

SEMICIRCULAR ELEMENTS INDUCED BY p -ADIC NUMBER FIELDS

Ilwoo Cho and Palle E.T. Jorgensen

Communicated by P.A. Cojuhari

Abstract. In this paper, we study semicircular-like elements, and semicircular elements induced by p -adic analysis, for each prime p . Starting from a p -adic number field \mathbb{Q}_p , we construct a Banach $*$ -algebra $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$, for a fixed prime p , and show the generating elements $Q_{p,j}$ of $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$ form weighted-semicircular elements, and the corresponding scalar-multiples $\Theta_{p,j}$ of $Q_{p,j}$ become semicircular elements, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. The main result of this paper is the very construction of suitable linear functionals $\tau_{p,j}^0$ on $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$, making $Q_{p,j}$ be weighted-semicircular, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Keywords: free probability, primes, p -adic number fields \mathbb{Q}_p , Hilbert-space representations, C^* -algebras, wighted-semicircular elements, semicircular elements.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 05E15, 11R47, 11R56, 46L10, 46L40, 47L15, 47L30, 47L55.

1. INTRODUCTION

The main purpose of this paper is to construct *weighted-semicircular*, and *semicircular* elements for a fixed prime p . Starting from a prime p , we consider p -adic analysis on the p -adic number field \mathbb{Q}_p , and a certain $*$ -algebra \mathcal{M}_p of all measurable functions on \mathbb{Q}_p . By establishing suitable C^* -probabilistic structures on the C^* -algebra M_p , generated by \mathcal{M}_p , we focus on a *semigroup* S_p in M_p , generating C^* -subalgebra \mathfrak{S}_p of M_p . By filtering, or sectionizing \mathfrak{S}_p from a system of *linear functionals*, we construct-and-study Banach $*$ -probabilistic structures, and our associated weighted-semicircular, and semicircular elements. In classical *statistics*, and in applications of it, one consider *Gaussian elements*, or *Gaussian processes* by taking suitable *measures* (or suitable *probability density functions*) (e.g., [1–3] and [20]). By analogy, we construct our semicircular-like, and semicircular elements by taking (a) suitable (system of) linear functionals on a *Banach $*$ -algebra*.

Let \mathbb{Q}_p be the p -adic number fields for $p \in \mathcal{P}$, where \mathcal{P} is the set of all primes in the natural numbers (or the positive integers) \mathbb{N} . Then one can naturally understand \mathbb{Q}_p as a measure space $(\mathbb{Q}_p, \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p), \mu_p)$, where μ_p is a both-left-and-right additive invariant Haar measure on the σ -algebra $\sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, containing the basis elements of the topology for \mathbb{Q}_p , formed by transforming the unit disk \mathbb{Z}_p of \mathbb{Q}_p , satisfying

$$\mu_p(\mathbb{Z}_p) = 1 = \mu(x + \mathbb{Z}_p),$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$.

The $*$ -algebra \mathcal{M}_p , consisting of all μ_p -measurable functions on \mathbb{Q}_p , is well-determined for $p \in \mathcal{P}$, and we cannot help emphasizing the importance of such algebraic structures not only in various mathematical fields (modern number theory, geometry with “very small” distance, and operator theory, etc, e.g., [15, 16, 18, 19] and [30]), but also in other scientific fields (quantum physics, quantum arithmetic chaos theory, etc., e.g., [3, 6, 8, 9, 13, 14] and [29]).

1.1. BACKGROUND AND MOTIVATION

The relations between primes and operator algebras have been studied in various different approaches (e.g., [3–5, 11, 13, 14, 23, 29] and [32]). For instance, we studied how primes act “on” certain von Neumann algebras generated by p -adic and Adelic measure spaces (e.g., [9]). Independently, in [7] and [8], we have studied primes as linear functionals acting on arithmetic functions. i.e., each prime p induces a free-probabilistic structure (\mathcal{A}, g_p) on the algebra \mathcal{A} of all arithmetic functions. In such a case, one can understand arithmetic functions as Krein-space operators, under certain representations (See [11]). And, free-probabilistic research on classical Hecke algebras induced by primes is considered (e.g., [10]).

Motivated by the main results of [9], we realized that our free-probabilistic settings can be applicable, or used for the applied operator theory based on number-theoretic information. In particular, one may construct semicircular law, or semicircular-like law from a fixed prime.

1.2. MAIN IDEAS

In this paper, we study certain operators of the C^* -algebras M_p induced by the $*$ -algebra \mathcal{M}_p of μ_p -measurable functions over a fixed p -adic number field \mathbb{Q}_p . In particular, we are interested in mutually-orthogonal projections $\{P_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of M_p induced by generating elements of \mathcal{M}_p . We show that such projections generate a well-defined embedded sub-semigroup S_p of M_p . From such a semigroup, the corresponding semigroup C^* -algebra \mathfrak{S}_p is constructed and studied.

From the isomorphism theorem of \mathfrak{S}_p , we define Banach-space operators c_p and a_p acting “on \mathfrak{S}_p ,” and study fundamental properties of these operators. Then we define a new Banach-space operator l_p “on \mathfrak{S}_p ” by

$$l_p = c_p + a_p,$$

which gives a filterization, or filterings on \mathfrak{S}_p .

By fixing a projection P_j generating \mathfrak{S}_p in M_p , construct a system of operators $\{I_p^n \otimes P_j\}_{n=1}^\infty$, and study free-distributional data of the elements in the family. We show the family induces (*free-*)*semicircular law*, under additional processes.

By the semicircularity (e.g., [3, 31] and [34]), our semicircular elements have the same free-distributional data with any other semicircular elements in free probability theory under identically free-distributedness. So, more interesting results are from our so-called *weighted-semicircular elements*. We will see that the free-probabilistic information of such semicircular-like elements are determined by the number-theoretic data from \mathcal{M}_p .

Constructions of weighted-semicircular elements and semicircular elements, themselves, are the very main results of this paper. It shows that from a p -adic analytic data, one can obtain semicircular-like property, and semicircularity.

1.3. OVERVIEW

In Section 2, we briefly introduce basic concepts for our proceeding works.

In Sections 3, free-probabilistic models on \mathcal{M}_p is considered in terms of the basis elements of the topology for \mathbb{Q}_p . In particular, our free-probabilistic structures imply p -adic-analytic information under p -adic integration. See Theorems 3.7 and 3.8.

In Sections 4, the Hilbert-space representations of the free-probabilistic models of \mathcal{M}_p are established, and the corresponding C^* -algebras M_p generated by \mathcal{M}_p are constructed. Our Hilbert space where \mathcal{M}_p act are naturally constructed by defining inner product determined by p -adic integration of Section 3. Then every element of \mathcal{M}_p is acting on it as a *multiplication operator*.

In Section 5, we build suitable free-probabilistic models of M_p , and study fundamental free-distributional data on M_p . See Theorems 5.3 and 5.3, and Corollary 5.4.

In Sections 6, we fix certain projections $\{P_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in M_p , and establish the corresponding semigroups S_p generated by the projections, and semigroup C^* -algebras \mathfrak{S}_p of S_p in M_p . The C^* -subalgebras \mathfrak{S}_p give certain filterizations on M_p . See Theorems 6.2 and 6.3.

In Section 7, based on the constructions of \mathfrak{S}_p , we establish weighted-semicircular elements in a certain Banach $*$ -probability space $\mathfrak{L}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{S}_p$. And then, corresponding semicircular elements are obtained from our weighted-semicircular elements. Of course, one can check our semicircular elements are following the semicircular law, meanwhile, our weighted-semicircular elements followed semicircular-like law determined by a fixed prime p . See Theorems 7.5, 7.11, 7.12 and 7.14.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we briefly mention about backgrounds of our works.

2.1. FUNDAMENTALS

Readers can check fundamental analytic-and-combinatorial free probability theory from e.g., [25–27, 31, 33] and [34]. *Free probability* is understood as the noncommutative (and hence, covering commutative) operator-algebraic version of classical probability

theory. The classical *independence* is replaced by the *freeness*. It has various applications not only in pure mathematics (e.g., [22, 24] and [23]), but also in related mathematical-and-scientific topics (e.g., [5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 17] and [32]). In particular, we will use combinatorial free probabilistic approach of *Speicher* (e.g., [25–27] and [28]). *Free moments* and *free cumulants* of operators will be computed without introducing in detail.

2.2. p -ADIC NUMBER FIELDS \mathbb{Q}_p

Let p be a fixed prime in \mathcal{P} , and \mathbb{Q}_p , the corresponding p -adic number field. Then this set \mathbb{Q}_p is a well-defined *ring*, which is regarded as a *Banach space* equipped with the p -norm $|\cdot|_p$, defined by

$$|x|_p = |p^k r|_p = \frac{1}{p^k},$$

whenever $x = p^k r$ in \mathbb{Q} , for some $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. For instance,

$$\left| \frac{4}{3} \right|_2 = |2^2 \cdot 3^{-1}|_2 = \frac{1}{2^2} = \frac{1}{4},$$

and

$$\left| \frac{4}{3} \right|_3 = |4 \cdot 3^{-1}|_3 = \frac{1}{3^{-1}} = 3,$$

and

$$\left| \frac{4}{3} \right|_q = 0, \text{ whenever } q \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{2, 3\}.$$

As a topological space, \mathbb{Q}_p has its basis elements transforming the unit disk

$$\mathbb{Z}_p = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |x|_p = 1\} \text{ of } \mathbb{Q}_p,$$

consisting of all p -adic integers, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{Q}_p = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} p^k \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$p^k \mathbb{Z}_p = \{p^k y : y \in \mathbb{Z}_p\} \subset \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Throughout this paper, we write

$$U_k = p^k \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}_p, \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

with $U_0 = \mathbb{Z}_p$, for convenience.

Also, the p -adic number field \mathbb{Q}_p is a measure space,

$$(\mathbb{Q}_p, \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p), \mu_p),$$

equipped with the additive left-and-right invariant *Haar measure* μ_p on the σ -algebra $\sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

Note that

$$\mu_p(U_k) = \frac{1}{p^k} = \mu_p(x + U_k), \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (2.2)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$, satisfying,

$$\mu_p(U_0) = \mu_p(\mathbb{Z}_p) = 1.$$

Remark that, by the very definition, one has the following chain relation,

$$\cdots \subset U_2 \subset U_1 \subset U_0 \subset U_{-1} \subset U_{-2} \subset \cdots, \quad (2.3)$$

in \mathbb{Q}_p .

In conclusion, a p -adic number \mathbb{Q}_p is a Banach (topological, measure-theoretic) ring, satisfying (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3). For more details, see [29].

Whenever we fix an integer $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, one can determine so-called the k -th boundary ∂_k of U_k in \mathbb{Q}_p ;

$$\partial_k = U_k \setminus U_{k+1}, \quad (2.4)$$

by (2.3), where $A \setminus B = A \cap B^c$, for all sets A and B , where B^c is the complement of B (in a universal set containing A and B). Remark that, by (2.2) and (2.4), one can get that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_p(\partial_k) &= \mu_p(U_k) - \mu_p(U_{k+1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^k} - \frac{1}{p^{k+1}} = \mu_p(x + \partial_k), \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, for all $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. Also, remark that, by (2.4), one obtains the partition of \mathbb{Q}_p ,

$$\mathbb{Q}_p = \bigsqcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_p} U_k, \quad (2.6)$$

where \bigsqcup means the *disjoint union*.

