

## ON THE EXTENDED AND ALLAN SPECTRA AND TOPOLOGICAL RADII

Hugo Arizmendi-Peimbert, Angel Carrillo-Hoyo,  
and Jairo Roa-Fajardo

**Abstract.** In this paper we prove that the extended spectrum  $\Sigma(x)$ , defined by W. Żelazko, of an element  $x$  of a pseudo-complete locally convex unital complex algebra  $A$  is a subset of the spectrum  $\sigma_A(x)$ , defined by G.R. Allan. Furthermore, we prove that they coincide when  $\Sigma(x)$  is closed. We also establish some order relations between several topological radii of  $x$ , among which are the topological spectral radius  $R_t(x)$  and the topological radius of boundedness  $\beta_t(x)$ .

**Keywords:** topological algebra, bounded element, spectrum, pseudocomplete algebra, topologically invertible element, extended spectral radius, topological spectral radius.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 46H05.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A complex algebra  $A$  with a topology  $\tau$  is a *locally convex* algebra if it is a Hausdorff locally convex space and its multiplication  $(x, y) \rightarrow xy$  is jointly continuous. The topology of  $A$  can be given by the family of all continuous seminorms on  $A$ .

Throughout this paper  $A = (A, \tau)$  will be a locally convex complex algebra with unit  $e$ ,  $A'$  its topological dual and  $\{\|\cdot\|_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  the family of all continuous seminorms on  $A$ .

An element  $x \in A$  is called *bounded* if for some non-zero complex number  $\lambda$ , the set  $\{(\lambda x)^n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is a bounded set of  $A$ . The set of all bounded elements of  $A$  is denoted by  $A_0$ .

For  $x \in A$  define the *radius of boundedness*  $\beta(x)$  of  $x$  by

$$\beta(x) = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \left\{ \left( \frac{x}{\lambda} \right)^n : n \geq 1 \right\} \text{ is bounded} \right\}$$

adopting the usual convention that  $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ . Henceforth we shall use this convention without further mention.

Notice that  $\lambda_0 > 0$  and  $\left\{\left(\frac{x}{\lambda_0}\right)^n : n \geq 1\right\}$  bounded imply  $\left\|\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)^n\right\|_\alpha \rightarrow 0$  for all  $|\lambda| > \lambda_0$  and  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . Using this fact it is easy to see that  $\beta(x) = \beta_0(x)$ , where

$$\beta_0(x) = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)^n = 0 \right\}.$$

In [1], by  $\mathcal{B}_1$  it is denoted the collection of all subsets  $B$  of  $A$  such that:

- (i)  $B$  is absolutely convex and  $B^2 \subset B$ ,
- (ii)  $B$  is bounded and closed.

For any  $B \in \mathcal{B}_1$ , let  $A(B)$  be the subalgebra of  $A$  generated by  $B$ . From (i) we get

$$A(B) = \{\lambda x : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, x \in B\}.$$

The formula

$$\|x\|_B = \inf \{\lambda > 0 : x \in \lambda B\}$$

defines a norm in  $A(B)$ , which makes it a normed algebra. It will always be assumed that  $A(B)$  carries the topology induced by this norm. Since  $B$  is bounded in  $(A, \tau)$ , the norm topology on  $A(B)$  is finer than its topology as a subspace of  $(A, \tau)$ .

The algebra  $A$  is called *pseudo-complete* if each of the normed algebras  $A(B)$ , for  $B \in \mathcal{B}_1$ , is a Banach algebra. It is proved in [1, Proposition 2.6] that if  $A$  is sequentially complete, then  $A$  is pseudo-complete.

In [1], it is also introduced by G. R. Allan the *spectrum*  $\sigma_A(x)$  of  $x \in A$  as the subset of the Riemann sphere  $\mathbb{C}_\infty = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  defined as follows:

- (a) for  $\lambda \neq \infty$ ,  $\lambda \in \sigma_A(x)$  if and only if  $\lambda e - x$  has no inverse belonging to  $A_0$ ,
- (b)  $\infty \in \sigma_A(x)$  if and only if  $x \notin A_0$ .

In [1, Corollary 3.9] it is proved that  $\sigma_A(x) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $x$ . We shall call  $\sigma_A(x)$  the *Allan spectrum*.

The *Allan spectral radius*  $r_A(x)$  of  $x$  is defined by

$$r_A(x) = \sup \{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma_A(x)\},$$

where  $|\infty| = \infty$ .

