\gamma_0 = 0$ . Arguing by contradiction assume that  $\gamma_0 > 0$ . Since  $\mu_0 \leq \lambda \gamma_0$ , we derive from (5.7) that

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{M_s}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{N-s}{2-s}} \leq \gamma_0. \tag{5.8}$$

This combined with (5.7) gives

$$\frac{M_s^{\frac{N-s}{2-s}}}{2\lambda^{\frac{N-2}{2-s}}} \leq \mu_0. \tag{5.9}$$

Since  $\|u_n\| \leq \rho$ , we get from (5.9) and (5.2) that

$$\rho^2 \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_n|^2 + u_n^2) dx \geq \frac{M_s^{\frac{N-s}{2-s}}}{2\lambda^{\frac{N-2}{2-s}}} \geq \frac{M_s^{\frac{N-s}{2-s}}}{2\lambda_0^{\frac{N-2}{2-s}}}. \tag{5.10}$$

According to the choice of  $\lambda_0$  we derive from (5.10) that

$$\rho^2 \geq \frac{M_s^{\frac{N-s}{2-s}}}{2c_2^{\frac{N-2}{2-s}} \rho^{\frac{2s}{2-s}}}.$$

Hence

$$\rho \geq \left( \frac{M_s^{\frac{N-s}{2-s}}}{2c_2^{\frac{N-2}{2-s}}} \right)^{\frac{2-s}{4}}$$

and we have arrived at a contradiction with the choice of  $\rho$ . This completes the proof for the case  $2^*(s) < p + 1 = 2^*$ . If  $2^*(s) < p + 1 < 2^*$ , then the concentration of a minimizing sequence can only occur at  $0 \in \partial\Omega$ . In this case we choose  $\lambda_0$  in the following way

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{c_1 2^{\frac{1-p}{2}} 2^*(s)}{2S_H^{\frac{2^*(s)}{2}}} \rho^{p+1-2^*(s)}.$$

Arguing as in the first part of the proof we can show the existence of a solution of problem (5.1). □

6. FINAL REMARKS

In this section we consider problem (1.1) with terms  $u^p$  and  $\frac{u^{2^*(s)-1}}{|x|^s}$  interchanged, that is, we are concerned with the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \lambda \frac{u^{2^*(s)-1}}{|x|^s} = u^p & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad u > 0 \text{ on } \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{6.1}$$

where  $\lambda > 0$  is a parameter and it is assumed that  $0 \in \partial\Omega$ . As in the case of problem (1.1) we distinguish three cases: (i)  $2 < p + 1 < 2^*(s)$ , (ii)  $p + 1 = 2^*(s)$  and (iii)  $2^*(s) < p + 1 \leq 2^*$ . Solutions to problem (6.1) are sought as critical points of the variational functional

$$\Phi_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{\lambda}{2^*(s)} \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_\Omega |u|^{p+1} dx.$$

Case (i).

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $1 < p + 1 < 2^*(s)$  for some  $0 < s < 2$ . Then for each  $\lambda > 0$  problem (6.1) has a solution. Let  $u_\lambda$  be a solution corresponding to  $\lambda > 0$ . Then  $\|u_\lambda\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* We commence by showing that functional  $\Phi_\lambda$  is coercive for each  $\lambda > 0$ . Let  $d = \text{diam } \Omega$ . We then have

$$\Phi_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{\lambda}{2^*(s)d^s} \int_\Omega |u|^{2^*(s)} dx - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_\Omega |u|^{p+1} dx.$$

Using the Young inequality for each  $\delta > 0$  we have

$$\int_\Omega |u|^{p+1} dx \leq \frac{\delta^{\frac{2^*(s)}{p+1}}(p+1)}{2^*(s)} \int_\Omega |u|^{2^*(s)} dx + \frac{2^*(s) - p - 1}{2^*(s)} \delta^{-\frac{2^*(s)}{2^*(s)-p-1}} |\Omega|.$$

We choose  $\delta$  so that

$$\frac{(p+1)\delta^{\frac{2^*(s)}{p+1}}}{2^*(s)} = \frac{\lambda}{22^*(s)d^s}.$$

Thus

$$\Phi_\lambda(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{\lambda}{22^*(s)d^s} \int_\Omega |u|^{2^*(s)} dx - \frac{2^*(s) - p - 1}{2^*(s)(p+1)} \delta^{-\frac{2^*(s)}{2^*(s)-p-1}} |\Omega|.$$