By understanding \mathbb{Q}_p as a measure space, we have the (pure-algebraic) $*$ -algebra \mathcal{M}_p consisting of all μ_p -measurable functions over the complex numbers \mathbb{C} , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{M}_p = \{f : \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : f \text{ is } \mu_p\text{-measurable}\}, \quad (2.7)$$

equipped with the usual functional addition, and the usual functional multiplications.

By definition, if $f \in \mathcal{M}_p$, it is expressed by

$$f = \sum_{S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)} t_S \chi_S, \quad \text{with } t_S \in \mathbb{C},$$

where χ_S are the usual *characteristic functions* for $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, having its *adjoint*,

$$f^* = \sum_{S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \bar{t}_S \chi_S,$$

where \bar{z} are the *conjugates* of z , for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and \sum is a finite sum.

Indeed, the vector space \mathcal{M}_p of (2.7) forms a well-defined $*$ -algebra over \mathbb{C} .

For all $f \in \mathcal{M}_p$, one can have the *p-adic integral* of f by

$$\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} f d\mu_p = \sum_{S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)} t_S \mu_p(S).$$

Note that, by (2.6), if $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, then there exists a subset Λ_S of \mathbb{Z} , such that

$$\Lambda_S = \{j \in \mathbb{Z} : S \cap \partial_j \neq \emptyset\}, \quad (2.8)$$

satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \chi_S d\mu_p = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} \chi_{S \cap \partial_j} d\mu_p = \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} \mu_p(S \cap \partial_j) \leq \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} \mu_p(\partial_j) = \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right),$$

by (2.4), (2.6) and (2.5), i.e.,

$$\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \chi_S d\mu_p \leq \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right), \quad (2.9)$$

for all $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, where Λ_S is subset (2.8) of \mathbb{Z} . More precisely, one can get the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and let $\chi_S \in \mathcal{M}_p$. Then there exist $r_j \in \mathbb{R}$, such that*

$$0 \leq r_j \leq 1 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \text{ for all } j \in \Lambda_S, \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \chi_S d\mu_p = \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} r_j \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right).$$

Proof. By (2.9), whenever $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, there exists a subset Λ_S of \mathbb{Z} , in the sense of (2.8), such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \chi_S d\mu_p \leq \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right),$$

because

$$\mu_p(S \cap \partial_j) \leq \mu_p(\partial_j) = \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}},$$

for all $j \in \Lambda_S$.

So, for each $j \in \Lambda_S$, there exists a unique $r_j \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$0 \leq r_j \leq 1,$$

and

$$\mu_p(S \cap \partial_j) = r_j \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right).$$

Therefore, one can get that

$$\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \chi_S d\mu_p = \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} r_j \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right). \quad \square$$

By (2.10), one obtains that if

$$f = \sum_{S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)} t_S \chi_S \in \mathcal{M}_p,$$

then

$$\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} f d\mu_p = \sum_{S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)} t_S \left(\sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} r_j^S \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right) \right), \quad (2.11)$$

where r_j^S are in the sense of (2.10), for all $j \in \Lambda_S$, for all $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

The formula (2.11), obtained from (2.10), provides a universal technique to establish p -adic calculus.

3. FREE PROBABILITY ON \mathcal{M}_p

Throughout this section, fix a prime $p \in \mathcal{P}$, and \mathbb{Q}_p , the corresponding p -adic number field, and let \mathcal{M}_p be the $*$ -algebra consisting of all μ_p -measurable functions on \mathbb{Q}_p . In this section, let's establish a suitable free-probabilistic model on the $*$ -algebra \mathcal{M}_p . Remark that free probability provides a universal tool to study free distributions on “noncommutative” algebras, and hence, it covers the cases where given algebras are commutative.

As in Section 2.2, let U_k be the basis elements of of the topology for \mathbb{Q}_p ,

$$U_k = p^k \mathbb{Z}_p, \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (3.1)$$

with their boundaries $\partial_k = U_k \setminus U_{k+1}$.

Define a linear functional $\varphi_p : \mathcal{M}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\varphi_p(f) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} f d\mu_p, \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{M}_p. \quad (3.2)$$

Then, by (3.2), one naturally obtains that

$$\varphi_p(\chi_{U_j}) = \frac{1}{p^j}, \text{ and } \varphi_p(\chi_{\partial_j}) = \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}},$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Moreover, by the commutativity on \mathcal{M}_p ,

$$\varphi_p(f_1 f_2) = \varphi_p(f_2 f_1), \text{ for all } f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{M}_p,$$

and hence, this linear functional φ_p of (3.2) is a *trace* on \mathcal{M}_p .

Definition 3.1. The free probability space $(\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$ is called the p -adic free probability space, for $p \in \mathcal{P}$, where φ_p is the linear functional (3.2) on \mathcal{M}_p .

Let U_k be in the sense of (3.1) in \mathbb{Q}_p , and $\chi_{U_k} \in \mathcal{M}_p$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\chi_{U_{k_1}} \chi_{U_{k_2}} = \chi_{U_{k_1} \cap U_{k_2}} = \chi_{U_{\max\{k_1, k_2\}}},$$

by (2.3), where $\max\{k_1, k_2\}$ means the *maximum* in $\{k_1, k_2\}$.

Say $k_1 \leq k_2$ in \mathbb{Z} . Then $U_{k_1} \supseteq U_{k_2}$ in \mathbb{Q}_p , by (2.3). Therefore, $U_{k_1} \cap U_{k_2} = U_{k_2}$ in \mathbb{Q}_p . So, if $k_1 \leq k_2$ in \mathbb{Z} , then

$$\chi_{U_{k_1}} \chi_{U_{k_2}} = \chi_{U_{k_1} \cap U_{k_2}} = \chi_{U_{k_2}} \text{ in } \mathcal{M}_p.$$

Lemma 3.2. Let U_k be in the sense of (3.1) in \mathbb{Q}_p . Then

$$\chi_{U_{k_1}} \chi_{U_{k_2}} = \chi_{U_{\max\{k_1, k_2\}}} \text{ in } \mathcal{M}_p, \quad (3.3)$$

and hence,

$$\varphi_p(\chi_{U_{k_1}} \chi_{U_{k_2}}) = \frac{1}{p^{\max\{k_1, k_2\}}}.$$

Proof. By the discussion in the very above paragraph,

$$U_{k_1} \cap U_{k_2} = U_{\max\{k_1, k_2\}} \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}_p,$$

by (2.3), for $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. So,

$$\chi_{U_{k_1}} \chi_{U_{k_2}} = \chi_{U_{\max\{k_1, k_2\}}},$$

and hence,

$$\varphi_p(\chi_{U_{k_1}} \chi_{U_{k_2}}) = \mu_p(U_{\max\{k_1, k_2\}}) = \frac{1}{p^{\max\{k_1, k_2\}}}. \quad \square$$

Inductive to (3.3), we obtain the following result.

Proposition 3.3. Let $(j_1, \dots, j_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ for $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\prod_{l=1}^N \chi_{U_{j_l}} = \chi_{U_{\max\{j_1, \dots, j_N\}}} \text{ in } \mathcal{M}_p, \quad (3.4)$$

and hence,

$$\varphi_p\left(\prod_{l=1}^N \chi_{U_{j_l}}\right) = \frac{1}{p^{\max\{j_1, \dots, j_N\}}}.$$

Proof. The proof of (3.4) is done by induction on (3.3). □

Now, let ∂_k be the k -th boundary $U_k \setminus U_{k+1}$ of U_k in \mathbb{Q}_p , for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, for $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, one obtains that

$$\chi_{\partial_{k_1}} \chi_{\partial_{k_2}} = \chi_{\partial_{k_1} \cap \partial_{k_2}} = \delta_{k_1, k_2} \chi_{\partial_{k_1}}, \tag{3.5}$$

where δ means the *Kronecker delta*, and hence,

$$\varphi_p(\chi_{\partial_{k_1}} \chi_{\partial_{k_2}}) = \delta_{k_1, k_2} \varphi_p(\chi_{\partial_{k_1}}) = \delta_{k_1, k_2} \left(\frac{1}{p^{k_1}} - \frac{1}{p^{k_1+1}} \right).$$

So, we obtain the following computations.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $(j_1, \dots, j_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\prod_{l=1}^N \chi_{\partial_{j_l}} = \delta_{(j_1, \dots, j_N)} \chi_{\partial_{j_1}} \text{ in } \mathcal{M}_p, \tag{3.6}$$

and hence,

$$\varphi_p \left(\prod_{l=1}^N \chi_{\partial_{j_l}} \right) = \delta_{(j_1, \dots, j_N)} \left(\frac{1}{p^{j_1}} - \frac{1}{p^{j_1+1}} \right),$$

where

$$\delta_{(j_1, \dots, j_N)} = \left(\prod_{l=1}^{N-1} \delta_{j_l, j_{l+1}} \right) (\delta_{j_N, j_1}).$$

Proof. The proof of (3.6) is done by (3.5). □

Thus, one can get that, for any $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$,

$$\varphi_p(\chi_S) = \varphi_p \left(\sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} \chi_{S \cap \partial_j} \right)$$

where Λ_S is in the sense of (2.8)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} \varphi_p(\chi_{S \cap \partial_j}) = \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} \mu_p(S \cap \partial_j) \\ &= \sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} r_j \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

by (2.10), where $0 \leq r_j \leq 1$ are in the sense of (2.10), for all $j \in \Lambda_S$.

Also, if $S_1, S_2 \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \chi_{S_1} \chi_{S_2} &= \left(\sum_{k \in \Lambda_{S_1}} \chi_{S_1 \cap \partial_k} \right) \left(\sum_{j \in \Lambda_{S_2}} \chi_{S_2 \cap \partial_j} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{(k,j) \in \Lambda_{S_1} \times \Lambda_{S_2}} (\chi_{S_1 \cap \partial_k} \chi_{S_2 \cap \partial_j}) \\
 &= \sum_{(k,j) \in \Lambda_{S_1} \times \Lambda_{S_2}} \delta_{k,j} \chi_{(S_1 \cap S_2) \cap \partial_j} \\
 &= \sum_{j \in \Lambda_{S_1, S_2}} \chi_{(S_1 \cap S_2) \cap \partial_j},
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$\Lambda_{S_1, S_2} = \Lambda_{S_1} \cap \Lambda_{S_2},$$

because $\partial_k \cap \partial_j = \delta_{k,j} \partial_j$, for all $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Thus, there exist $w_j \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$0 \leq w_j \leq 1, \quad \text{for all } j \in \Lambda_{S_1, S_2}, \tag{3.9}$$

where Λ_{S_1, S_2} is in the sense of (3.8), and

$$\varphi_p(\chi_{S_1} \chi_{S_2}) = \sum_{j \in \Lambda_{S_1, S_2}} w_j \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right),$$

by (3.8) and (2.10), for all $S_1, S_2 \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $S_l \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and $\chi_{S_l} \in (\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$, for $l = 1, 2$, and let*

$$\Lambda_{S_1, S_2} = \Lambda_{S_1} \cap \Lambda_{S_2},$$

where Λ_{S_l} are in the sense of (2.8), for $l = 1, 2$. Then there exist $r_j \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$0 \leq r_j \leq 1 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \text{ for all } j \in \Lambda_{S_1, S_2}, \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\varphi_p(\chi_{S_1} \chi_{S_2}) = \sum_{j \in \Lambda_{S_1, S_2}} r_j \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right).$$

Proof. The proof of (3.10) is done by (3.8) and (3.9). □

Remark 3.6. In fact, the above lemma can be re-formulated as follows. If S_1 and S_2 are given as above, then

$$\varphi_p(\chi_{S_1} \chi_{S_2}) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j \in \Lambda_{S_1, S_2}} r_j \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right) & \text{if } \Lambda_{S_1, S_2} \neq \emptyset, \\ \mu_p(\emptyset) = 0 & \text{if } \Lambda_{S_1, S_2} = \emptyset. \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

In the following text, if we mention (3.10), then it means (3.11), precisely.

