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1. INTRODUCTION

Our goal in this paper is to investigate the global stability character and the periodicity of solutions of the recursive sequence

\[ x_{n+1} = ax_n + \frac{bx_{n-1} + cx_{n-2}}{dx_{n-1} + ex_{n-2}}, \tag{1.1} \]

where the parameters \( a, b, c, d \) and \( e \) are positive real numbers and the initial conditions \( x_{-2}, x_{-1} \) and \( x_0 \) are positive real numbers.

Recently there has been a lot of interest in studying the global attractivity, the boundedness character and the periodicity nature of nonlinear difference equations see for example [1–10].

The study of nonlinear rational difference equations of a higher order is quite challenging and rewarding, and results about these equations offer prototypes towards the development of the basic theory of the global behavior of nonlinear difference equations of a high order, recently, many researchers have investigated the behavior...
of the solution of difference equations for example: Agarwal et al. [2] investigated the

global stability, periodicity character and gave the solution of some special cases of

difference equation

\[ x_{n+1} = a + \frac{dx_{n-1}x_{n-k}}{b - cx_{n-s}}. \]

In [6] Elabbasy et al. investigated the global stability character, boundedness and the

periodicity of solutions of the difference equation

\[ x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha x_n + \beta x_{n-1} + \gamma x_{n-2}}{Ax_n + Bx_{n-1} + Cx_{n-2}}. \]

Elabbasy et al. [7] investigated the global stability, periodicity character and gave

the solution of special case of the following recursive sequence

\[ x_{n+1} = \alpha x_n - \frac{bx_n}{cx_n - dx_{n-1}}. \]

Elabbasy et al. [8] investigated the global stability, boundedness, periodicity character

gave the solution of some special cases of the difference equation

\[ x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha x_{n-k}}{\beta + \gamma \prod_{i=0}^k x_{n-i}}. \]

Yalçınkaya et al. [23] considered the dynamics of the difference equation

\[ x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha x_{n-k}}{b + cx_n^p}. \]

Also, Yalçınkaya [26] dealt with the difference equation

\[ x_{n+1} = \alpha + x_n - m x_{n-k}. \]

Zayed et al. [28] studied the behavior of the rational recursive sequence

\[ x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha x_n + \beta x_{n-1} + \gamma x_{n-2} + \delta x_{n-3}}{Ax_n + Bx_{n-1} + Cx_{n-2} + Dx_{n-3}}. \]

For some related work see [11–27].

2. SOME PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Here, we recall some basic definitions and some theorems that we need in the sequel.

Let \( I \) be some interval of real numbers and let

\[ F : I^{k+1} \rightarrow I, \]

be a continuously differentiable function. Then for every set of initial conditions

\( x_{-k}, x_{-k+1}, \ldots, x_0 \in I \), the difference equation

\[ x_{n+1} = F(x_n, x_{n-1}, \ldots, x_{n-k}), \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots, \]

has a unique solution \( \{x_n\}_{n=-k}^\infty \).
Definition 2.1 (Equilibrium Point). A point \( \overline{x} \in I \) is called an equilibrium point of Eq. (2.1) if
\[
\overline{x} = F(\overline{x}, \overline{x}, \ldots, \overline{x}).
\]
That is, \( x_n = \overline{x} \) for \( n \geq 0 \), is a solution of Eq. (2.1), or equivalently, \( \overline{x} \) is a fixed point of \( F \).

Definition 2.2 (Periodicity). A sequence \( \{x_n\}_{n=-k}^{\infty} \) is said to be periodic with period \( p \) if \( x_{n+p} = x_n \) for all \( n \geq -k \).