On the other hand, W. Żelazko defined in [4] the concept of *extended spectrum* of  $x \in A$  in the way that we now recall.

As usual

$$\sigma(x) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda e - x \notin G(A)\},$$

where  $G(A)$  is the set of all invertible elements of  $A$ . The resolvent

$$\lambda \rightarrow R(\lambda, x) = (\lambda e - x)^{-1}$$

is then defined on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(x)$ , but it is not always a continuous map. Put

$$\sigma_d(x) = \{\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(x) : R(\lambda, x) \text{ is discontinuous at } \lambda = \lambda_0\}$$

and

$$\sigma_\infty(x) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } \lambda \rightarrow R(1, \lambda x) \text{ is continuous at } \lambda = 0, \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the extended spectrum of  $x$  is the set

$$\Sigma(x) = \sigma(x) \cup \sigma_d(x) \cup \sigma_\infty(x).$$

It is proved in [4, Theorem 15.2] that if  $A$  is complete, then  $\Sigma(x)$  is a non empty set of  $\mathbb{C}_\infty$  for every  $x$ , and the *extended spectral radius*  $R(x)$  is defined by

$$R(x) = \sup \{ |\lambda| : \lambda \in \Sigma(x) \}.$$

We shall not assume that  $A$  is complete. Nevertheless, from now on we assume that  $\Sigma(x)$  is a non empty set of  $\mathbb{C}_\infty$  for every  $x \in A$ .

## 2. COMPARISON OF $\Sigma(x)$ AND $\sigma_A(x)$

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $A$  is pseudo-complete, then  $\Sigma(x) \subset \sigma_A(x)$  for any  $x \in A$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda \notin \sigma_A(x)$  with  $\lambda \neq \infty$ , then  $\lambda \notin \sigma(x)$  and  $R(\lambda, x)$  is bounded. Hence  $R(\lambda, x) \in A(B)$  for some  $B \in \mathcal{B}_1$  ([1, Proposition 2.4]).

For any  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have that  $(\mu e - x) = (\lambda e - x) + (\mu - \lambda)e$ . Let  $0 < \gamma < \|R(\lambda, x)\|_B^{-1}$ , then for  $|\mu - \lambda| < \gamma$ , the formula

$$S_n(\mu) = R(\lambda, x) - (\mu - \lambda)R(\lambda, x)^2 + (\mu - \lambda)^2 R(\lambda, x)^3 - \dots + (-1)^n (\mu - \lambda)^n R(\lambda, x)^{n+1},$$

defines a Cauchy sequence in the Banach algebra  $A(B)$ . Therefore, it converges in  $A(B)$  to  $R(\mu, x)$ .

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $0 < \delta < \gamma$  such that

$$\|S_n(\mu) - R(\lambda, x)\|_B \leq |\mu - \lambda| \|R(\lambda, x)\|_B^2 \left( \frac{1}{1 - \gamma \|R(\lambda, x)\|_B} \right) < \varepsilon$$

for all  $n$  if  $|\lambda - \mu| < \delta$ , which implies that  $\|R(\mu, x) - R(\lambda, x)\| \leq \varepsilon$  if  $|\lambda - \mu| < \delta$ . Hence  $R(\mu, x) \rightarrow R(\lambda, x)$  as  $\mu \rightarrow \lambda$ , in  $A(B)$  and also in  $(A, \tau)$ , therefore  $\lambda \notin \sigma_d(x)$ . Thus,  $\lambda \notin \Sigma(x)$ .

If  $\infty \notin \sigma_A(x)$ , then  $x$  is bounded and there exists  $r > 0$  such that the idempotent set  $\{(\frac{x}{r})^n : n \geq 1\}$  is bounded. The closed absolutely convex hull  $B$  of  $\{(\frac{x}{r})^n : n \geq 1\}$  belongs to  $B_1$ . Consider the Banach algebra  $A(B)$ . Since  $\|\frac{x}{\beta}\|_B < 1$  for every  $|\beta| > r$ , we obtain

$$R\left(1, \frac{x}{\beta}\right) = e + \frac{x}{\beta} + \left(\frac{x}{\beta}\right)^2 + \dots$$

in the Banach algebra  $A(B)$ .