This inequality shows that  $\Phi_\lambda$  is coercive. It is clear that  $\Phi_\lambda$  is weakly lower semicontinuous in  $H^1(\Omega)$ . Moreover, for  $t > 0$  small enough

$$\Phi_\lambda(t) = \frac{\lambda t^{2^*(s)}}{2^*(s)} \int_\Omega \frac{dx}{|x|^s} - \frac{t^{p+1}}{p+1} |\Omega| < 0.$$

Hence  $\infty < \inf_{u \in H^1(\Omega)} \Phi_\lambda(u) < 0$  and the existence of a minimizer follows from Theorem 1.2 in [20]. The second part of this theorem follows from the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda}{d^s} \int_{\Omega} |u_\lambda|^{2^*(s)} dx &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\lambda|^2 dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_\lambda|^{2^*(s)}}{|x|^s} dx = \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |u_\lambda|^{p+1} dx \leq \frac{p+1}{2^*(s)} \int_{\Omega} |u_\lambda|^{2^*(s)} dx + \frac{2^*(s) - p - 1}{2^*(s)} |\Omega|. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Case (ii).

In this case we were unable to find a solution for problem (6.1) through a constrained minimization. Following the argument used for problem (1.1) in this case, we observe that if  $u$  is a solution of problem (6.1) then

$$\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{2^*}}{|x|^s} dx = \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+1} dx.$$

This yields  $\lambda d^{-s} < 1$ . As in the case of problem (1.1) we introduce a stronger condition

$$\lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{|x|^s} < |\Omega| \tag{6.2}$$

which obviously implies that  $\lambda d^{-s} < 1$ . Under assumption (6.2) the constrained minimization does not produce a solution for problem (6.1). Indeed, let

$$m = \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx : u \in H^1(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{|x|^s}\right) |u|^{p+1} dx = 1 \right\}.$$

By (6.2) a constant function  $(\int_{\Omega} (1 - \frac{\lambda}{|x|^s}) dx)^{-\frac{1}{p+1}}$  belongs to the set of constraints and consequently  $m = 0$ .

Case (iii).

First, we show that the functional  $\Phi_\lambda$  has a mountain-pass structure. For  $2 < p + 1 \leq 2^*$  we set

$$S_p = \inf_{u \in H^1(\Omega) - \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 + u^2) dx}{\left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p+1} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p+1}}}.$$

**Proposition 6.2.** *Let  $2^*(s) < p + 1 \leq 2^*$ . Then for every  $\lambda > 0$  there exist constants  $0 < \rho < 1$  and  $\kappa > 0$  such that*

$$\Phi_\lambda(u) \geq \kappa \text{ for } \|u\| = \rho.$$



*Proof.* Since  $\|u\| = \rho < 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\lambda(u) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{\lambda}{2^*(s)d^s} \int_\Omega |u|^{2^*(s)} dx - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_\Omega |u|^{p+1} dx \geq \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{\lambda}{2^*(s)d^s} |\Omega|^{1-\frac{2^*(s)}{2}} \left( \int_\Omega u^2 dx \right)^{\frac{2^*(s)}{2}} - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_\Omega |u|^{p+1} dx \geq \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{2^*(s)}{2}} + \frac{\lambda}{2^*(s)d^s} |\Omega|^{1-\frac{2^*(s)}{2}} \left( \int_\Omega u^2 dx \right)^{\frac{2^*(s)}{2}} - \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_\Omega |u|^{p+1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $c_1 = \min(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2^*(s)d^s} |\Omega|^{1-\frac{2^*(s)}{2}})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\lambda(u) &\geq c_1 2^{\frac{2-2^*(s)}{2}} \left( \int_\Omega (|\nabla u|^2 + u^2) dx \right)^{\frac{2^*(s)}{2}} - \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p+1} S_p^{-\frac{p+1}{2}} \left( \int_\Omega (|\nabla u|^2 + u^2) dx \right)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} = \\ &= c_1 2^{\frac{2-2^*(s)}{2}} \rho^{2^*(s)} - \frac{1}{p+1} S_p^{-\frac{p+1}{2}} \rho^{p+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $\rho \in (0, 1)$  sufficiently small the result follows. □

**Proposition 6.3.** *The following holds:*

(i) *Let  $2^*(s) < p+1 = 2^*$  for some  $s \in (0, 2)$ . Then  $\Phi_\lambda$  satisfies the  $(PS)_c$  condition for*

$$c < \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2^*(s)} - \frac{1}{p+1} \right) S^{\frac{N}{2}}.$$