Definition 2.3 (Stability). (i) The equilibrium point \( \overline{x} \) of Eq. (2.1) is locally stable if for every \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists \( \delta > 0 \) such that for all \( x_{-k}, x_{-k+1}, \ldots, x_0 \in I \) with
\[
|x_k - \overline{x}| + |x_{k+1} - \overline{x}| + \ldots + |x_0 - \overline{x}| < \delta,
\]
we have
\[
|x_n - \overline{x}| < \epsilon \quad \text{for all} \quad n \geq -k.
\]
(ii) The equilibrium point \( \overline{x} \) of Eq. (2.1) is locally asymptotically stable if \( \overline{x} \) is a locally stable solution of Eq. (2.1) and there exists \( \gamma > 0 \), such that for all \( x_{-k}, x_{-k+1}, \ldots, x_0 \in I \) with
\[
|x_k - \overline{x}| + |x_{k+1} - \overline{x}| + \ldots + |x_0 - \overline{x}| < \gamma,
\]
we have
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \overline{x}.
\]
(iii) The equilibrium point \( \overline{x} \) of Eq. (2.1) is a global attractor if for all \( x_{-k}, x_{-k+1}, \ldots, x_0 \in I \), we have
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \overline{x}.
\]
(iv) The equilibrium point \( \overline{x} \) of Eq. (2.1) is globally asymptotically stable if \( \overline{x} \) is locally stable, and \( \overline{x} \) is also a global attractor of Eq. (2.1).
(v) The equilibrium point \( \overline{x} \) of Eq. (2.1) is unstable if \( \overline{x} \) is not locally stable.

The linearized equation of Eq. (2.1) about the equilibrium \( \overline{x} \) is the linear difference equation
\[
y_{n+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{\partial F(\overline{x}, \overline{x}, \ldots, \overline{x})}{\partial x_{n-i}} y_{n-i}.
\]

Theorem 2.4 ([16]). Assume that \( p, q \in R \) and \( k \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\} \). Then
\[
|p| + |q| < 1,
\]
is a sufficient condition for the asymptotic stability of the difference equation
\[
x_{n+1} + px_n + qx_{n-k} = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots.
\]
Remark 2.5. Theorem 2.4 can be easily extended to general linear equations of the form
\[ x_{n+k} + p_1 x_{n+k-1} + \ldots + p_k x_n = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots, \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.3)
where \( p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k \in R \) and \( k \in \{1, 2, \ldots\} \). Then Eq. (2.3) is asymptotically stable provided that
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{k} |p_i| < 1. \]

Consider the following equation
\[ x_{n+1} = g(x_n, x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}). \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.4)

The following two theorems will be useful for the proof of our results in this paper.

Theorem 2.6 ([17]). Let \( [\alpha, \beta] \) be an interval of real numbers and assume that
\[ g : [\alpha, \beta]^3 \to [\alpha, \beta], \]
is a continuous function satisfying the following properties:
(a) \( g(x, y, z) \) is a non-decreasing in \( x \) and \( y \) in \( [\alpha, \beta] \) for each \( z \in [\alpha, \beta] \), and is non-increasing in \( z \in [\alpha, \beta] \) for each \( x \) and \( y \) in \( [\alpha, \beta] \);
(b) if \( (m, M) \in [\alpha, \beta] \times [\alpha, \beta] \) is a solution of the system
\[ M = g(M, m, m) \quad \text{and} \quad m = g(m, m, M), \]
then
\[ m = M. \]

Then Eq. (2.4) has a unique equilibrium \( \pi \in [\alpha, \beta] \) and every solution of Eq. (2.4) converges to \( \pi \).

Theorem 2.7 ([17]). Let \( [\alpha, \beta] \) be an interval of real numbers and assume that
\[ g : [\alpha, \beta]^3 \to [\alpha, \beta], \]
is a continuous function satisfying the following properties:
(a) \( g(x, y, z) \) is non-decreasing in \( x \) and \( z \) in \( [\alpha, \beta] \) for each \( y \in [\alpha, \beta] \), and is non-increasing in \( y \in [\alpha, \beta] \) for each \( x \) and \( z \) in \( [\alpha, \beta] \);
(b) if \( (m, M) \in [\alpha, \beta] \times [\alpha, \beta] \) is a solution of the system
\[ M = g(M, m, M) \quad \text{and} \quad m = g(m, M, m), \]
then
\[ m = M. \]
Then Eq. (2.4) has a unique equilibrium $\bar{x} \in [\alpha, \beta]$ and every solution of Eq. (2.4) converges to $\bar{x}$.

