

## INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION OF FUNCTIONS OF BOUNDED SECOND $\Phi$ -VARIATION IN THE SENSE OF SCHRAMM

José Giménez, Nelson Merentes, and Sergio Rivas

**Abstract.** In this article we introduce the concept of second  $\Phi$ -variation in the sense of Schramm for normed-space valued functions defined on an interval  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ . To that end we combine the notion of second variation due to de la Vallée Poussin and the concept of  $\varphi$ -variation in the sense of Schramm for real valued functions. In particular, when the normed space is complete we present a characterization of the functions of the introduced class by means of an integral representation. Indeed, we show that a function  $f \in \mathbb{X}^{[a,b]}$  (where  $\mathbb{X}$  is a reflexive Banach space) is of bounded second  $\Phi$ -variation in the sense of Schramm if and only if it can be expressed as the Bochner integral of a function of (first) bounded variation in the sense of Schramm.

**Keywords:** Young function,  $\Phi$ -variation, second  $\Phi$ -variation of a function.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 26B30, 26B35.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of a function of bounded variation was introduced in 1881 by Camille Jordan ([10]) who carried out a rigorous study of the proof given by Dirichlet ([8]) on the convergence of the Fourier series of a function and exploited the fact that the concept was already implicit in the work of the latter. Ch.J. de la Vallée Poussin introduced in 1908 ([6]) the notion of second variation of a function. A few years later, in 1911, F. Riesz ([11]) proved that a function  $f$  is of bounded second variation on an interval  $[a, b]$  if and only if it is the definite Lebesgue integral of a function  $f$  of bounded variation. Then in 1983 A.M. Russell and C.J.F. Upton ([12]) obtained a similar result for functions of bounded second variation in the sense of Wiener, showing that a function is of bounded second  $p$ -variation ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) if and only if it is the definite Lebesgue integral of a function of bounded  $p$ -variation in the sense of Wiener. A common aspect of all mentioned results is that the maps considered are real valued functions. Recently (see [2]) these results were extended to the case of

functions that take values in a Banach space  $\mathbb{X}$ . In this article we show that the Riesz's result also holds for the class of functions of bounded second variation in the sense of Schramm. More precisely, we will show that a function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ , where  $\mathbb{X}$  is a Banach space, is of second  $\Phi$ -variation in the sense of Schramm ( $f \in BV_{\Phi}^2([a, b], \mathbb{X})$ ) if and only if there exists a function  $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  of bounded  $\Phi$ -variation in the sense of Schramm ( $F \in BV_{\Phi}([a, b], \mathbb{X})$ ) such that

$$f(t) = \int_a^t F(s) ds \quad \text{for all } t \in [a, b].$$

The technics that we are going to use are similar to those applied by Russell and Upton in [12] and by Bracamonte, Giménez and Merentes in [2].

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

There are several equivalent definitions of the notion of functions of bounded variation. For the reader's convenience, in this section we present a summary account of some of the main results concerning the better known generalizations of the notion of functions of bounded variation.

Given an interval  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$  and a function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . If  $I = [c, d] \subset [a, b]$  we will use the following notations:

$$f[I] := f(d) - f(c),$$

$$f_2[I] := \frac{f(d) - f(c)}{d - c}.$$

By  $\mathcal{J}[a, b]$  we will denote the family of all sequences  $\{I_n = [a_n, b_n]\}_{n \geq 0}$  of non-overlapping closed intervals contained in  $[a, b]$  and such that  $|I_n| := b_n - a_n > 0$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

The notation  $\pi[a, b]$  will be used for the set of all partitions  $\xi = \{t_i\}_{i=1}^n$  of  $[a, b]$ , i.e.,  $n$  is some positive integer and  $a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b$ . When referring to such a partition  $\xi$  we will write  $I_j = I_j(\xi) := [t_{j-1}, t_j]$ .

The notation  $\pi_3[a, b]$  will stand for the subset of  $\pi[a, b]$  of all partitions containing at least three points.

**Definition 2.1.** A function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be of bounded variation on  $[a, b]$  if there is a constant  $M > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} |f[I_n]| \leq M, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\{I_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is any element of  $\mathcal{J}[a, b]$ . The total variation of  $f$  on  $[a, b]$  is denoted as  $V(f; [a, b])$  or simply by  $V(f)$ , and it is the supremum of the sums (2.1) over  $\mathcal{J}[a, b]$ .

It is readily seen that Definition 2.1 is equivalent to the following more familiar, textbook definition.