(ii) *If  $2^*(s) < p+1 < 2^*$  for some  $s \in (0, 2)$ , then the  $(PS)_c$  condition holds for all  $c \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Let  $\{u_n\} \subset H^1(\Omega)$  be a  $(PS)_c$  sequence for  $\Phi_\lambda$ , that is  $\Phi_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow c$  and  $\Phi'_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  in  $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ . First, we show that the sequence  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $H^1(\Omega)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} c + o(1) + o(\|u_n\|) &= \Phi_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \langle \Phi'_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle = \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \right) \int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + \\ &\quad + \left( \frac{1}{2^*(s)} - \frac{1}{p+1} \right) \int_\Omega |u_n|^{p+1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

From this we deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p+1} dx \leq C(1 + \|u_n\|) \tag{6.3}$$

for some constant  $C > 0$ . Since

$$\int_{\Omega} u_n^2 dx \leq |\Omega|^{1-\frac{2}{p+1}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p+1} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p+1}},$$

we deduce that  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $H^1(\Omega)$ . Hence we may assume that  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  in  $H^1(\Omega)$ ,  $L^{p+1}(\Omega)$  and  $L^{2^*(s)}(\Omega, |x|^{-s})$ . By the P.L. Lions concentration-compactness principle there exist points  $\{x_j\} \subset \Omega$  and constants  $\nu_j, \mu_j, j \in J, \gamma_0, \nu_0$  and  $\mu_0$  such that (5.2)–(5.7) hold. Moreover, we have

$$\mu_j \leq \nu_j, \quad j \in J, \tag{6.4}$$

and

$$\mu_0 + \lambda\gamma_0 \leq \nu_0. \tag{6.5}$$

It suffices to show that  $\nu_j = \nu_0 = 0$  for  $j \in J$ . Assuming that  $\nu_j > 0$  for some  $j \in J$ , we derive from (6.4), (5.5) and (5.6) that  $S^{\frac{N}{2}} \leq \nu_j$  if  $x_j \in \Omega$  and  $\frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2} \leq \nu_j$  if  $x_j \in \partial\Omega$ . Similarly, if  $\nu_0 > 0$ , then  $\frac{S^{\frac{N}{2}}}{2} \leq \nu_0$ , as  $\mu_0$  and  $\nu_0$  satisfy the inequality (5.6). We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2^*(s)} - \frac{1}{p+1} \right) S^{\frac{N}{2}} &> c + o(1) = \Phi_{\lambda}(u_n) - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \langle \Phi_{\lambda}(u_n), u_n \rangle = \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + \left( \frac{1}{2^*(s)} - \frac{1}{p+1} \right) \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p+1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we derive in all these cases that

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2^*(s)} - \frac{1}{p+1} \right) S^{\frac{N}{2}} > \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*(s)} \right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2^*(s)} - \frac{1}{p+1} \right) S^{\frac{N}{2}}$$

which is impossible. The proof of assertion (ii) is standard and is omitted. □

Let  $\phi \in H^1(\Omega) - \{0\}$ . Then for  $t > 0$  sufficiently large, we have  $\Phi_{\lambda}(t\phi) < 0$  and  $\|t\phi\| > \rho$ . Thus the functional  $\Phi_{\lambda}$  has a mountain-pass structure for every  $\lambda > 0$ . If  $2^*(s) < p+1 < 2^*$ , then  $(PS)_c$  condition holds for every  $c > 0$  and we are in a position to formulate the following existence result:

**Theorem 6.4.** *Let  $2^*(s) < p+1 < 2^*$  for some  $s \in (0, 2)$ . Then problem (6.1) has a solution for every  $\lambda > 0$ .*

In the case  $2^*(s) < p+1 = 2^*$  we have the following existence result.

**Theorem 6.5.** *Let  $2^*(s) < p + 1 = 2^*$  for some  $s \in (0, 2)$ . Then there exists a constant  $\Lambda > 0$  such that for every  $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda)$  problem (6.1) has a solution.*

*Proof.* We choose a constant  $T > 0$  such that  $\Phi_\lambda(T) < 0$  and  $\|T\| > \rho$ . We set

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], H^1(\Omega)) : \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = T\}.$$

Since the path  $\gamma(\sigma) = \sigma T$ ,  $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$ , belongs to  $\Gamma$ , we have

$$\Phi_\lambda(\sigma T) \leq \max_{t \geq 0} \Phi_\lambda(t) = \frac{(p+1-2^*(s)) \left( \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{|x|^s} \right)^{\frac{p+1}{p+1-2^*(s)}}}{(p+1)2^*(s) |\Omega|^{\frac{2^*(s)}{p+1-2^*(s)}}}.$$