The paper proceeds as follows. In Section 3 we show that when $2|bc - d| < (d + e)(b + c)$, then the equilibrium point of Eq. (1.1) is locally asymptotically stable. In Section 4 we prove that the solution is bounded when $a < 1$ and the solution of Eq. (1.1) is unbounded if $a > 1$. In Section 5 we prove that there exists a period two solution of Eq. (1.1). In Section 6 we prove that the equilibrium point of Eq. (1.1) is global attractor. Finally, we give numerical examples of some special cases of Eq. (1.1) and then draw it by using Matlab.

3. LOCAL STABILITY OF THE EQUILIBRIUM POINT OF EQ. (1.1)

This section deals with the local stability character of the equilibrium point of Eq. (1.1)

Eq. (1.1) has equilibrium point and is given by

$$\bar{x} = ax + \frac{b + c}{d + e}.$$ 

If $a < 1$, then the only positive equilibrium point of Eq. (1.1) is given by

$$\bar{x} = \frac{b + c}{(1 - a)(d + e)}.$$ 

Let $f : (0, \infty)^3 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a continuous function defined by

$$f(u, v, w) = au + \frac{bv + cw}{dv + ew}. \quad (3.1)$$

Therefore it follows that

$$\frac{\partial f(u, v, w)}{\partial u} = a,$$

$$\frac{\partial f(u, v, w)}{\partial v} = \frac{(be - dc)w}{(dv + ew)^2},$$

$$\frac{\partial f(u, v, w)}{\partial w} = \frac{(dc - be)u}{(dv + ew)^2}.$$ 

Then we see that

$$\frac{\partial f(x, x, x)}{\partial u} = a = -a_2,$$

$$\frac{\partial f(x, x, x)}{\partial v} = \frac{(be - dc)}{(d + e)^2x} = \frac{(be - dc)(1 - a)}{(d + e)(b + c)} = -a_1,$$

$$\frac{\partial f(x, x, x)}{\partial w} = \frac{(dc - be)}{(d + e)^2x} = \frac{(dc - be)(1 - a)}{(d + e)(b + c)} = -a_0.$$
Then the linearized equation of Eq. (1.1) about \( \mathbf{x} \) is
\[
y_{n+1} + a_2y_n + a_1y_{n-1} + a_0y_{n-2} = 0, \tag{3.2}
\]
whose characteristic equation is
\[
\lambda^2 + a_2\lambda^2 + a_1\lambda + a_0 = 0. \tag{3.3}
\]

**Theorem 3.1.** Assume that
\[
2|be - dc| < (d + e)(b + c).
\]
Then the positive equilibrium point of Eq. (1.1) is locally asymptotically stable.

**Proof.** It follows by Theorem 2.4 that, Eq. (3.2) is asymptotically stable if all roots of Eq. (3.3) lie in the open disc \(|\lambda| < 1\) that is if
\[
|a_2| + |a_1| + |a_0| < 1,
\]
and so
\[
2\left|\frac{(be - dc)(1 - a)}{(d + e)(b + c)}\right| < (1 - a), \quad a < 1,
\]
or
\[
2\left|\frac{(be - dc)(1 - a)}{(d + e)(b + c)}\right| < (1 - a), \quad a < 1,
\]
and so
\[
2\left|\frac{(be - dc)(1 - a)}{(d + e)(b + c)}\right| < (1 - a), \quad a < 1,
\]
or
\[
2|be - dc| < (d + e)(b + c).
\]
The proof is complete. \( \square \)

4. **BOUNDEDNESS OF SOLUTIONS OF EQ. (1.1)**

Here we study the boundedness nature of the solutions of Eq. (1.1).