By the above lemma, we obtain the following general result under induction.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $S_l \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and let $\chi_{S_l} \in (\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$, for $l = 1, \dots, N$, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Let*

$$\Lambda_{S_1, \dots, S_N} = \bigcap_{l=1}^N \Lambda_{S_l} \text{ in } \mathbb{Z},$$

where Λ_{S_l} are in the sense of (2.8), for $l = 1, \dots, N$. Then there exist $r_j \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$0 \leq r_j \leq 1 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \text{ for all } j \in \Lambda_{S_1, \dots, S_N}, \tag{3.12}$$

and

$$\varphi_p \left(\prod_{l=1}^N \chi_{S_l} \right) = \sum_{j \in \Lambda_{S_1, \dots, S_N}} r_j \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right).$$

Proof. The proof of (3.12) is done by induction on (3.10) (or (3.11)). □

Similar to (3.10) and (3.11), the above formula (3.12) is refined by

$$\varphi_p \left(\prod_{l=1}^N \chi_{S_l} \right) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j \in \Lambda_{S_1, \dots, S_N}} r_j \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right) & \text{if } \Lambda_{S_1, \dots, S_N} \neq \emptyset, \\ 0 & \text{if } \Lambda_{S_1, \dots, S_N} = \emptyset. \end{cases} \tag{3.13}$$

By (3.12) (or (3.13)), we obtain that if

$$f = \sum_{S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)} t_S \chi_S \in (\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p), \text{ with } t_S \in \mathbb{C},$$

then

$$\varphi_p(f) = \sum_{S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)} t_S \varphi_p(\chi_S) = \sum_{S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)} t_S \left(\sum_{j \in \Lambda_S} r_j^S \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right) \right),$$

where r_j^S are in the sense of (3.12), for all $j \in \Lambda_S$.

Therefore, one can get the following result.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $f_l = \sum_{S_l \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)} t_{S_l} \chi_{S_l}$ be elements of our p -adic free probability space $(\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$, with $t_{S_l} \in \mathbb{C}$, for $l = 1, \dots, N$, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\varphi_p \left(\prod_{l=1}^N f_l \right) = \sum_{(S_1, \dots, S_N) \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)^N} \left(\prod_{l=1}^N t_{S_l} \right) \left(\sum_{j \in \Lambda_{S_1, \dots, S_N}} r_j^{(S_1, \dots, S_N)} \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right) \right), \tag{3.14}$$

where $r_j^{(S_1, \dots, S_N)}$ are in the sense of (3.12), for all $j \in \Lambda_{S_1, \dots, S_N}$ (whenever it is nonempty).

Proof. Suppose f_1, \dots, f_N be given as above in $(\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$. Then

$$T = \prod_{l=1}^N f_l = \sum_{(S_1, \dots, S_N) \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)^N} \left(\prod_{l=1}^N t_{S_l} \right) \left(\prod_{l=1}^N \chi_{S_l} \right)$$

in \mathcal{M}_p . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_p(T) &= \sum_{(S_1, \dots, S_N) \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)^N} \left(\prod_{l=1}^N t_{S_l} \right) \varphi_p \left(\prod_{l=1}^N \chi_{S_l} \right) \\ &= \sum_{(S_1, \dots, S_N) \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)^N} \left(\prod_{l=1}^N t_{S_l} \right) \left(\sum_{j \in \Lambda_{S_1, \dots, S_N}} r_j^{(S_1, \dots, S_N)} \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

by (3.12) (or (3.13)), where $r_j^{(S_1, \dots, S_N)}$ are in the sense of (3.12). □

The above joint free-moment formula (3.14) provides a universal tool to compute the free distributions of free random variables in our p -adic free probability space $(\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$.

4. REPRESENTATIONS OF $(\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$

Fix a prime $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Let $(\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$ be the p -adic free probability space. Now, we construct a representation of the $*$ -algebra \mathcal{M}_p . By understanding \mathbb{Q}_p as a measure space, construct the L^2 -space,

$$H_p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} L^2(\mathbb{Q}_p, \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p), \mu_p) = L^2(\mathbb{Q}_p), \tag{4.1}$$

over \mathbb{C} , consisting of all *square-integrable* μ_p -measurable functions on \mathbb{Q}_p . Then this L^2 -space is a well-defined *Hilbert space* equipped with its *inner product* $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$,

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} f_1 f_2^* d\mu_p, \text{ for all } f_1, f_2 \in H_p. \tag{4.2}$$

Naturally, H_p is the $\| \cdot \|_2$ -norm completion, where

$$\|f\|_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle_2}, \text{ for all } f \in H_p,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ is the inner product (4.2) on H_p .

Definition 4.1. We call the Hilbert space $H_p = L^2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ of (4.1), the p -adic Hilbert space.

By the very construction (4.1) of the p -adic Hilbert space H_p , our $*$ -algebra \mathcal{M}_p acts on H_p , via an *algebra-action* α ,

$$\alpha(f)(h) = fh, \text{ for all } h \in H_p, \quad (4.3)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{M}_p$. i.e., the morphism α of (4.3) is an action of \mathcal{M}_p acting on the Hilbert space H_p . i.e., for any $f \in \mathcal{M}_p$, the image $\alpha(f)$ is an operator on H_p contained in the *operator algebra* $B(H_p)$ of all (bounded linear) operators on H_p .

Denote $\alpha(f)$ by α_f , for all $f \in \mathcal{M}_p$, where α is in the sense of (4.3). Also, for convenience, denote α_{χ_S} simply by α_S , for all $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. For instance,

$$\alpha_{U_k} = \alpha_{\chi_{U_k}} = \alpha(\chi_{U_k}),$$

and

$$\alpha_{\partial_k} = \alpha_{\chi_{\partial_k}} = \alpha(\chi_{\partial_k}),$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, where U_k are in the sense of (3.1), and ∂_k are the corresponding boundaries of U_k in \mathbb{Q}_p , for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

By (4.3), the linear morphism α is a well-determined $*$ -algebra action of \mathcal{M}_p acting on H_p . Indeed,

$$\alpha_{t_1 f_1 + t_2 f_2}(h) = (t_1 f_1 + t_2 f_2)h = t_1 f_1 h + t_2 f_2 h = t_1 \alpha_{f_1}(h) + t_2 \alpha_{f_2}(h),$$

for all $h \in H_p$, for all $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{M}_p$, and $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{C}$;

$$\alpha_{f_1 f_2}(h) = f_1 f_2 h = f_1(f_2 h) = f_1(\alpha_{f_2}(h)) = \alpha_{f_1} \alpha_{f_2}(h),$$

for all $h \in H_p$, for all $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{M}_p$; and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha_f(h_1), h_2 \rangle_2 &= \langle fh_1, h_2 \rangle_2 = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} fh_1 h_2^* d\mu_p \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} h_1 f h_2^* d\mu_p = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} h_1 (h_2 f^*)^* d\mu_p \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} h_1 (f^* h_2)^* d\mu_p = \langle h_1, \alpha_{f^*}(h_2) \rangle_2, \end{aligned}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{M}_p$, and for all $h_1, h_2 \in H_p$, which implies that

$$\alpha_f^* = \alpha_{f^*}, \text{ for all } f.$$

Proposition 4.2. *The linear morphism α of (4.3) is a well-defined $*$ -algebra action of \mathcal{M}_p acting on H_p . Equivalently, the pair (H_p, α) is a well-determined Hilbert-space representation of \mathcal{M}_p .*

Proof. By the discussions in the very above paragraphs, the linear morphism α satisfies that

$$\alpha_{f_1 f_2} = \alpha_{f_1} \alpha_{f_2} \text{ on } H_p,$$

and

$$\alpha_{f_1}^* = \alpha_{f_1^*} \text{ on } H_p,$$

for all $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{M}_p$. i.e., α is a $*$ -homomorphism from \mathcal{M}_p into $B(H_p)$. Therefore, the pair (H_p, α) is a Hilbert-space representation of \mathcal{M}_p . \square

In the above proposition, we showed that the pair (H_p, α) of the p -adic Hilbert space H_p and the action α of (4.3) is a Hilbert-space representation of \mathcal{M}_p .

Definition 4.3. The Hilbert-space representation (H_p, α) is said to be the p -adic (Hilbert-space) representation of \mathcal{M}_p .

By the definition (4.3) of the action α of \mathcal{M}_p , it generates *multiplication operators* α_f on the p -adic Hilbert space H_p of (4.1) with their symbols f , for all $f \in (\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$.

Definition 4.4. Let M_p be the operator-norm closure of \mathcal{M}_p in the operator algebra $B(H_p)$, i.e.,

$$M_p \stackrel{def}{=} \overline{\alpha(\mathcal{M}_p)} = \overline{\mathbb{C}[\alpha_f : f \in \mathcal{M}_p]} \text{ in } B(H_p), \tag{4.4}$$

where \overline{X} mean the operator-norm closures of subsets X of $B(H_p)$. Then this C^* -algebra M_p is called the p -adic C^* -algebra of $(\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$.

5. FREE PROBABILITY ON M_p

Throughout this section, we fix a prime $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Let $(\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$ be the corresponding p -adic free probability space, and (H_p, α) , the p -adic representation of \mathcal{M}_p , and let M_p be the corresponding p -adic C^* -algebra of $(\mathcal{M}_p, \varphi_p)$. In this section, we consider suitable free-probabilistic models on M_p . In particular, we are interested in a system $\{\varphi_j^p\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of linear functionals on M_p , determined by the j -th boundaries $\{\partial_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of \mathbb{Q}_p .

Define a linear functional $\varphi_j^p : M_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by a linear morphism,

$$\varphi_j^p(a) \stackrel{def}{=} \langle \alpha_a(\chi_{\partial_j}), \chi_{\partial_j} \rangle_2, \text{ for all } a \in M_p, \tag{5.1}$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ is the inner product (4.2) on the p -adic Hilbert space H_p of (4.1).

First, remark that, if $a \in M_p$, then

$$a = \sum_{S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)} t_S \chi_S \text{ in } M_p, \text{ with } t_S \in \mathbb{C},$$

where \sum is a finite or an infinite (limit of finite) sum(s), under C^* -topology of M_p . Thus, the above definition (5.1) is well-defined, and every linear functional φ_j^p are bounded on M_p , for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 5.1. Let $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let φ_j^p be the linear functional (5.1) on the p -adic C^* -algebra M_p . Then the C^* -probability space (M_p, φ_j^p) is said to be the j -th (p -adic) C^* -probability space.

So, one can get the system

$$\{(M_p, \varphi_j^p) : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

of C^* -probability spaces for a fixed C^* -algebra M_p .