Thus there exists a constant  $\Lambda > 0$  such that

$$\inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \Phi_\gamma(\gamma(t)) \leq \frac{(p+1-2^*(s)) \left( \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{|x|^s} \right)^{\frac{p+1}{p+1-2^*(s)}}}{(p+1)2^*(s) |\Omega|^{\frac{2^*(s)}{p+1-2^*(s)}}} < \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2^*(s)} - \frac{1}{p+1} \right) S^{\frac{N}{2}}$$

for  $0 < \lambda < \Lambda$ . Hence Proposition 6.3, together with the mountain-pass principle yield, the existence of a solution of problem (6.1).  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Adimurthi, G. Mancini, *The Neumann problem for elliptic equations with critical nonlinearity*, A tribute in honour of G. Prodi, Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (1991), 9–25.
- [2] Adimurthi, G. Mancini, *Effect of geometry and topology of the boundary in critical Neumann problem*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **456** (1994), 1–18.
- [3] A. Ambrosetti, P. Rabinowitz, *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, J. Funct. Anal. **14** (1973), 349–381.
- [4] T. Bartsch, Zhi-Qiang Wang, M. Willem, *The Dirichlet problem for superlinear elliptic equations*, Handbook of Differential Equations, M. Chipot and P. Quittner (eds.), Elsevier B.V., 2005.
- [5] H. Berestycki, I. Capuzzo-Dolcetta, L. Nirenberg, *Variational methods for indefinite superlinear homogeneous elliptic problems*, NoDEA **2** (1995), 553–572.
- [6] H. Brezis, L. Nirenberg, *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Commun. Pure and Appl. Mathematics XXXVI (1983), 437–477.
- [7] D. Cao, J. Chabrowski, *Critical Neumann problem with competing Hardy potentials*, Rev. Mat. Complut. **20** (2007), 309–338.
- [8] J. Chabrowski, M. Willem, *Least energy solutions of a critical Neumann problem with weight*, Calc. Var. **15** (2002), 421–431.
- [9] J. Chabrowski, *On the Neumann problem involving the Hardy-Sobolev potentials*, Annals of the University of Bucharest (Mathematical Series) **1** (LIX) (2010), 211–228.

- [10] J. Chabrowski, *On the Neumann problem with the Hardy-Sobolev potential*, Ann. Math. Pura Appl. **186** (2007), 703–719.
- [11] J. Chabrowski, *The Neumann problem for semilinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev exponent*, Milan J. Mathematics **75** (2007), 197–224.
- [12] J. Chabrowski, *On the Neumann problem with multiple critical nonlinearities*, Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations **55** (2010) 5–6, 501–524.
- [13] I. Ekeland, *On the variational principle*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **47** (1974), 324–353.
- [14] D. Gilbarg, N. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokyo, 1983.
- [15] N. Ghoussoub, C. Yuan, *Multiple solutions for quasilinear PDEs involving the critical Sobolev exponents*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **88** (2000), 5703–5743.
- [16] N. Ghoussoub, X.S. Kang, *Hardy-Sobolev critical elliptic equations with boundary singularities*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Analysis Non Linéaire **21** (2004), 767–793.
- [17] Chu-Hsiung Hsia, Chang-Shou Lin, Hidemitsu Wadade, *Revisiting an idea of Brézis and Nirenberg*, J. Funct. Anal. (2010), doi:10(16)jfa.2010.05.004
- [18] P.L. Lions, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations, The limit case. Parts 1,2*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **1** (1985) 1, 145–201 and no. 2, 45–121.
- [19] J.D. Rossi, *Elliptic problems with nonlinear boundary conditions and the Sobolev trace theorem*, Handbook of Differential Equations, M. Chipot and P. Quittner (eds.), Elsevier P.V., 2005.
- [20] M. Struwe, *Variational methods, Applications to Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Hamiltonian Systems*, Springer, 1996.
- [21] G. Talenti, *Best constants in Sobolev inequality*, Ann. Math. Pura Appl. **110** (1976), 353–372.
- [22] G. Tarantello, *Multiplicity results for an inhomogeneous Neumann problem with critical exponent*, Manuscripta Math. **81** (1993) 1, 57–78.
- [23] X.J. Wang, *Neumann problems of semilinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent*, J. Diff. Equations **93** (1991), 283–310.
- [24] M. Willem, *Min-max Theorems*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996.

Jan Chabrowski  
jhc@maths.uq.edu.au

University of Queensland  
Department of Mathematics  
St. Lucia 4072, Qld, Australia

*Received: August 5, 2013.*

*Accepted: November 7, 2013.*