Since

$$\left\| R\left(1, \frac{x}{\beta}\right) - e \right\|_B \rightarrow 0$$

as  $|\beta| \rightarrow \infty$ , we have that  $R(1, tx) \rightarrow e$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , in  $A(B)$  and hence in  $(A, \tau)$  as well. Thus  $R(1, tx)$  is continuous in  $t = 0$  and  $\infty \notin \Sigma(x)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Suppose  $A$  is pseudo-complete and let  $x \in A$  be such that the extended spectral radius  $R(x) < \infty$ . Then for each  $f \in A'$  the function  $F(\lambda) = f(R(1, \lambda x))$  is holomorphic in the open disc  $D(0, \delta)$ , with  $\delta = \frac{1}{R(x)}$ , where  $D(0, \delta) = \mathbb{C}$  when  $R(x) = 0$ . Furthermore,*

$$F^{(n)}(\lambda) = n! f\left(R(1, \lambda x)^{n+1} x^n\right) \quad (2.1)$$

for every  $\lambda \in D(0, \delta)$  and  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . In particular,

$$F^{(n)}(0) = n! f(x^n)$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* We have that  $\lambda \notin \Sigma(x)$  whenever  $|\lambda| > R(x)$ . This implies that the function

$$\lambda \rightarrow R(1, \lambda x)$$

is continuous in the open disc  $D = D(0, \delta)$ . By definition  $F^{(0)}(0) = f(e)$  and  $F(\lambda) = f(R(1, \lambda x))$  is holomorphic in  $D$  since

$$\begin{aligned} F'(\lambda_0) &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} \frac{f(R(1, \lambda x)) - f(R(1, \lambda_0 x))}{\lambda - \lambda_0} = \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} f\left(\frac{R(1, \lambda x) R(1, \lambda_0 x) (\lambda - \lambda_0) x}{\lambda - \lambda_0}\right) = \\ &= f\left(R(1, \lambda_0 x)^2 x\right) \end{aligned}$$

for every  $\lambda_0 \in D$ .

It is easy to obtain (2.1) by induction.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** *If  $A$  is pseudo-complete, then for any  $x \in A$  we have that  $\Sigma(x) = \sigma_A(x)$  if  $\Sigma(x)$  is closed in  $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in A$  and assume that  $\Sigma(x)$  is closed, then by Theorem 2.1 we only have to prove that  $\lambda_0 \notin \Sigma(x)$  implies  $\lambda_0 \notin \sigma_A(x)$ .

Let  $\lambda_0 \notin \Sigma(x)$ , with  $\lambda_0 \neq \infty$ , then  $\lambda_0 e - x \in G(A)$ . We shall show that  $(\lambda_0 e - x)^{-1}$  is bounded. Since  $\Sigma(x)$  is closed, then there exists an open disc  $D(\lambda_0)$  around  $\lambda_0$  such that  $\lambda e - x \in G(A)$  if  $\lambda \in D(\lambda_0)$  and  $R(\lambda, x)$  is continuous at  $\lambda = \lambda_0$ . Using the identity

$$(\lambda e - x)^{-1} - (\lambda_0 e - x)^{-1} = (\lambda_0 - \lambda) (\lambda e - x)^{-1} (\lambda_0 e - x)^{-1},$$

we obtain

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} \frac{R(\lambda, x) - R(\lambda_0, x)}{\lambda - \lambda_0} = -R(\lambda_0, x)^2.$$

Then for any  $f \in A'$  we get

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} \frac{f(R(\lambda, x)) - f(R(\lambda_0, x))}{\lambda - \lambda_0} = -f(R(\lambda_0, x)^2),$$

which implies that  $R(\lambda, x)$  is weakly holomorphic in  $\lambda = \lambda_0$ . By [1, Theorem 3.8 (i)] we obtain that  $(\lambda_0 e - x)^{-1}$  is bounded in  $A$ . Therefore,  $\lambda_0 \notin \sigma_A(x)$ .