**Theorem 4.1.** Every solution of Eq. (1.1) is bounded if \( a < 1 \).

**Proof.** Let \( \{x_n\}_{n=-2}^\infty \) be a solution of Eq. (1.1). It follows from Eq. (1.1) that
\[
x_{n+1} = ax_n + \frac{bx_{n-1} + cx_{n-2}}{dx_{n-1} + ex_{n-2}} = ax_n + bx_n + \frac{bx_{n-1}}{dx_{n-1} + ex_{n-2}} + \frac{cx_{n-2}}{dx_{n-1} + ex_{n-2}}.
\]
Then
\[
x_{n+1} \leq ax_n + \frac{bx_{n-1}}{dx_{n-1}} + \frac{cx_{n-2}}{ex_{n-2}} = ax_n + \frac{b}{d} + \frac{c}{e} \quad \text{for all} \quad n \geq 1.
\]
By using a comparison, we can write the right hand side as follows
\[
y_{n+1} = ay_n + \frac{b}{d} + \frac{c}{e},
\]
then
\[ y_n = a^n y_0 + \text{constant}, \]
and this equation is locally asymptotically stable because \( a < 1 \), and converges to the equilibrium point \( y = \frac{bc + cd}{de(1 - a)} \).
Therefore
\[ \limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n \leq \frac{be + cd}{de(1 - a)}. \]
Thus the solution is bounded.

**Theorem 4.2.** Every solution of Eq. (1.1) is unbounded if \( a > 1 \).

**Proof.** Let \( \{x_n\}_{n=-2}^{\infty} \) be a solution of Eq. (1.1). Then from Eq. (1.1) we see that
\[
x_{n+1} = ax_n + \frac{bx_{n-1} + cx_{n-2}}{dx_{n-1} + ex_{n-2}} > ax_n \quad \text{for all} \quad n \geq 1.
\]
We see that the right hand side can be written as follows
\[
y_{n+1} = ay_n \quad \Rightarrow \quad y_n = a^n y_0,
\]
and this equation is unstable because \( a > 1 \), and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = \infty \). Then by using the ratio test \( \{x_n\}_{n=-2}^{\infty} \) is unbounded from above.

5. EXISTENCE OF PERIODIC SOLUTIONS

In this section we study the existence of periodic solutions of Eq. (1.1). The following theorem states the necessary and sufficient conditions that this equation has periodic solutions of prime period two.

**Theorem 5.1.** Eq. (1.1) has positive prime period two solutions if and only if
\[(i) \quad (b - c)(d - e)(1 + a) + 4(bae + cd) > 0, \quad d > e, \quad b > c.\]