Now, fix $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and take the corresponding j -th C^* -probability space (M_p, φ_j^p) for $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and an element $\chi_S \in M_p$, one has that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_j^p(\chi_S) &= \langle \alpha_S(\chi_{\partial_j}), \chi_{\partial_j} \rangle_2 = \langle \chi_{S \cap \partial_j}, \chi_{\partial_j} \rangle_2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \chi_{S \cap \partial_j} \chi_{\partial_j}^* d\mu_p = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \chi_{S \cap \partial_j} \chi_{\partial_j} d\mu_p \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \chi_{S \cap \partial_j} d\mu_p = \mu_p(S \cap \partial_j) = r_S \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for some $0 \leq r_S \leq 1$ in \mathbb{R} , i.e., there exists $0 \leq r_S \leq 1$, such that

$$\varphi_j^p(\chi_S) = \mu_p(S \cap \partial_j) = r_S \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right), \tag{5.2}$$

for any $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

Proposition 5.2. Let $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and $\alpha_S = \alpha_{\chi_S} \in (M_p, \varphi_j^p)$, for a fixed $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then there exists $r_S \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$0 \leq r_S \leq 1 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}, \tag{5.3}$$

and

$$\varphi_j(\alpha_S^n) = r_S \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Remark that the element α_S is a projection in M_p in the sense that

$$\alpha_S^* = \alpha_S = \alpha_S^2 \text{ in } M_p,$$

since

$$\alpha_S^* = \alpha(\chi_S)^* = \alpha(\chi_S^*) = \alpha(\chi_S) = \alpha_S,$$

and

$$\alpha_S^2 = \alpha(\chi_S^2) = \alpha(\chi_S) = \alpha_S \text{ in } M_p.$$

So,

$$\alpha_S^n = \alpha_S, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\varphi_j^p(\alpha_S^n) = \varphi_j^p(\alpha_S) = r_S \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right),$$

for some $0 \leq r_S \leq 1$ in \mathbb{R} , by (5.2). □

The free-moment formula (5.3) characterizes the free distributions of χ_S in the j -th C^* -probability space (M_p, φ_j^p) , for all $S \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

More precisely, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $S_l \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and $\alpha_{S_l} = \alpha(\chi_{S_l}) \in (M_p, \varphi_j^p)$, for a fixed $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, for $l = 1, \dots, N$, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists $r_{(S_1, \dots, S_N)} \in \mathbb{R}$, such that*

$$0 \leq r_{(S_1, \dots, S_N)} \leq 1 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \quad (5.4)$$

and

$$\varphi_j \left(\left(\prod_{l=1}^N \alpha_{S_l} \right)^n \right) = r_{(S_1, \dots, S_N)} \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right),$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let S_1, \dots, S_N be μ_p -measurable subsets of \mathbb{Q}_p , for $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and let

$$S = \bigcap_{l=1}^N S_l \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p).$$

Then, one has that

$$\alpha_S = \prod_{l=1}^N \alpha_{S_l} \text{ in } M_p,$$

satisfying

$$\alpha_S^* = \alpha_S = \alpha_S^2 \text{ in } M_p.$$

Indeed, if $S \neq \emptyset$, then the above projection-property holds in M_p , and if $S = \emptyset$, then $\chi_S = 0_{M_p}$, the zero element of M_p , which is a projection, too. So,

$$\alpha_S^n = \alpha_S, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore,

$$\varphi_j^p(\alpha_S^n) = \varphi_j^p(\alpha_S) = r_S \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right),$$

for some $0 \leq r_S \leq 1$ in \mathbb{R} , by (5.3), for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. □

The above joint free-moment formula (5.4) characterizes the free-distributions of finitely many projections $\alpha_{S_1}, \dots, \alpha_{S_N}$ in the j -th C^* -probability space (M_p, φ_j^p) , for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

As corollaries of (5.4), we obtain the following results.

Corollary 5.4. *Let U_k be in the sense of (3.1), and ∂_k , the k -th boundaries of U_k in \mathbb{Q}_p , for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$\varphi_j^p(\alpha_{U_k}^n) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} & \text{if } k \leq j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (5.5)$$

and

$$\varphi_j^p(\alpha_{\partial_k}^n) = \delta_{j,k} \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Observe first that, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\varphi_j^p(\alpha_{\partial_k}^n) = \varphi_j^p(\alpha_{\partial_k}) = \mu_p(\partial_j \cap \partial_k) = \delta_{j,k} \mu_p(\partial_j) = \delta_{j,k} \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right),$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, because

$$\partial_k \cap \partial_j = \delta_{j,k} \partial_j \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Consider now that, for an arbitrarily given $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, one has

$$U_k \cap \partial_j = \left(\bigsqcup_{l \geq k \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}} \partial_l \right) \cap \partial_j = \begin{cases} \partial_j & \text{if } k \leq j, \\ \emptyset & \text{if } k > j. \end{cases} \tag{5.6}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_j^p(\alpha_{U_k}^n) &= \varphi_j^p(\alpha_{U_k}) = \mu_p(U_k \cap \partial_j) \\ &= \begin{cases} \mu_p(\partial_j) = \frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} & \text{if } k \leq j, \\ \mu_p(\emptyset) = 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

by (5.6), for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. □

Now, let $S_l \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and $a_l = \chi_{S_l} \in (M_p, \varphi_j^p)$, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, for $l = 1, 2$. Then, for

$$(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \{1, 2\}^n, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

we have the joint *free cumulant* in terms of φ_j^p ,

$$k_n^{p,j}(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_n}) = \sum_{\pi \in NC((i_1, \dots, i_n))} \left(\prod_{V \in \pi} \varphi_j^p \left(\prod_{i_l \in V} a_{i_l} \right) \right) \mu(\pi, 1_n)$$

by the *Möbius inversion* of [26]

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{\pi \in NC((i_1, \dots, i_n))} \left(\prod_{V \in \pi} \mu_p \left(\left(\bigcap_{i_l \in V} S_{i_l} \right) \cap \partial_j \right) \right) \mu(\pi, 1_n) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in NC((i_1, \dots, i_n))} \left(\prod_{V \in \pi} \left(r_{\pi, V} \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right) \right) \right) \mu(\pi, 1_n), \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

by (5.4), where $0 \leq r_{\pi, V} \leq 1$ are in the sense of (5.4).

Therefore, by the free cumulant formula (5.7), we obtain the following freeness condition on the j -th C^* -probability space (M_p, φ_j^p) .

Theorem 5.5. *Let $S_l \in \sigma(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and $a_l = \alpha_{S_l} \in (M_p, \varphi_j^p)$, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, for $l = 1, 2$. If*

$$j \notin \Lambda_{S_l} \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}, \text{ for all } l = 1, 2,$$

where Λ_{S_l} are in the sense of (2.8), for $l = 1, 2$, then two free random variables a_1 and a_2 are free in (M_p, φ_j^p) . i.e.,

$$j \notin \Lambda_{S_l}, l = 1 \text{ or } l = 2 \Rightarrow \alpha_{S_1} \text{ and } \alpha_{S_2} \text{ are free in } (M_p, \varphi_j^p). \tag{5.8}$$

Proof. Assume that

$$j \notin \Lambda_{S_1}, \text{ and } j \notin \Lambda_{S_2} \text{ in } \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\Lambda_{S_l} = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : S_l \cap \partial_k \neq \emptyset\}$, for $l = 1, 2$. Then, by (5.3) (or, by (5.4)),

$$\varphi_j^p(\alpha_{S_l}^n) = 0, \text{ for all } l = 1, 2.$$

Moreover, by the above assumption, we have

$$j \notin \Lambda_{S_1, S_2} = \Lambda_{S_1} \cap \Lambda_{S_2}.$$

So, if

$$(i_1, \dots, i_N) \in \{1, 2\}^N$$

is “mixed” in $\{1, 2\}$, for $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, then

$$\varphi_j^p\left(\prod_{t=1}^N \alpha_{S_{i_t}}\right) = 0,$$

by (5.4).

It shows that, the self-adjoint elements χ_{S_1} and χ_{S_2} have not only vanishing free moments, but also vanishing mixed free moments.

So, by (5.7), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} k_n^{p,j}(\alpha_{S_{i_1}}, \dots, \alpha_{S_{i_n}}) &= \sum_{\pi \in NC((i_1, \dots, i_n))} \left(\prod_{V \in \pi} \left(r_{\pi, V} \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right) \right) \right) \mu(\pi, 1_n) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in NC((i_1, \dots, i_n))} \left(\prod_{V \in \pi} (0) \right) \mu(\pi, 1_n) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all “mixed” n -tuples $(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \{1, 2\}^n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$.

It guarantees that two random variables χ_{S_1} and χ_{S_2} are free in (M_p, φ_j^p) . □

6. PROJECTIONS $\{P_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ AND THE SEMIGROUP S_p IN M_p

Let’s fix a prime $p \in \mathcal{P}$. In Section 5, we considered the free probability on the j -th C^* -probability space (M_p, φ_j^p) , where M_p is the p -adic C^* -algebra and φ_j^p is the linear

functional (5.1), for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, we observed fundamental free distributions of self-adjoint generating elements of M_p .

In this section, we concentrate on a system of *projections*,

$$\{P_j = \alpha_{\partial_j} = \alpha_{\chi_{\partial_j}} : j \in \mathbb{Z}\} \quad (6.1)$$

in M_p . Remark that these projections are mutually orthogonal from each other in the sense that

$$P_{j_1} P_{j_2} = \delta_{j_1, j_2} P_{j_1} \text{ in } M_p, \text{ for all } j_1, j_2 \in \mathbb{Z},$$

because

$$\chi_{\partial_{j_1}} \chi_{\partial_{j_2}} = \delta_{j_1, j_2} \chi_{\partial_{j_1}} \text{ in } \mathcal{M}_p, \text{ for all } j_1, j_2 \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let $P_k = \alpha_{\partial_k}$ be a projection (6.1) in the p -adic C^* -algebra M_p , for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, as we have seen in (5.5),

$$\varphi_j^p(P_k) = \delta_{j, k} \mu_p(\partial_j) = \delta_{j, k} \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right), \quad (6.2)$$

for all $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now, from the system $\{P_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of mutually orthogonal projections, let's construct the *multiplicative semigroup* S_p in M_p ,

$$S_p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle \{P_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_p} \rangle \text{ in } M_p, \quad (6.3)$$

under the inherited operator multiplication on M_p .

Then this algebraic structure S_p of (6.3) is a well-determined commutative semigroup in M_p , which is not a group. Indeed, the inherited operator multiplication is associative on S_p , but it has no identity in S_p . We call S_p , the *projection semigroup* of the system $\{P_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of (6.1).

Definition 6.1. Define the C^* -subalgebra \mathfrak{S}_p of the p -adic C^* -algebra M_p by the C^* -algebra generated by the projection semigroup S_p of (6.3). We call \mathfrak{S}_p the *projection-semigroup C^* -subalgebra* of M_p .

Our projection-semigroup C^* -subalgebra \mathfrak{S}_p of M_p has the following structure theorem.

Theorem 6.2. *Let \mathfrak{S}_p be the projection-semigroup C^* -subalgebra of M_p . Then*

$$\mathfrak{S}_p \stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{=} \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{C} \cdot P_k) \stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{=} \mathbb{C}^{\oplus |\mathbb{Z}|} \text{ in } M_p, \quad (6.4)$$

where " $*-\text{iso}$ " means "being $*$ -isomorphic", and where \oplus means topological direct product of C^* -algebras.

Proof. Let S_p be the projection semigroup of the system $\{P_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of mutually orthogonal projections $P_j = \alpha_{\partial_j}$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let $\mathfrak{S}_p = C^*(S_p)$ be the corresponding

projection-semigroup C^* -subalgebra of the p -adic C^* -algebra M_p . Then, by the very definition (6.3),

$$\mathfrak{S}_p = C^*(S_p) = C^* (\{P_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}) = \overline{\mathbb{C}[\{P_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}]},$$

where \overline{X} are the C^* -norm closures of the subsets X of M_p

$$= \overline{\bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{C} \cdot P_j)}$$

by the mutually orthogonality of $\{P_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$, and the projection-property of all P_j 's, where \bigoplus means pure-algebraic direct sum of algebras

$$= \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{C} \cdot P_j) = \mathbb{C}^{\oplus |\mathbb{Z}|}. \quad \square$$

The above structure theorem (6.4) of the projection-semigroup C^* -subalgebra \mathfrak{S}_p shows that the embedded structure \mathfrak{S}_p provides a certain filterization, or diagonalization in the p -adic C^* -algebra M_p .