**Definition 2.2.** A function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is of bounded variation on  $[a, b]$  if

$$V(f; [a, b]) := \sup_{\xi \in \pi[a, b]} \sum_{j=1}^n |f[I_j]| < \infty.$$

The class of all functions of bounded variation on  $[a, b]$  is denoted as  $BV[a, b]$ .  
The following results are well known.

**Theorem 2.3** ([10]).  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is of bounded variation on  $[a, b]$  if and only if it is the difference of two monotone functions.

**Theorem 2.4** ([3]).  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is of bounded variation on  $[a, b]$  if and only if there is a non-decreasing function  $\varphi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and a Lipschitz function  $g : \varphi([a, b]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with Lipschitz constant less or equal to one such that

$$f(t) = (g \circ \varphi)(t), \quad t \in [a, b].$$

In 1937 N. Wiener ([14]) introduced the concept of functions of bounded  $p$ -variation ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) as follows.

**Definition 2.5** ([14]). A function  $f : [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be of bounded  $p$ -variation ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) in the sense of Wiener iff

$$V_p^w(f; [a, b]) := \sup_{\xi \in \pi[a, b]} \sum_{j=1}^n |f[I_j]|^p < \infty.$$

The class of all functions of bounded  $p$ -variation on  $[a, b]$ , in the sense of Wiener, is denoted by  $BV_p^w[a, b]$ . Clearly,  $BV_1^w[a, b] = BV[a, b]$ . The relation

$$\|f\|_p := |f(a)| + (V_p^w(f; [a, b]))^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

defines a norm in  $BV_p^w[a, b]$  with respect to which it becomes a Banach algebra.

For  $f \in BV_p^w[a, b]$  and  $t, s \in [a, b]$  let us define

$$\mathcal{V}(t) := V_p^w(f; [a, t]) \quad \text{and} \quad v(s) := V_p^w(f; [s, b]).$$

**Proposition 2.6.** Suppose  $f \in BV_p^w[a, b]$ . Then:

1. If  $t, s \in [a, b]$ , then  $|f(t) - f(s)|^p \leq w(f; [a, b]) \leq V_p^w(f; [a, b])$ , where  $w(f; [a, b]) := \sup\{d(f(s), f(t)) : t, s \in [a, b]\}$  is the so called modulus of continuity of  $f$  on  $[a, b]$ .
2. If  $a \leq t \leq s \leq b$ , then:

$$\mathcal{V}(t) \leq \mathcal{V}(s),$$

$$v(s) \leq v(t),$$

$$V_p^w(f; [t, s]) \leq V_p^w(f; [a, b]) \quad (\text{monotonicity}).$$

3.  $\frac{V_p^w(f; [a, b])}{2^{p-1}} \leq \mathcal{V}(s) + v(t) \leq V_p^w(f; [a, b])$ .

4. If  $\varphi : [a, b] \rightarrow [c, d]$  is a monotone function, then

$$V_p^w(f; \varphi([a, b])) = V_p^w(f \circ \varphi; [a, b]).$$

5.  $V_p^w(f; [a, b]) := \sup\{V_p^w(f; [t, s]) : t, s \in [a, b], t \leq s\}$ .

The next proposition highlights the relation between the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{BV[a, b]}$  and the functional  $V(\cdot; [a, b])$ .

**Proposition 2.7.** For  $f \in BV[a, b]$  and  $c > 0$ , the estimate  $\|f\| \leq c$  holds if and only if  $V(\frac{f}{c}) \leq 1$ . In particular,

$$V\left(\frac{f}{\|f\|}; [a, b]\right) \leq 1 \quad (2.2)$$

for every  $f \in BV[a, b]$  with  $f(t) \not\equiv 0$ .

The notion of bounded  $p$ -variation was extended by L.C. Young in [15]. The extension consisted in replacing the role played by the function  $|t|^p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) by a function in a more general class of convex functions, now known as  $\Phi$ -functions.

**Definition 2.8** ( $\Phi$ -function). A function  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a  $\Phi$ -function if it satisfies the conditions:

1.  $\varphi$  is continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ ,
2.  $\varphi(t) = 0$  only if  $t = 0$ ,
3.  $\varphi$  is non-decreasing,
4.  $\varphi(t) \rightarrow \infty$  when  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

If  $\varphi$  is a  $\Phi$ -function, we will write  $\varphi \in \Phi$ .

**Definition 2.9** ( $\infty_1$  condition). A  $\Phi$ -function  $\varphi$  is said to satisfy the condition  $\infty_1$  if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} = \infty.$$

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $\varphi \in \Phi$ . A function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be of bounded  $\varphi$ -variation in the sense of Young if

$$V_\varphi(f; [a, b]) := \sup_{\xi \in \pi[a, b]} \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi(|f[I_j]|) < \infty.$$

The class of all functions of bounded  $\varphi$ -variation on  $[a, b]$  in the sense of Young is denoted by  $V_\varphi[a, b]$ .