**Proof.** First suppose that there exists a prime period two solution
\[
\ldots, p, q, p, q, \ldots,
\]
of Eq. (1.1). We will prove that Condition (i) holds.
We see from Eq. (1.1) that
\[
p = aq + \frac{bp + cq}{dp + eq},
\]
and
\[
q = ap + \frac{bp + cp}{dq + cp}.
\]
Then
\[ dp^2 + cpq = adpq + aeq^2 + bp + cq, \quad (5.1) \]
and
\[ dq^2 + cpq = adpq + aep^2 + bq + cp. \quad (5.2) \]
Subtracting (5.1) from (5.2) gives
\[ d(p^2 - q^2) = -ae(p^2 - q^2) + (b - c)(p - q). \]
Since \( p \neq q \), it follows that
\[ p + q = \frac{(b - c)}{(d + ae)}. \quad (5.3) \]
Again, adding (5.1) and (5.2) yields
\[ d(p^2 + q^2) + 2epq = 2adpq + ae(p^2 + q^2) + (b + c)(p + q), \]
\[ (d - ae)(p^2 + q^2) + 2(e - ad)pq = (b + c)(p + q). \quad (5.4) \]
It follows by (5.3), (5.4) and the relation
\[ p^2 + q^2 = (p + q)^2 - 2pq \quad \text{for all } p, q \in R, \]
that
\[ 2(e - d)(1 + a)pq = \frac{2(bae + cd)(b - c)}{(d + ae)^2}. \]
Thus
\[ pq = \frac{(bae + cd)(b - c)}{(d + ae)^2(e - d)(1 + a)}. \quad (5.5) \]
Now it is clear from Eq. (5.3) and Eq. (5.5) that \( p \) and \( q \) are the two distinct roots of the quadratic equation
\[ t^2 - \left( \frac{(b - c)}{(d + ae)} \right) t + \left( \frac{(bae + cd)(b - c)}{(d + ae)^2(e - d)(1 + a)} \right) = 0, \]
\[ (d + ae)t^2 - (b - c)t + \left( \frac{(bae + cd)(b - c)}{(d + ae)(e - d)(1 + a)} \right) = 0, \quad (5.6) \]
and so
\[ [b - c]^2 - \frac{4(bae + cd)(b - c)}{(e - d)(1 + a)} > 0, \]
or
\[ [b - c]^2 + \frac{4(bae + cd)(b - c)}{(d - e)(1 + a)} > 0. \]
\[ (b - c)(d - e)(1 + a) + 4(bae + cd) > 0. \]
Therefore inequalities (i) holds.
Second suppose that inequalities (i) are true. We will show that Eq. (1.1) has a prime period two solution.

Assume that

\[ p = \frac{b - c + \zeta}{2(d + ae)} \]

and

\[ q = \frac{b - c - \zeta}{2(d + ae)} \]

where \( \zeta = \sqrt{(b - c)^2 - 4(bae + cd)(b - c)/(e - d)(1 + a)} \).

We see from inequalities (i) that

\[ (b - c)(d - e)(1 + a) + 4(bae + cd) > 0, \quad b > c, \quad d > e, \]

which is equivalent to

\[ (b - c)^2 > \frac{4(bae + cd)(b - c)}{(e - d)(1 + a)}. \]

Therefore \( p \) and \( q \) are distinct real numbers.

Set

\[ x_{-2} = q, \quad x_{-1} = p \quad \text{and} \quad x_0 = q. \]

We wish to show that

\[ x_1 = x_{-1} = p \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 = x_0 = q. \]

It follows from Eq. (1.1) that

\[ x_1 = \frac{b p + c q}{d p + e q} = a \left( \frac{b - c - \zeta}{2(d + ae)} \right) + \frac{b}{d} \left( \frac{b - c + \zeta}{2(d + ae)} \right) + c \left( \frac{b - c - \zeta}{2(d + ae)} \right). \]

Dividing the denominator and numerator by \( 2(d + ae) \) gives

\[ x_1 = \frac{ab - ac - a\zeta}{2(d + ae)} + \frac{b(b - c + \zeta) + c(b - c - \zeta)}{d(b - c + \zeta) + e(b - c - \zeta)} = \frac{ab - ac - a\zeta}{2(d + ae)} + \frac{(b - c)[(b + c) + \zeta]}{(d + e)(b - c) + (d - e)\zeta}. \]
Multiplying the denominator and numerator of the right side by \((d+e)(b-c)-(d-e)\zeta\) gives

\[
x_1 = \frac{ab - ac - a\zeta}{2(d + ae)} + \frac{(b - c) [(b + c) + \zeta] [(d + e)(b - c) - (d - e)\zeta]}{[(d + e)(b - c) + (d - e)\zeta] [(d + e)(b - c) - (d - e)\zeta]}
\]

\[
= \frac{ab - ac - a\zeta}{2(d + ae)} + \frac{(b - c) \left\{(d + e) \left(b^2 - c^2\right) + \zeta [(d + e)(b - c) - (d - e)(b + c)] - (d - e)\zeta^2\right\}}{(d + e)^2(b - c)^2 - (d - e)^2\zeta^2}
\]