Let (M_p, φ_j^p) be the j -th C^* -probability spaces of Section 5, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let \mathfrak{S}_p be our projection-semigroup C^* -subalgebra of M_p . Then, naturally, one obtains the system of C^* -probability spaces,

$$\{(\mathfrak{S}_p, \varphi_j^p) : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \tag{6.5}$$

by restricting the linear functionals φ_j^p on M_p to those on \mathfrak{S}_p , for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

And free-distributional data on \mathfrak{S}_p is completely determined by the following result.

Theorem 6.3. *Let $(\mathfrak{S}_p, \varphi_j^p)$ be a C^* -probability space in (6.5), for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. For an element*

$$T = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} t_k P_k \in \mathfrak{S}_p, \text{ with } t_k \in \mathbb{C},$$

we have

$$\varphi_j^p(T^n) = t_j^n \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{6.6}$$

for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let $T = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} t_k P_k \in \mathfrak{S}_p$, with $t_k \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, by the structure theorem (6.4), T is equivalent to

$$T \stackrel{\text{equi}}{=} \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} t_k P_k \text{ in } \mathbb{C}^{\oplus |\mathbb{Z}|} = \mathfrak{S}_p,$$

satisfying that

$$T^n \stackrel{\text{equi}}{=} \left(\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} t_k P_k \right)^n = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} t_k^n P_k^n \stackrel{\text{equi}}{=} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} t_k^n P_k,$$

in \mathfrak{S}_p , for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Therefore, for any fixed $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, one can get that

$$\varphi_j^p(T^n) = \varphi_j^p\left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} t_k^n P_k\right) = \varphi_j^p(t_j^n P_j) = t_j^n \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}}\right),$$

by (6.2), for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

7. WEIGHTED-SEMICIRCULARITY INDUCED BY \mathcal{M}_p

Let p be a fixed prime in \mathcal{P} , and let M_p be the p -adic C^* -algebra induced by the $*$ -algebra \mathcal{M}_p , under the p -adic representation (H_p, α) of \mathcal{M}_p . Let \mathfrak{S}_p be the projection-semigroup C^* -subalgebra of M_p , satisfying the structure theorem (6.4);

$$\mathfrak{S}_p \stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{=} \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{C} \cdot P_j),$$

where $P_j = \alpha_{\partial_j}$ are the mutually-orthogonal projections of (6.1), for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Also, let $\{(\mathfrak{S}_p, \varphi_j^p)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be the system (6.5) of j -th C^* -probability spaces of \mathfrak{S}_p . Recall again that

$$\varphi_j^p(\alpha_{\partial_k}) = \delta_{j,k} \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{k+1}}\right), \text{ for all } j, k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (7.1)$$

Recall now that an *arithmetic function* $\phi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a *Euler totient function*, if

$$\phi(n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid 1 \leq k \leq n \text{ and } \gcd(k, n) = 1\}|, \quad (7.2)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where \gcd means the *greatest common divisor*, and where $|x|$ mean the *cardinalities of sets X* .

It is a well-determined *multiplicative arithmetic function* in the sense that

$$\phi(n_1 n_2) = \phi(n_1) \phi(n_2),$$

whenever $\gcd(n_1, n_2) = 1$, because

$$\phi(n) = n \left(\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}, p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \right), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (7.3)$$

By (7.2) and (7.3), the Euler totient function ϕ satisfies

$$\phi(p) = p - 1 = p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right), \text{ for all } p \in \mathcal{P}.$$

So, our free-moment computation (7.1) can be re-stated as follows:

$$\varphi_j^p(\alpha_{\partial_k}) = \delta_{j,k} \frac{1}{p^j} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) = \delta_{j,k} \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \phi(p), \quad (7.4)$$

for all $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Define now a morphism τ_j^p on \mathfrak{S}_p by a linear functional satisfying

$$\tau_j^p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\phi(p)} \varphi_j^p, \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{7.5}$$

Then the pairs $(\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_j^p)$ are well-determined C^* -probability spaces, satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_j^p(P_k) &= \frac{\delta_{j,k}}{\phi(p)} \varphi_j^p(P_j) = \frac{\delta_{j,k}}{\phi(p)} \left(\frac{1}{p^j} - \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right) \\ &= \delta_{j,k} \left(\frac{1}{p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)} \right) \left(\frac{1}{p^j} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \right) = \frac{\delta_{j,k}}{p^{j+1}}, \end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

for all $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 7.1. We call the C^* -probability spaces $(\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_j^p)$, the j -th projection (-semigroup C^* -)probability spaces, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Free distributional data on the j -th projection probability spaces $(\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_j^p)$ is characterized as follows.

Proposition 7.2. Let $(\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_j^p)$ be the j -th projection probability space for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, where τ_j^p is the linear functional (7.5), for a fixed $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\tau_j^p(P_k^n) = \frac{\delta_{j,k}}{p^{j+1}}, \text{ for all } j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{7.7}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. The free distribution (7.7) of a projection P_k is obtained by (7.6), for all $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. □

Now, we have all ingredients to construct semicircular-like property, and semicircularity induced by \mathcal{M}_p .

7.1. WEIGHTED-SEMICIRCULARITY AND SEMICIRCULARITY

Let (A, φ) be an arbitrary (topological, or pure-algebraic) $*$ -probability space of a $*$ -algebra A , and a linear functional φ on A . Remember that $*$ -algebra is an algebra equipped with the *adjoint* ($*$) on A . An element a of a $*$ -algebra A is said to be *self-adjoint*, if $a^* = a$ in A , where a^* is the adjoint of a .

Definition 7.3. Let a be a free random variable in a $*$ -probability space (A, φ) , and let $k_n(\dots)$ be the free cumulant on A in terms of φ (e.g., see [26]). The given free random variable a is said to be semicircular in (A, φ) , if (i) a is self-adjoint, and (ii) a satisfies

$$k_n(\underbrace{a, a, \dots, a}_{n\text{-times}}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{7.8}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Formore about free moments and free cumulants, see [26] and cited papers therein. By the *Möbius inversion of* [26], one can get the equivalent definition of the semicircularity (7.8) as follows: A free random variable a is semicircular in (A, φ) , if and only if (i) a is self-adjoint, and (ii) all odd free-moments of a vanish, equivalently,

$$\varphi(a^{2n-1}) = 0, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (7.9)$$

and (iii) all even free-moments of a satisfy

$$\varphi(a^{2n}) = c_n, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (7.10)$$

where c_n are the n -th Catalan number,

$$c_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n} = \frac{(2n)!}{n!(n+1)!},$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [26]).

So, the free-moment formulas (7.9) and (7.10) characterize the semicircularity (7.8) under self-adjointness.

Motivated by the definition (7.8) of semicircularity, we define the following semicircular-like property, called the *weighted-semicircularity* as follows.

Definition 7.4. A free random variable $a \in (A, \varphi)$ is said to be weighted-semicircular in (A, φ) with weight $t_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ (in short, t_0 -semicircular), if a is self-adjoint in A , and

$$k_n(a, \dots, a) = \begin{cases} k_2(a, a) = t_0 & \text{if } n = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (7.11)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Of course, if $t_0 = 1$, then 1-semicircularity of (7.11) is the same as the semicircularity (7.8).

By the Möbius inversion of [26], if a free random variable a is t_0 -semicircular in (A, φ) , then

$$\varphi(a^{2m-1}) = 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(a^{2m}) &= \sum_{\pi \in NC(2m)} \left(\prod_{V \in \pi} k_{|V|}(\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_{|V|\text{-times}}) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in NC_2(2m)} \left(\prod_{V \in \pi} k_{|V|}(\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_{|V|\text{-times}}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$NC_2(2m) = \{\theta \in NC(2m) : \forall V \in \pi, |V| = 2\}$$

by (7.11)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{\pi \in NC_2(2m)} \left(\prod_{V \in \pi} k_2(a, a) \right) = \sum_{\pi \in NC_2(2m)} \left(\prod_{V \in \pi} t_0 \right) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in NC_2(2m)} \left(t_0^{|\pi|} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $|\pi|$ means the number of blocks of the partition π

$$= \sum_{\pi \in NC_2(2m)} t_0^m$$

since all noncrossing partitions π in $NC_2(2m)$ has $\frac{2m}{2}$ -many blocks

$$= t_0^m \left(\sum_{\pi \in NC_2(2m)} 1 \right) = t_0^m c_m,$$

where c_m means the m -th Catalan number, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

So, by the definition (7.11), one obtains that: if a is t_0 -semicircular in (A, φ) , then it is self-adjoint, and there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, such that

$$\varphi(a^n) = \begin{cases} t_0^{\frac{n}{2}} c_{\frac{n}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad (7.12)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 7.5. *Let $a \in (A, \varphi)$ be a self-adjoint non-zero free random variable. Then a is t_0 -semicircular in (A, φ) for some $t_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, if and only if*

$$\varphi(a^n) = \begin{cases} t_0^{\frac{n}{2}} c_{\frac{n}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad (7.13)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. The proof of the free-moment characterization (7.13) of the t_0 -semicircularity is done by (7.11) and (7.12), via the Möbius inversion of [26].

(\Rightarrow) If a is t_0 -semicircular in (A, φ) , then the free-moment formula (7.13) holds by (7.12).

(\Leftarrow) If a self-adjoint free random variable a satisfies the free-moment computation (7.13) in (A, φ) , then

$$k_n(a, \dots, a) = \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \left(\prod_{V \in \pi} \varphi(a^{|V|}) \right) \mu(\pi, 1_n), \tag{7.14}$$

by the Möbius inversion, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since all odd free-moments of a vanish by (7.13), whenever a block V of any noncrossing partition contains odd-many elements, then $\varphi(a^{|V|}) = 0$, and hence, if a partition π contains a block V_0 with odd-many elements, then

$$\prod_{V \in \pi} \varphi(a^{|V|}) = \left(\varphi(a^{|V_0|}) \right) \left(\prod_{V \in \pi, V \neq V_0} \varphi(a^{|V|}) \right) = 0.$$

Notice now that all noncrossing partitions π of $NC(n)$ contains at least one odd block in π , whenever n is odd in \mathbb{N} . So, by (7.14), one obtains that

$$k_n(a, \dots, a) = 0, \text{ whenever } n \text{ is odd in } \mathbb{N}. \tag{7.15}$$

Now, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and observe

$$\begin{aligned} k_{2k}(a, \dots, a) &= \sum_{\pi \in NC(2k)} \left(\prod_{V \in \pi} \varphi(a^{|V|}) \right) \mu(\pi, 1_{2k}) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in NC_e(2k)} \left(\prod_{V \in \pi} \varphi(a^{|V|}) \right) \mu(\pi, 1_{2k}), \end{aligned} \tag{7.16}$$

where

$$NC_e(2k) = \{\theta \in NC(2k) : \forall B \in \theta \Rightarrow |B| \text{ is even}\}.$$

It is not difficult to check that the sub-lattice $NC_e(2k)$ of the lattice $NC(2k)$ is equivalent to $NC(k)$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, the formula (7.16) goes to

$$\begin{aligned} k_{2k}(a, \dots, a) &= \sum_{\theta \in NC(k)} \left(\prod_{B \in \theta} \varphi(a^{2|B|}) \right) \mu(\theta, 1_k) \\ &= \sum_{\theta \in NC(k)} \left(\prod_{B \in \theta} t_0^{|B|} c_{|B|} \right) \mu(\theta, 1_k) \\ &= \sum_{\theta \in NC(k)} t_0^k \left(\prod_{B \in \theta} c_{|B|} \right) \mu(\theta, 1_k) \\ &= t_0^k \left(\sum_{\theta \in NC(k)} \left(\prod_{B \in \theta} c_{|B|} \right) \mu(\theta, 1_k) \right) \\ &= \begin{cases} t_0 & \text{if } k = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{7.17}$$

by (7.9) and (7.10) (which are equivalent to the semicircularity (7.8)). Indeed,

$$\sum_{\theta \in NC(k)} \left(\prod_{B \in \theta} c_{|B|} \right) \mu(\theta, 1_k) = 0,$$

whenever $k \neq 1$, because of the semicircularity.