The following properties of the operator  $V_\varphi(f; [x, y])$  are well known.

**Proposition 2.11** ([4]). Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function and let  $\varphi \in \Phi$ . Then:

1.  $\varphi(|f(t) - f(s)|) \leq w((f; [a, b])) \leq V_\varphi(f; [a, b])$  for all  $s, t \in [a, b]$  such that  $s < t$ .
2. If  $a \leq t \leq s \leq b$ , then  $V_\varphi(f; [a, t]) \leq V_\varphi(f; [a, s]) \leq V_\varphi(f; [s, a]) \leq V_\varphi(f; [t, a])$  and  $V_\varphi(f; [t, s]) \leq V_\varphi(f; [a, b])$ .

3. If  $t \in [a, b]$ , then  $V_\varphi(f; [a, t]) + V_\varphi(f; [t, b]) \leq V_\varphi(f; [a, b])$ .  
 4. If  $\alpha : [a, b] \rightarrow [c, d]$  is a monotone function (not necessarily strict), then

$$V_\varphi(f; [a, b]) = V_\varphi(f \circ \alpha; [a, b]).$$

5.  $V_\varphi(f; [a, b]) := \sup\{V_\varphi(f; [s, t]) : t, s \in [a, b]\}$ .

The class  $V_\varphi[a, b]$  is not necessarily a linear space. However, imposing a natural condition on  $\varphi$  guarantees the desired linearity as shown in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.12** ([5]). *Let  $\varphi$  be a  $\Phi$ -function.  $V_\varphi([a, b])$  is a linear space if and only if  $\varphi$  satisfies a  $\delta_2$ -condition, that is, there are constants  $t_0$  and  $k > 0$  such that*

$$\varphi(2t) \leq k\varphi(t) \text{ for all } t \geq t_0.$$

On the other hand,  $V_\varphi([a, b])$  is a symmetric, balanced and convex set and  $V_\varphi(\cdot; [a, b])$  is a convex functional on it. Consequently, the linear space

$$BV_\varphi[a, b] := \{f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \exists \lambda > 0 : V_\varphi(\lambda f; [a, b]) < \infty\}$$

can be equipped with a normed space structure by means of the norm:

$$\|f\|_\varphi := |f(a)| + \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 \mid V_\varphi \left( \frac{f}{\lambda}; [a, b] \right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

With this norm  $BV_\varphi[a, b]$  actually becomes a Banach space.

As in the Wiener case the following proposition emphasizes the relation between  $\|\cdot\|_\varphi$  and the functional  $V_\varphi(\cdot; [a, b])$ .

**Proposition 2.13.** *For  $f \in BV_\varphi[a, b]$  and  $c > 0$ , the estimate  $\|f\|_\varphi \leq c$  holds if and only if  $V_\varphi(\frac{f}{c}) \leq 1$ .*

In 1908 Charles Jean de la Vallée Poussin ([6]) introduced the notion of second variation of a real valued function defined on an interval  $[a, b]$ .

**Definition 2.14.** A function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be of bounded second variation (and one writes  $f \in BV^2[a, b]$ ) iff

$$V^2(f; [a, b]) := \sup_{\xi \in \pi_3[a, b]} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} |f_2[I_{j+1}] - f_2[I_j]| < \infty.$$

With regard to this notion, the following facts are well known.

**Theorem 2.15** ([6]).  *$f \in BV^2[a, b]$  if and only if  $f$  can be expressed as the difference of two convex functions.*

**Theorem 2.16** ([11]). *A function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is of bounded second variation if and only if there is a function  $F \in BV([a, b])$  such that*

$$f(x) = \int_a^x F(t) dt \text{ for all } x \in [a, b].$$

In 1983 A.M. Russell and C.J.F. Upton ([12]) introduced the class of real valued functions of bounded second variation on  $[a, b]$ ,  $BV_p^2[a, b]$ , in the sense of Wiener, as follows.

**Definition 2.17.**  $f \in BV_p^2[a, b]$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) iff

$$V_p^2(f; [a, b]) := \sup_{\xi \in \pi_3[a, b]} \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} |f_2[I_{j+2}] - f_2[I_{j+1}]|^p < \infty.$$

The following result ([12]) extends F. Riesz's theorem (Theorem 2.16) to the class  $BV_p^2[a, b]$ .

**Theorem 2.18** ([12]).  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is of bounded second  $p$ -variation in the sense of Wiener if and only if it is the definite integral of a function of bounded  $p$ -variation, in the sense of Wiener.