\[
= \frac{ab - ac - a\zeta}{2(d + ae)} + \frac{(b - c) \left\{(d + e) \left(b^2 - c^2\right) + 2\zeta(eb - cd) - (d - e) \left(b - c\right)^2 - \frac{4(bac + cd)(b - c)}{(e - d)(1 + a)}\right\}}{(d + e)^2(b - c)^2 - (d - e)^2 \left(b - c\right)^2 - \frac{4(bac + cd)(b - c)}{(e - d)(1 + a)}}
\]

\[
= \frac{ab - ac - a\zeta}{2(d + ae)} + \frac{(b - c) \left\{2(b - c) \left[dc + eb - \frac{2bac + cd}{(1 + a)}\right] + 2\zeta(eb - cd)\right\}}{4(b - c) \left[ed(b - c) + \frac{e - d(bac + cd)}{(1 + a)}\right]}
\]

Multiplying the denominator and numerator of the right side by \((1 + a)\) we obtain

\[
x_1 = \frac{ab - ac - a\zeta}{2(d + ae)} + \frac{(b - c) [(dc + eb)(1 + a) - 2(bac + cd)] + \zeta(1 + a)(eb - cd)}{2[ed(b - c)(1 + a) + (e - d)(bac + cd)]}
\]

\[
= \frac{ab - ac - a\zeta}{2(d + ae)} + \frac{(b - c)(eb - dc)(1 - a) + \zeta(1 + a)(eb - cd)}{2[ed(b - c)(1 + a) + (e - d)(bac + cd)]}
\]

\[
= \frac{ab - ac - a\zeta}{2(d + ae)} + \frac{(eb - dc) \left\{(b - c)(1 - a) + \zeta(1 + a)\right\}}{2(eb - cd)(1 + a)}
\]

\[
= \frac{ab - ac - a\zeta}{2(d + ae)} + \frac{(b - c)(1 - a) + \zeta(1 + a)}{2(d + ae)}
\]

\[
= \frac{ab - ac - a\zeta + (b - c)(1 - a) + \zeta(1 + a)}{2(d + ae)} = \frac{b - c + \zeta}{2(d + ae)} = p.
\]

Similarly as before one can easily show that

\[
x_2 = q.
\]

Then it follows by induction that

\[
x_{2n} = q \quad \text{and} \quad x_{2n+1} = p \quad \text{for all} \quad n \geq -1.
\]
Thus Eq. (1.1) has the prime period two solution

\[ \ldots, p, q, p, q, \ldots, \]

where \( p \) and \( q \) are the distinct roots of the quadratic equation (5.6) and the proof is complete.

6. GLOBAL ATTRACTIVITY OF THE EQUILIBRIUM POINT OF EQ. (1.1)

In this section we investigate the global asymptotic stability of Eq. (1.1).

**Lemma 6.1.** For any values of the quotient \( \frac{b}{d} \) and \( \frac{c}{e} \), the function \( f(u, v, w) \) defined by Eq. (3.1) has the monotonicity behavior in its two arguments.

**Proof.** The proof follows by some computations and it will be omitted.

**Theorem 6.2.** The equilibrium point \( \pi \) is a global attractor of Eq. (1.1) if one of the following statements holds:

1. \( be \geq dc \) and \( c \geq b \) \hspace{1cm} (6.1)
2. \( be \leq dc \) and \( c \leq b \) \hspace{1cm} (6.2)

**Proof.** Let \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) be real numbers and assume that \( g : [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow [\alpha, \beta] \) is a function defined by

\[ g(u, v, w) = au + \frac{bv + cw}{dv + ew}. \]

Then

\[ \frac{\partial g(u, v, w)}{\partial u} = a, \]
\[ \frac{\partial g(u, v, w)}{\partial v} = \frac{(be - dc)w}{(dv + ew)^2}, \]
\[ \frac{\partial g(u, v, w)}{\partial w} = \frac{(dc - be)u}{(dv + ew)^2}. \]

We consider two cases:

**Case 1.** Assume that (6.1) is true, then we can easily see that the function \( g(u, v, w) \) is increasing in \( u, v \) and decreasing in \( w \).