Therefore, if the free-moment computation (7.13) holds, then a is t_0 -semicircular in (A, φ) , by (7.15) and (7.17).

Thus, by (\Rightarrow) and (\Leftarrow) , a self-adjoint element a is t_0 -semicircular in (A, φ) , if and only if it satisfies

$$\varphi(a^n) = \begin{cases} t_0^{\frac{n}{2}} c_{\frac{n}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. □

So, by the above free-moment characterization (7.13), our t_0 -semicircularity (7.11) can be re-stated.

7.2. TENSOR PRODUCT BANACH *-ALGEBRA $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$

Let M_p be the p -adic C^* -algebra containing its p -adic projection-semigroup C^* -subalgebra \mathfrak{S}_p , and let τ_k^p be linear functionals (7.5) on \mathfrak{S}_p , for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Throughout this section, we fix k in \mathbb{Z} , and the corresponding k -th C^* -probability space $(\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_k^p)$. The formula (7.6) says that

$$\tau_k^p(P_j) = \frac{\delta_{k,j}}{p^{k+1}}, \quad \text{for all } j \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{7.18}$$

Recall that

$$\mathfrak{S}_p \stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{\cong} \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{C} \cdot P_j) \stackrel{*-\text{iso}}{\cong} \mathbb{C}^{\oplus |\mathbb{Z}|} \text{ in } M_p, \tag{7.19}$$

by the structure theorem (6.4).

By (7.19), one can define a Banach-space operators c_p and a_p “acting on \mathfrak{S}_p ” by linear transformations satisfying

$$c_p(P_j) = P_{j+1}, \text{ and } a_p(P_j) = P_{j-1}, \tag{7.20}$$

acting on \mathfrak{S} , for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

By the very definition (7.20), these linear operators c_p and a_p are bounded (or continuous) under the operator-norm of \mathfrak{S}_p , inherited from the C^* -norm on the p -adic C^* -algebra M_p . So, they are well-defined Banach-space operators acting “on \mathfrak{S}_p .”

Definition 7.6. The Banach-space operators c_p and a_p on \mathfrak{S}_p in the sense of (7.20) are called the (p) -creation, respectively, the (p) -annihilation on \mathfrak{S}_p . Define a new Banach-space operator l_p on \mathfrak{S}_p by

$$l_p = c_p + a_p \text{ on } \mathfrak{S}_p. \tag{7.21}$$

We call this operator l_p of (7.21), the (p) -radial operator on \mathfrak{S}_p .

Let l_p be the radial operator $c_p + a_p$ of (7.21) on \mathfrak{S}_p , where c_p and a_p are the creation, respectively, the annihilation of (7.20). Construct a *Banach algebra* \mathfrak{L}_p by

$$\mathfrak{L}_p = \overline{\mathbb{C}[l_p]} \text{ in } B(\mathfrak{S}_p), \tag{7.22}$$

where $B(\mathfrak{S}_p)$ means the (topological) *operator space*, consisting of all bounded (equivalently, continuous) linear transformations on \mathfrak{S}_p , equipped with its *operator-norm* $\|\cdot\|$, defined by

$$\|T\| = \sup\{\|Tx\|_{\mathfrak{S}_p} : x \in \mathfrak{S}_p, \|x\|_{\mathfrak{S}_p} = 1\},$$

where

$$\|x\|_{\mathfrak{S}_p} = \sup\{\|x(h)\|_p : h \in H_p, \|h\|_p = 1\},$$

giving the C^* -norm topology on M_p (and hence, on \mathfrak{S}_p), where $\|\cdot\|_p$ means the Hilbert-space norm on the p -adic Hilbert space H_p .

By the definition (7.22) of the Banach algebra \mathfrak{L}_p , every element x of \mathfrak{L}_p is expressed by

$$x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t_k l_p^k, \text{ with } t_k \in \mathbb{C},$$

in \mathfrak{L}_p , with identity: $l_p^0 = 1_{\mathfrak{L}_p}$, the identity operator on \mathfrak{L}_p , satisfying that:

$$1_{\mathfrak{L}_p}(P_j) = P_j, \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Now, define the adjoint on \mathfrak{L}_p by

$$x^* = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t_k l_p^k \right)^* \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \overline{t_k} l_p^k.$$

Then the Banach algebra \mathfrak{L}_p forms a *Banach *-algebra*.

Definition 7.7. Let \mathfrak{L}_p be the Banach *-algebra (7.22) in the operator space $B(\mathfrak{S}_p)$. We call it the (p -adic) radial (Banach-*)-algebra on \mathfrak{S}_p .

Let \mathfrak{L}_p be the radial algebra on \mathfrak{S}_p . Construct now the *tensor product Banach *-algebra* $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$ by

$$\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p = \mathfrak{L}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{S}_p, \tag{7.23}$$

where $\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}$ means the tensor product of Banach *-algebras.

Consider elements $l_p^k \otimes P_j$ of the tensor product Banach *-algebra $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$ of (7.23), for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. By the very definition (7.23), such elements $l_p^k \otimes P_j$ generate $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$. We concentrate on such generating operators $l_p^k \otimes P_j$ of $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$, later.

Define a morphism

$$E_p : \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_p$$

by a linear transformation satisfying that:

$$E_p(l_p^k \otimes P_j) = \frac{(p^{j+1})^{k+1}}{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor + 1} l_p^k(P_j), \tag{7.24}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$ means the *minimal integer* greater than or equal to $\frac{k}{2}$, for instance,

$$\lceil \frac{3}{2} \rceil = 2 = \lceil \frac{4}{2} \rceil.$$

By (7.19), if

$$T = \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n l_p^{n_k} \otimes s_n P_j) \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p \text{ with } t_n, s_n \in \mathbb{C},$$

for $N \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$T = \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n s_n) (l_p^{n_k} \otimes P_j),$$

and hence,

$$E_p(T) = \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n s_n) E_p(l_p^{n_k} \otimes P_j) = \sum_{n=1}^N (t_n s_n) \frac{(p^{j+1})^{n_k+1}}{\lceil \frac{n_k}{2} \rceil + 1} l_p^{n_k}(P_j), \tag{7.25}$$

in \mathfrak{S} , by (7.24).

Note that, if $Q_l = l_p^{k_l} \otimes P_{j_l} \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$, for $4k_l \in \mathbb{N}_0, j_l \in \mathbb{Z}$, for $l = 1, 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} E_p(Q_1 Q_2) &= E_p(l_p^{k_1} l_p^{k_2} \otimes P_{j_1} P_{j_2}) \\ &= E_p(l_p^{k_1+k_2} \otimes (\delta_{j_1, j_2} P_{j_1})) \\ &= \delta_{j_1, j_2} E_p(l_p^{k_1+k_2} \otimes P_{j_1}) \\ &= \delta_{j_1, j_2} \frac{(p^{j+1})^{k_1+k_2+1}}{\lceil \frac{k_1+k_2}{2} \rceil + 1} l_p^{k_1+k_2}(P_{j_1}). \end{aligned} \tag{7.26}$$

Proposition 7.8. *Let $Q_l = l_p^{k_l} \otimes P_{j_l} \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$, for $k_l \in \mathbb{N}_0, j_l \in \mathbb{Z}$, for $l = 1, 2$. If E_p is the morphism in the sense of (7.24), then*

$$E_p(Q_1 Q_2) = \delta_{j_1, j_2} \frac{(p^{j+1})^{k_1+k_2+1}}{\lceil \frac{k_1+k_2}{2} \rceil + 1} l_p^{k_1+k_2}(P_{j_1}). \tag{7.27}$$

Proof. The proof of (7.27) is directly done by (7.26). □

Now, consider how our radial operator $l_p = c_p + a_p$ acts on \mathfrak{S}_p . First, observe that if c_p and a_p are the creation, respectively, the annihilation on \mathfrak{S}_p , then

$$c_p a_p(P_j) = c_p(a_p(P_j)) = c_p(P_{j-1}) = P_j, \tag{7.28}$$

and

$$a_p c_p(P_j) = a_p(c_p(P_j)) = a_p(P_{j+1}) = P_j,$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 7.9. *Let c_p, a_p be the creation, respectively, the annihilation on \mathfrak{S}_p . Then*

$$c_p a_p = 1_{\mathfrak{S}_p} = a_p c_p, \tag{7.29}$$

where $1_{\mathfrak{S}_p}$ is the identity operator on \mathfrak{S}_p .

Proof. Since the C^* -algebra \mathfrak{S}_p is $*$ -isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{C} \cdot P_j)$ (by (7.19)), the formula (7.29) holds by (7.28), under the linearity of c_p and a_p on \mathfrak{S} . \square

The formula (7.29) shows that the Banach-space operators c_p and a_p are commutative on \mathfrak{S}_p . Therefore, one can get that

$$l_p^n = (c_p + a_p)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} c_p^k a_p^{n-k}, \tag{7.30}$$

with identities:

$$c_p^0 = 1_{\mathfrak{S}_p} = a_p^0,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Consider now the formulas (7.29) and (7.30) together. Assume first that $n = 2m - 1$ is odd, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$l_p^n = l_p^{2m-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} \binom{2m-1}{k} c_p^k a_p^{2m-1-k},$$

by (7.30). Thus, we can realize that l_p^{2m-1} has vanishing $1_{\mathfrak{S}_p}$ -terms by (7.29), for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. i.e.,

$$l_p^{2m-1} \text{ does not contain } 1_{\mathfrak{S}_p}\text{-terms, for } m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{7.31}$$

Now, suppose that $n = 2m$ is even, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$l_p^{2m} = \sum_{k=0}^{2m} \binom{2m}{k} c_p^k a_p^{2m-k}$$

by (7.30)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(\binom{2m}{m} c_p^m a_p^m \right) + \sum_{k \neq m \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2m\}} \binom{2m}{k} c_p^k a_p^{2m-k} \\ &= \binom{2m}{m} (1_{\mathfrak{S}_p})^m + \sum_{k \neq m \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2m\}} \binom{2m}{k} c_p^k a_p^{2m-k} \end{aligned}$$

by (7.29)

$$= \binom{2m}{m} \cdot 1_{\mathfrak{S}_p} + [non-1_{\mathfrak{S}_p}\text{-terms}],$$

i.e.,

$$l_p^{2m} \text{ contains the term } \binom{2m}{m} \cdot 1_{\mathfrak{S}_p}, \text{ for } m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{7.32}$$

Proposition 7.10. *Let $l_p \in \mathfrak{L}_p$ be the radial operator on \mathfrak{S}_p . Then*

$$l_p^{2m-1} \text{ does not contain a } 1_{\mathfrak{S}_p}\text{-term, and} \tag{7.33}$$

$$l_p^{2m} \text{ contains its } 1_{\mathfrak{S}_p}\text{-term, } \binom{2m}{m} \cdot 1_{\mathfrak{S}_p}, \tag{7.34}$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. The proofs of (7.33) and (7.34) are done by (7.31), respectively (7.32), with help of (7.29) and (7.30). □

Now, we have all ingredients to construct weighted-semicircular elements in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$.