If  $\infty \notin \Sigma(x)$ , then some neighborhood of  $\infty$  does not intersect  $\Sigma(x)$  and we have that  $R(x) < \infty$ . Let  $f \in A'$ . By Lemma 2.2, the Taylor expansion of  $F(\lambda) = f(R(1, \lambda x))$  around 0 is

$$F(\lambda) = f(e) + \lambda f(x) + \frac{2\lambda^2}{2!} f(x^2) + \dots$$

for  $|\lambda| < \frac{1}{R(x)}$ . In particular,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(\lambda_0^n x^n) = 0$  for some  $\lambda_0 > 0$  and then  $\{f(\lambda_0^n x^n) : n \geq 1\}$  is bounded; therefore  $\{(\lambda_0 x)^n : n \geq 1\}$  is bounded. Thus  $x \in A_0$  and  $\infty \notin \sigma_A(x)$ . □

### 3. COMPARISON BETWEEN TOPOLOGICAL RADII

Let  $x \in A$ , we say that  $x$  is *topologically invertible* if  $\overline{xA} = \overline{Ax} = A$ , i.e. for each neighborhood  $V$  of  $e$  there exist  $a_V, a'_V \in A$  such that  $xa_V \in V$  and  $a'_V x \in V$ .

The *topological spectrum*  $\sigma_t(x)$  of  $x$  is the set

$$\sigma_t(x) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda e - x \text{ is not topological invertible}\}.$$

The *topological spectral radius*  $R_t(x)$  is defined by

$$R_t(x) = \sup \{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma_t(x)\}.$$

Having in mind the definition of  $\beta_0(x)$  we define the *topological radius of boundedness*  $\beta_t(x)$  of  $x$  by

$$\beta_t(x) = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \liminf_n \left\| \left( \frac{x}{\lambda} \right)^n \right\|_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Lambda \right\}.$$

In [2] the first author defined the *lower extended spectral radius* of  $x$  by

$$R_*(x) = \sup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \liminf_n \sqrt[n]{\|x^n\|_\alpha}$$

and in [3] it is proved that if  $A$  is a complete locally convex unital algebra, then for any  $x \in A$  we have  $R_*(x) \leq r_0(x)$ , and  $R_*(x) = r_0(x)$  if  $A$  is a unital  $B_0$ -algebra (metrizable complete locally convex algebra), where

$$r_0(x) = \inf \{ 0 < r \leq \infty : \text{there exists } (a_n)_0^\infty, a_n \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ such that} \\ \sum a_n \lambda^n \text{ has radius of convergence } r \text{ and} \\ \sum a_n x^n \text{ converges in } A \}$$

(In [3] this radius is denoted by  $r_6(x)$ ).

Here we have the following result.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $x \in A$ . Then*

$$R_t(x) \leq \beta_t(x) = R_*(x) \leq \beta(x) \leq r_A(x).$$

*Proof.* The first inequality is obvious if  $\beta_t(x) = \infty$ , therefore let  $\beta_t(x) < \infty$ . Given  $\lambda > \beta_t(x)$  and  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , there exists a subsequence  $(n_k)_k = (n_k(\alpha))_k$  of the natural sequence  $(n)$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)^{n_k} \right\|_\alpha = 0$ . Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \left( \frac{e}{\lambda} + \frac{x}{\lambda^2} + \dots + \frac{x^{n_k-1}}{\lambda^{n_k}} \right) (\lambda e - x) - e \right\|_\alpha = 0.$$

Hence  $\lambda e - x$  is topologically invertible for any such  $\lambda$  and it follows that  $R_t(x) \leq \beta_t(x)$ .

If  $R_*(x) = \infty$ , then  $\beta_t(x) \leq R_*(x)$ . Now suppose  $R_*(x) < \mu < \lambda < \infty$ . Then given  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  there exists a subsequence  $(n_k)_k = (n_k(\alpha))_k$  of  $(n)$  such that  $\sqrt[n_k]{\|x^{n_k}\|_\alpha} < \mu < \lambda$ , which implies that  $\left\| \left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)^{n_k} \right\|_\alpha < \left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda}\right)^{n_k}$ . Therefore,  $\beta_t(x) \leq \lambda$  and we have  $\beta_t(x) \leq R_*(x)$ .

Assume that  $\beta_t(x) < R_*(x)$ , then there exist  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\alpha_0 \in \Lambda$  such that  $\beta_t(x) < \lambda < R_*(x)$  and  $\lambda < \liminf_n \sqrt[n]{\|x^n\|_{\alpha_0}}$ . Hence  $\liminf_n \sqrt[n]{\left\| \left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)^n \right\|_{\alpha_0}} > 1$ . On the other hand,  $\lambda > \beta_t(x)$  implies that  $\liminf_n \sqrt[n]{\left\| \left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)^n \right\|_{\alpha_0}} = 0$ , which contradicts the previous statement. Thus,  $\beta_t(x) = R_*(x)$ .