Suppose that \( (m, M) \) is a solution of the system \( M = g(M, M, m) \) and \( m = g(m, m, M) \). Then from Eq. (1.1), we see that

\[ M = aM + \frac{bM + cm}{dM + em}, \]
\[ m = am + \frac{bm + cM}{dm + eM}, \]

or

\[ M(1 - a) = \frac{bM + cm}{dM + em}, \]
\[ m(1 - a) = \frac{bm + cM}{dm + eM}. \]
then
\[d(1-a)M^2 + e(1-a)Mm = bM + cm, \quad d(1-a)m^2 + e(1-a)Mm = bm + cM.\]
Subtracting this two equations we obtain
\[(M - m)\{d(1-a)(M + m) + (c - b)\} = 0,\]
under the conditions \(c \geq b, \, a < 1\), we see that
\[M = m.\]
It follows by Theorem 2.6 that \(\mathfrak{p}\) is a global attractor of Eq. (1.1) and then the proof is complete.

**Case 2.** Assume that (6.2) is true, let \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) be real numbers and assume that \(g : [\alpha, \beta]^3 \rightarrow [\alpha, \beta]\) is a function defined by \(g(u, v, w) = au + \frac{bw + cw}{dv + ew}\), then we can easily see that the function \(g(u, v, w)\) is increasing in \(u, w\) and decreasing in \(v\).

Suppose that \((m, M)\) is a solution of the system \(M = g(M, m, M)\) and \(m = g(m, M, m)\). Then from Eq. (1.1), we see that
\[M = aM + \frac{bm + cM}{dm + eM}, \quad m = am + \frac{bM + cm}{dM + em},\]
or
\[M(1 - a) = \frac{bm + cM}{dm + eM}, \quad m(1 - a) = \frac{bM + cm}{dM + em},\]
then
\[d(1-a)Mm + e(1-a)M^2 = bm + cM, \quad d(1-a)mM + e(1-a)m^2 = bM + cm.\]
Subtracting we obtain
\[(M - m)\{e(1-a)(M + m) + (b - c)\} = 0,\]
under the conditions \(b \geq c, \, a < 1\), we see that
\[M = m.\]
It follows by Theorem 2.7 that \(\mathfrak{p}\) is a global attractor of Eq. (1.1) and then the proof is complete.

**7. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES**

To confirm the results of this paper, we consider numerical examples which represent different types of solutions to Eq. (1.1).

**Example 7.1.** We assume \(x_{-2} = 1, \, x_{-1} = 3, \, x_0 = 5, \, a = 0.5, \, b = 0.1, \, c = 3, \, d = 2, \, e = 1.\) See Figure 1.
Example 7.2. See Figure 2, since $x_{-2} = 2$, $x_{-1} = 0.5$, $x_0 = 4$, $a = 0.8$, $b = 8$, $c = 2$, $d = 7$, $e = 5$.

Example 7.3. We consider $x_{-2} = 12$, $x_{-1} = 0.5$, $x_0 = 14$, $a = 1$, $b = 1.5$, $c = 0.6$, $d = 0.3$, $e = 0.4$. See Figure 3.

Example 7.4. See Figure 4, since $x_{-2} = 6$, $x_{-1} = 11$, $x_0 = 4$, $a = 2$, $b = 7$, $c = 1$, $d = 5$, $e = 2$.

Example 7.5. Figure 5 shows the solutions when $a = 2$, $b = 7$, $c = 1$, $d = 5$, $e = 2$, $x_{-2} = q$, $x_{-1} = p$, $x_0 = q$. (Since $p, q = \frac{b-c\pm\sqrt{(b-c)^2-4(ac+bd)}}{2(ac)}$.)
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