7.3. WEIGHTED-SEMICIRCULAR ELEMENTS Q_j INDUCED BY $\mathbb{H}(G_p)$

Let $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$ be the tensor product Banach $*$ -algebra $\mathfrak{L}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{S}_p$ in the sense of (7.23), and let $E_p : \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_p$ be the linear transformation (7.24). Throughout this section, Fix an element

$$Q_j = l_p \otimes P_j \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tag{7.35}$$

for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Observe that

$$Q_j^n = (l_p \otimes P_j)^n = (l_p^n \otimes P_j^n) = (l_p^n \otimes P_j), \tag{7.36}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, because P_j are projections in \mathfrak{S}_p , for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Consider that, if $Q_j \in \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$ is in the sense of (7.35), for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$E_p(Q_j^n) = E_p(l_p^n \otimes P_j) = \frac{(p^{j+1})^{n+1}}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1} l_p^n(P_j) \tag{7.37}$$

in \mathfrak{S}_p , by (7.36), for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now, for each fixed $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, define a linear functional $\tau_{p;j}^0$ on $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$ by

$$\tau_{p;j}^0 = \tau_j^p \circ E_p \text{ on } \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tag{7.38}$$

where τ_j^p is in the sense of (7.5) on \mathfrak{S}_p .

By the linearity of both τ_j^p and E_p , the morphism $\tau_{p;j}^0$ of (7.38) is a linear functional on $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$. i.e., $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p;j}^0)$ forms a *Banach $*$ -probability space* in the sense of [26] and [31].

By (7.37) and (7.38), one has that: if Q_j is in the sense of (7.35), then

$$\tau_{p;j}^0(Q_j^n) = \tau_j^p(E_p(Q_j^n)) = \frac{(p^{j+1})^{n+1}}{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1} \tau_j^p(l_p^n(P_j)), \tag{7.39}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 7.11. *Let $Q_j = l_p \otimes P_j \in (\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p;j}^0)$, for a fixed $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then Q_j is $p^{2(j+1)}$ -semicircular in $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_j^0)$. Moreover,*

$$\tau_j^0(Q_j^n) = \begin{cases} (p^{j+1})^n c_{\frac{n}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \tag{7.40}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let us fix $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the corresponding Banach $*$ -probability space $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p;j}^0)$, and let Q_j be a generating operator $l_p \otimes P_j$ of $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$. Then it is trivial that Q_j is self-adjoint in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$. Indeed, one has

$$Q_j^* = (l_p \otimes P_j)^* = (l_p^* \otimes P_j^*) = (l_p \otimes P_j) = Q_j.$$

Observe now that

$$\tau_{p;j}^0(Q_j^{2m-1}) = \frac{(p^{j+1})^{(2m-1)+1}}{\left[\frac{2m-1}{2}\right] + 1} \tau_j^p(l_p^{2m-1}(P_j))$$

by (7.39)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{(p^{j+1})^{2m}}{\left[\frac{2m-1}{2}\right] + 1} \tau_j^p\left(\left(\sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} \binom{2m-1}{k} c_p^k a_p^{2m-1-k}\right)(P_j)\right) \\ &= \frac{(p^{j+1})^{2m}}{\left[\frac{2m-1}{2}\right] + 1} \tau_j^p\left(\sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} \binom{2m-1}{k} (c_p^k a_p^{2m-1-k}(P_j))\right), \end{aligned} \tag{7.41}$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark that the embedded parts

$$c_p^k a_p^{2m-1-k}(P_j) = P_{j-2m+1+2k}$$

of the summands in (7.41) cannot be P_j -terms by (7.33), for all $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2m - 1$. Therefore, the formula (7.41) vanishes, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now, consider that

$$\tau_{p;j}^0(Q_j^{2m}) = \frac{(p^{j+1})^{2m+1}}{\lfloor \frac{2m}{2} \rfloor + 1} \tau_j^p(l_p^{2m}(P_j))$$

by (7.39)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{(p^{j+1})^{2m+1}}{m+1} \tau_j^p \left(\left(\sum_{k=0}^{2m} \binom{2m}{k} c_p^k a_p^{2m-k} \right) (P_j) \right) \\ &= \frac{(p^{j+1})^{2m+1}}{m+1} \tau_j^p \left(\binom{2m}{m} \cdot P_j + \sum_{k \neq m \in \{0,1,\dots,2m\}} \binom{2m}{k} c_p^k a_p^{2m-k} (P_j) \right) \end{aligned}$$

by (7.34)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{(p^{j+1})^{2m+1}}{m+1} \tau_j^p \left(\binom{2m}{m} \cdot P_j + [\text{non-}P_j\text{-terms}] \right) \\ &= \frac{(p^{j+1})^{2m+1}}{m+1} \tau_j^p \left(\binom{2m}{m} \cdot P_j \right) = \frac{(p^{j+1})^{2m+1}}{m+1} \binom{2m}{m} \tau_j^p(P_j) \\ &= \frac{(p^{j+1})^{2m+1}}{m+1} \binom{2m}{m} \left(\frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right) = \frac{1}{m+1} \binom{2m}{m} (p^{j+1})^{2m} \\ &= c_m (p^{j+1})^{2m} = ((p^{j+1})^2)^m c_m, \end{aligned}$$

where c_m means the m -th Catalan number, i.e.,

$$\tau_{p;j}^0(Q_j^{2m}) = c_m (p^{j+1})^{2m} = c_m (p^{2(j+1)})^m, \quad (7.42)$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

So, by the free-moment computations (7.41) and (7.42), the self-adjoint free random variable Q_j of our Banach $*$ -probability space $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p;j}^0)$ is a weighted-semicircular element with its weight $p^{2(j+1)}$. i.e., there exists

$$(p^{j+1})^2 = p^{2(j+1)} \in \mathbb{C},$$

such that

$$\tau_{p;j}^0(Q_j^{2m}) = c_m (p^{2(j+1)})^m,$$

and

$$\tau_{p;j}^0(Q_j^{2m-1}) = 0,$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Therefore, the operator Q_j is $p^{2(j+1)}$ -semicircular in $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p;j}^0)$, by (7.11) and (7.13). \square

One can construct the system

$$\mathbb{L}\mathcal{S}_p = \{(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p:j}^0)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \tag{7.43}$$

of Banach $*$ -probability spaces. Then, every Banach $*$ -probability space $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p:j}^0)$ in the family $\mathbb{L}\mathcal{S}_p$ of (7.43) has its $p^{2(j+1)}$ -semicircular element $Q_j = l_p \otimes P_j$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. i.e., we have family

$$\mathcal{W}\mathcal{S}_p = \{Q_j = l_p \otimes P_j \in (\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p:j}^0)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \tag{7.44}$$

of weighted-semicircular elements in the family $\mathbb{L}\mathcal{S}_p$ of (7.43).

So, if $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then one obtains a corresponding $p^{2(k+1)}$ -semicircular element $Q_k \in \mathcal{W}\mathcal{S}_p$ in a Banach $*$ -probability space $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p:k}^0) \in \mathbb{L}\mathcal{S}_p$, for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$, satisfying

$$\tau_{p:k}^0(Q_k^n) = \begin{cases} c_{\frac{n}{2}}(p^{2(j+1)})^{\frac{n}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

equivalently,

$$k_n^{p,j,0}(\underbrace{Q_j, Q_j, \dots, Q_j}_{n\text{-times}}) = \begin{cases} p^{2(j+1)} & \text{if } n = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $k_n^{p,j,0}(\dots)$ is the free cumulant with respect to the linear functional $\tau_{p:j}^0$ on $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$ (in the sense of [26]).

The following theorem re-prove an equivalent free-distributional data of (7.40), in terms of free cumulant. In fact, the following theorem must hold true by (7.40), and by the Möbius inversion of [26]. However, we provide an independent proof of the theorem below.

Theorem 7.12. *Let $Q_j = l_p \otimes P_j \in (\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p:j}^0)$ be given as in (7.35), for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$k_n^{p,j,0}(\underbrace{Q_j, Q_j, \dots, Q_j}_{n\text{-times}}) = \begin{cases} p^{2(j+1)} & \text{if } n = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{7.45}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Therefore, Q_j is a $p^{2(j+1)}$ -semicircular in $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p:j}^0)$, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let Q_j be a self-adjoint free random variable (7.35) of the Banach $*$ -probability space $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p:j}^0)$, for a fixed $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\tau_{p:j}^0(Q_j^{2m}) = c_m(p^{j+1})^{2m}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_j^0(Q_j^{2m-1}) = 0, \tag{7.46}$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, by (7.40).

By the Möbius inversion of [26], one has

$$k_n^{p,j,0}(Q_j, Q_j, \dots, Q_j) = \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \left(\prod_{V \in \pi} \tau_{p:j}^0(Q_j^{|V|}) \right) \mu(\pi, 1_n) \tag{7.47}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Suppose now that n in (7.47) is odd. Then every partition π in the lattice $NC(n)$ consisting of all noncrossing partitions over $\{1, \dots, n\}$ contains at least one odd block V_0 in π , i.e., there always exists at least one block V_0 of π has odd-many elements. Then

$$\tau_{p:j}^0(Q_j^{|V_0|}) = 0,$$

and hence, for a partition π ,

$$\prod_{V \in \pi} \tau_{p:j}^0(Q_j^{|V|}) = \left(\tau_{p:j}^0(Q_j^{|V_0|})\right) \left(\prod_{V \in \pi, V \neq V_0} \tau_j^0(Q_j^{|V|})\right) = 0,$$

whenever n is odd in \mathbb{N} . Since π is arbitrary in $NC(n)$, the formula (7.47) vanishes, whenever n is odd, i.e.,

$$k_n^{p,j,0}(Q_j, \dots, Q_j) = 0, \text{ if } n \text{ is odd.} \tag{7.48}$$

Consider now that, if $n = 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} k_2^{p,j,0}(Q_j, Q_j) &= \tau_{p:j}^0(Q_j^2) - \tau_{p:j}^0(Q_j) \tau_{p:j}^0(Q_j) \\ &= \tau_{p:j}^0(l_p^2 \otimes P_j) - \tau_{p:j}^0(Q_j)^2 = \tau_j^0(l_p^2 \otimes P_j) \\ &= \tau_j^p(E_p(l_p^2 \otimes P_j)) = (p^{j+1})^{2+1} \tau_j^p(P_j) \\ &= (p^{j+1})^2 = p^{2(j+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

by (7.47), i.e., one obtains that

$$k_2^{p,j,0}(Q_j, Q_j) = p^{2(j+1)}. \tag{7.49}$$

Let $m > 1$ in \mathbb{N} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} k_{2m}^{p,j,0}(Q_j, \dots, Q_j) &= \sum_{\pi \in NC_e(2m)} \left(\prod_{V \in \pi} \tau_{p:j}^0(Q_j^{|V|})\right) \mu(\pi, 1_{2m}) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in NC_e(2m)} \left(\prod_{V \in \pi} \left(c_{\frac{|V|}{2}}(p^{j+1})^{|V|}\right)\right) \mu(\pi, 1_{2m}) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in NC_e(2m)} \left(\left(\prod_{V \in \pi} c_{\frac{|V|}{2}}\right) (p^{j+1})^{2m}\right) \mu(\pi, 1_{2m}) \\ &= (p^{j+1})^{2m} \left(\sum_{\pi \in NC_e(2m)} \left(\prod_{V \in \pi} c_{\frac{|V|}{2}}\right) \mu(\pi, 1_{2m})\right), \end{aligned} \tag{7.50}$$

by (7.47) and (7.49), where

$$NC_e(2m) = \{\theta \in NC(2m) : B \in \theta \iff |B| \text{ is even}\}.$$

By the semicircularity (7.9) and (7.10), we know that

$$\sum_{\pi \in NC_e(2m)} \left(\prod_{V \in \pi} c_{|V|} \right) \mu(\pi, 1_{2m}) = 0, \tag{7.51}$$

whenever $m > 1$ in \mathbb{N} . Thus, the formula (7.50) vanishes whenever $m > 1$.