Since  $\beta(x) = \beta_0(x)$  it is clear that  $\beta_t(x) \leq \beta(x)$ . Finally,  $\beta(x) \leq r_A(x)$  by [1, Theorem 3.12]. □

**Corollary 3.2.** *If  $A$  is pseudo-complete, then*

$$R_t(x) \leq R_*(x) = \beta_t(x) \leq \beta(x) = r_A(x) \leq R(x)$$

for every  $x \in A$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in A$ . We have by [1, Theorem 3.12] that  $\beta(x) = r_A(x)$ . Thus we only have to prove that  $\beta(x) \leq R(x)$ . This is obvious if  $R(x) = \infty$ , so assume that  $R(x) < \infty$ , therefore  $\infty \notin \Sigma(x)$ . Applying Lemma 2.2 we obtain that the Taylor expansion about 0 of  $F(\lambda) = f(R(1, \lambda x))$  is

$$F(\lambda) = f(e) + \lambda f(x) + \frac{2\lambda^2}{2!} f(x^2) + \dots$$

for  $f \in A'$  and  $|\lambda| < \frac{1}{R(x)}$ .

Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f((\lambda x)^n) = 0$  for any  $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{R(x)}$  and  $f \in A'$ . In particular, for any such  $\lambda$  the set  $\{(\lambda x)^n : n \geq 1\}$  is weakly bounded and therefore  $\{(\lambda x)^n : n \geq 1\}$  is bounded in  $A$ . It follows that  $\lambda \geq \beta(x)$  for every  $\lambda > R(x)$  and then  $\beta(x) \leq R(x)$ . □

**Proposition 3.3.** *If  $A$  is complete, then  $r_A(x) = \beta(x) = R(x)$  for all  $x \in A$ .*

*Proof.* It remains to prove that  $R(x) \leq \beta(x)$ . We can assume that  $\beta(x) < \infty$ . Let  $r > \beta(x)$ , then we have that  $f\left(\left(\frac{x}{r}\right)^n\right) \rightarrow 0$  for every  $f \in A'$ , therefore

$$\limsup_n \sqrt[n]{|f(x^n)|} \leq r$$

for every  $f \in A'$ . We get from [4, Theorem 15.6] that

$$R(x) = R_2(x) = \sup_{f \in A'} \limsup_n \sqrt[n]{|f(x^n)|} \leq r.$$

Therefore,  $R(x) \leq \beta(x)$ . □

**Remark 3.4.** In [2] it is constructed a unital  $B_0$ -algebra  $A$  in which there is an element  $x$  such  $R_*(x) = 1$  and  $R(x) = \infty$ . On the other hand, if we consider the non-complete algebra  $A = (P(t), \|\cdot\|)$  of all complex polynomials with the norm  $\|p(t)\| = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |p(t)|$ , then for every  $\lambda \neq 0$  we have that  $\left\|\left(\frac{t}{\lambda}\right)^n\right\| = \frac{1}{|\lambda|^n}$ . Therefore  $R(t) = 1$ , nevertheless  $R(t) = \infty$  since  $\lambda - t$  does not have an inverse for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G.R. Allan, *A spectral theory for locally convex algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **15** (1965), 399–421.
- [2] H. Arizmendi, *On the spectral radius of a matrix algebra*, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. **19** (1990), 167–176.
- [3] H. Arizmendi, A. Carrillo, *On the extended spectral radius in  $B_0$ -algebras*, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. **19** (1990), 77–81.
- [4] W. Żelazko, *Selected topics in topological algebras*, Aarhus University Lecture Notes Series **31** (1971).

Hugo Arizmendi-Peimbert  
hugo@unam.mx

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México  
Instituto de Matemáticas  
Ciudad Universitaria, México D.F. 04510 México

Angel Carrillo-Hoyo  
angel@unam.mx

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México  
Instituto de Matemáticas  
Ciudad Universitaria, México D.F. 04510 México

Jairo Roa-Fajardo  
jarofa@hotmail.com

Universidad del Cauca, Popayán-Colombia  
Departamento de Matemáticas  
Calle 5 No. 4-70, Popayán-Colombia

*Received: February 9, 2011.*

*Revised: April 7, 2011.*

*Accepted: April 13, 2011.*