Thus, we have

$$\text{if } m > 1 \text{ in } \mathbb{N}, \text{ then } k_{2m}^{j,0}(Q_j, \dots, Q_j) = 0, \tag{7.52}$$

by (7.50) and (7.51).

Therefore, by (7.48), (7.49) and (7.52), we obtain

$$k_n^{p,j,0}(Q_j, \dots, Q_j) = \begin{cases} p^{2(j+1)} & \text{if } n = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

It guarantees that the element Q_j is $p^{2(j+1)}$ -semicircular in $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_j^0)$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, by (7.11) and (7.13). □

7.4. SEMICIRCULAR ELEMENTS INDUCED BY \mathcal{M}_p

In this section, we consider semicircular elements in the Banach $*$ -probability spaces $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p;j}^0)$, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. We will use the same notations used in Section 7.3. As we have seen in (7.40) and (7.45), the generating operators

$$Q_j = l_p \otimes P_j \text{ of } \mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$$

are $p^{2(j+1)}$ -semicircular in the Banach $*$ -probability space $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p;j}^0)$, for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Throughout this section, let's fix $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the corresponding Banach $*$ -probability space $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p;j}^0)$. Define now an operator Θ_j of $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$ by a free random variable,

$$\Theta_j = \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} Q_j \in (\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p;j}^0). \tag{7.53}$$

Since $p^{j+1} \in \mathbb{Q}$, the quantity $\frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \in \mathbb{Q}$, too, in \mathbb{R} , and hence, the operator Θ_j is self-adjoint in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$, by the self-adjointness of Q_j .

Observe now that, if Θ_j is a self-adjoint operator (7.53) in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 k_n^{p,j,0}(\underbrace{\Theta_j, \Theta_j, \dots, \Theta_j}_{n\text{-times}}) &= \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \left(\prod_{V \in \pi} \tau_{p:j}^0(\Theta_j^{|V|}) \right) \mu(\pi, 1_n) \\
 &= \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \left(\prod_{V \in \pi} \left(\frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right)^{|V|} \tau_{p:j}^0(Q_j^{|V|}) \right) \mu(\pi, 1_n) \\
 &= \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \left(\frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right)^n \left(\prod_{V \in \pi} \tau_{p:j}^0(Q_j^{|V|}) \right) \mu(\pi, 1_n) \tag{7.54} \\
 &= \left(\frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right)^n \left(\sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \left(\prod_{V \in \pi} \tau_{p:j}^0(Q_j^{|V|}) \right) \mu(\pi, 1_n) \right) \\
 &= \left(\frac{1}{p^{j+1}} \right)^n k_n^{p,j,0}(\underbrace{Q_j, Q_j, \dots, Q_j}_{n\text{-times}}),
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark that the above formula (7.54) can be directly obtained by the *bimodule-map property of free cumulants*. i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
 k_n^{p,j,0}(X_j, \dots, X_j) &= k_n^{p,j,0}\left(\frac{1}{p^{j+1}}Q_j, \dots, \frac{1}{p^{j+1}}Q_j\right) \\
 &= \left(\frac{1}{p^{j+1}}\right)^n k_n^{p,j,0}(Q_j, \dots, Q_j),
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (e.g., see [26]).

Lemma 7.13. *Let $\Theta_j = \frac{1}{p^{j+1}}Q_j = \frac{1}{p^{j+1}}(l_p \otimes P_j)$ be in the sense of (7.53) in our Banach $*$ -probability space $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p:j}^0)$, for a fixed $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$k_n^{p,j,0}(\Theta_j, \dots, \Theta_j) = \left(\frac{1}{p^{j+1}}\right)^n k_n^{p,j,0}(Q_j, \dots, Q_j), \tag{7.55}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. The proof of the free-cumulant formula (7.55) is done by (7.54). □

The above free-cumulant formula (7.55) shows that the free-distributional data of Θ_j are determined by those of Q_j .

Theorem 7.14. *Let Θ_j be in the sense of (7.53) in $\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p$, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then it is semicircular in $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p:j}^0)$, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Observe that

$$k_n^{p,j,0}(\Theta_j, \dots, \Theta_j) = \left(\frac{1}{p^{j+1}}\right)^n k_n^{p,j,0}(Q_j, \dots, Q_j)$$

by (7.55)

$$= \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{p^{j+1}}\right)^2 k_2^{p,j,0}(Q_j, Q_j) & \text{if } n = 2, \\ \left(\frac{1}{p^{j+1}}\right)^n \cdot 0 = 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by the $p^{2(j+1)}$ -semicircularity (7.45), or (7.40) of Q_j , for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

So, we obtain that

$$k_n^{p,j,0}(\Theta_j, \dots, \Theta_j) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{p^{j+1}}\right)^2 p^{2(j+1)} = 1 & \text{if } n = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (7.56)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Therefore, by (7.8) and (7.56), the self-adjoint operators Θ_j are semicircular in $(\mathfrak{L}\mathfrak{S}_p, \tau_{p,j}^0)$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Albeverio, P.E.T. Jorgensen, A.M. Paolucci, *Multiresolution wavelet analysis of integer scale Bessel functions*, J. Math. Phys. **48** (2007) 7, 073516, 24.
- [2] S. Albeverio, P.E.T. Jorgensen, A.M. Paolucci, *On fractional Brownian motion and wavelets*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **6** (2012) 1, 33–63.
- [3] D. Alpay, P.E.T. Jorgensen, *Spectral theory for Gaussian processes: reproducing kernels, boundaries, and L_2 -wavelet generators with fractional scales*, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. **36** (2015) 10, 1239–1285.
- [4] D. Alpay, P.E.T. Jorgensen, D. Kimsey, *Moment problems in an infinite number of variables*, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. Prob. **18** (2015) 4, 1550024.
- [5] D. Alpay, P.E.T. Jorgensen, D. Levanony, *On the equivalence of probability spaces*, J. Theo. Prob. (2016), to appear.
- [6] D. Alpay, P.E.T. Jorgensen, G. Salomon, *On free stochastic processes and their derivatives*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **124** (2014) 10, 3392–3411.
- [7] I. Cho, *Free distributional data of arithmetic functions and corresponding generating functions*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **8** (2014) 2, 537–570.
- [8] I. Cho, *Dynamical systems on arithmetic functions determined by primes*, Banach J. Math. Anal. **9** (2015) 1, 173–215.
- [9] I. Cho, *Free product C^* -algebras induced by $*$ -algebras over p -adic number fields* (2016), submitted.
- [10] I. Cho, T. Gillespie, *Free probability on the Hecke algebra*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **9** (2015), 1491–1531.

- [11] I. Cho, P.E.T. Jorgensen, *Krein-Space Operators Induced by Dirichlet Characters*, Special Issues: Contemp. Math.: Commutative and Noncommutative Harmonic Analysis and Applications, Amer. Math. Soc. (2014), 3–33.
- [12] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1994.
- [13] A. Connes, *Hecke algebras, type III-factors, and phase transitions with spontaneous symmetry breaking in number theory*, Selecta Math. (New Series) **1** (1995) 3, 411–457.
- [14] A. Connes, *Trace formula in noncommutative geometry and the zeroes of the Riemann zeta functions*, arXiv:math/9811068 [math.NT] (1998).
- [15] T. Gillespie, *Superposition of zeroes of automorphic L-functions and functoriality*, University of Iowa, PhD Thesis (2010).
- [16] T. Gillespie, *Prime number theorems for Rankin-Selberg L-functions over number fields*, Sci. China Math. **54** (2011) 1, 35–46.
- [17] P.E.T. Jorgensen, *Operators and Representation Theory: Canonical Models for Algebras of Operators Arising in Quantum Mechanics*, 2nd ed., Dover Publications, 2008.
- [18] P.E.T. Jorgensen, A.M. Paolucci, *Wavelets in mathematical physics: q-oscillators*, J. Phys. A. **36** (2003) 23, 6483–6494.
- [19] P.E.T. Jorgensen, A.M. Paolucci, *States on the Cuntz algebras and p-adic random walks*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **90** (2011) 2, 197–211.
- [20] P.E.T. Jorgensen, A.M. Paolucci, *q-frames and Bessel functions*, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. **33** (2012) 7–9, 1063–1069.
- [21] P.E.T. Jorgensen, A.M. Paolucci, *Markov measures and extended zeta functions*, J. Appl. Math. Comput. **38** (2012) 1–2, 305–323.
- [22] F. Radulescu, *Random matrices, amalgamated free products and subfactors of the C^* -algebra of a free group of nonsingular index*, Invent. Math. **115** (1994), 347–389.
- [23] F. Radulescu, *Conditional expectations, traces, angles between spaces and representations of the Hecke algebras*, Lib. Math. **33** (2013) 2, 65–95.
- [24] F. Radulescu, *Free group factors and Hecke operators, notes taken by N. Ozawa*, Proceedings of the 24th Conference in Operator Theory, Theta Advanced Series in Math., Theta Foundation, 2014.
- [25] R. Speicher, *Multiplicative functions on the lattice of non-crossing partitions and free convolution*, Math. Ann. **298** (1994), 611–628.
- [26] R. Speicher, *Combinatorial theory of the free product with amalgamation and operator-valued free probability theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Mem. **132** (1998) 627.
- [27] R. Speicher, *A conceptual proof of a basic result in the combinatorial approach to freeness*, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. **3** (2000), 213–222.
- [28] R. Speicher, P. Neu, *Physical Applications of Freeness, XII-th International Congress of Math. Phy. (ICMP '97)*, International Press, 1999, 261–266.
- [29] V.S. Vladimirov, *p-adic quantum mechanics*, Comm. Math. Phys. **123** (1989) 4, 659–676.

- [30] V.S. Vladimirov, I.V. Volovich, E.I. Zelenov, *p-Adic Analysis and Mathematical Physics*, Ser. Soviet & East European Math., vol. 1, World Scientific, 1994.
- [31] D. Voiculescu, *Free probability and the von Neumann algebras of free groups*, Rep. Math. Phys. **55** (2005) 1, 127–133.
- [32] D. Voiculescu, *Symmetries arising from free probability theory*, Frontiers in Number Theory, Physics and Geometry (2006), 231–243.
- [33] D. Voiculescu, *Aspects of free analysis*, Jpn. J. Math. **3** (2008) 2, 163–183.
- [34] D. Voiculescu, K. Dykemma, A. Nica, *Free Random Variables*, CRM Monograph Series, vol. 1, 1992.

Ilwoo Cho
choilwoo@sau.edu

St. Ambrose University
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
421 Ambrose Hall, 518 W. Locust St.
Davenport, Iowa, 52803, USA

Palle E.T. Jorgensen
palle-jorgensen@uiowa.edu

The University of Iowa
Department of Mathematics
14 MacLean Hall
Iowa City, IA 52242-1419, USA

Received: October 10, 2016.
Accepted: December 4, 2016.