Theorem 2.18 was extended recently (see [2]) to the case of functions of second bounded  $\varphi$ -variation in the sense of Young, where  $\varphi$  is a  $\Phi$ -function that satisfies condition  $\infty_1$ .

**Definition 2.19.** Let  $\varphi$  be a  $\Phi$ -function that satisfies condition  $\infty_1$ . A function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be of bounded second  $\varphi$ -variation in the sense of Young if

$$V_\varphi^2(f; [a, b]) := \sup_{\xi \in \pi_3[a, b]} \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \varphi(|f_2[I_{j+2}] - f_2[I_{j+1}]|) < \infty.$$

**Theorem 2.20** ([2]). The function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ , where  $\mathbb{X}$  is a reflexive Banach space, is of bounded second  $\varphi$ -variation in the sense of Young if and only if it is the (Bochner) definite integral of a function of (first) bounded  $\varphi$ -variation in the sense of Young.

### 3. SCHRAMM'S VARIATION

In the following lines we generalize the concept of variation given by Schramm ([13]) to functions defined on an interval  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$  and that take values on a given normed space. To this end, we combine the Schramm's notion with the one of second variation due to de la Vallée Poussin in [6]. We also present some of the main properties of this class of functions.

Remember that by  $\mathcal{J}[a, b]$  we denote the family of all sequences  $\{I_n = [a_n, b_n]\}_{n \geq 0}$  of non-overlapping closed intervals contained in  $[a, b]$  and such that  $|I_n| := b_n - a_n > 0$ , for all  $n \geq 0$ .

We begin by recalling some of the main results and notations associated to the notion of bounded  $\Phi$ -variation in the sense of Schramm.

**Definition 3.1** ( $\Phi$ -sequence). A sequence of  $\Phi$ -functions  $\Phi = \{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is called a  $\Phi$ -sequence if for all  $t > 0$  :

$$\varphi_{n+1}(t) \leq \varphi_n(t), \quad n \geq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n \geq 1} \varphi_n(t) = +\infty.$$

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $\Phi = \{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a  $\Phi$ -sequence and  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$  an interval. A function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be of bounded  $\Phi$ -variation in the sense of Schramm if

$$V_{(\Phi,1)}^S(f; [a, b]) = V_{(\Phi,1)}^S(f) := \sup_{\{I_n\} \in \mathfrak{J}[a,b]} \sum_{n \geq 0} \varphi_n(|f[I_n]|) < \infty. \quad (3.1)$$

The class of all such functions is denoted by  $V_{(\Phi,1)}^S[a, b]$ . Notice that for  $f \equiv \text{const.}$ ,  $V_{(\Phi,1)}^S(u; [a, b]) = 0$  and therefore  $V_{(\Phi,1)}^S[a, b] \neq \emptyset$ .

**Remark 3.3.** It is readily seen that in Definition 3.2 the  $\sup_{\{I_n\} \in \mathfrak{J}[a,b]}$  can be replaced by the supremum over all finite collections  $\{I_n\}_{n=1}^m$  in  $\mathfrak{J}[a, b]$ .

The following proposition summarizes some of the properties of this class of functions.

**Theorem 3.4** ([13] or [9]). *Let  $\Phi = \{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a  $\Phi$ -sequence. Then:*

1.  $V_{(\Phi,1)}^S(f; [a, b]) = 0$  if and only if  $f \equiv \text{const.}$
2.  $V_{(\Phi,1)}^S(f; [a, b]) < \infty \Rightarrow |f|_\infty \leq |f(a)| + \varphi_1^{-1} \left( V_{(\Phi,1)}^S(f) \right)$ .
3.  $V_{(\Phi,1)}^S[a, b]$  is a symmetric and convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{[a,b]}$  and  $V_{(\Phi,1)}^S(\cdot; [a, b])$  is a convex functional on it.
4. The linear space  $BV_{(\Phi,1)}^S[a, b]$  generated by  $V_{(\Phi,1)}^S[a, b]$  is

$$\left\{ f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \exists \lambda > 0 : V_{(\Phi,1)}^S(\lambda f) < \infty \right\}.$$

5.  $BV_{(\Phi,1)}^S[a, b]$  is a Banach algebra with the norm

$$\|f\|_{(\Phi,1)} = |f(a)| + \inf \left\{ k > 0 : V_{(\Phi,1)}^S \left( \frac{f}{k} \right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

6.  $\bigcup_{\Phi} BV_{(\Phi,1)}^S[a, b] = R[a, b]$ <sup>1)</sup> and  $\bigcap_{\Phi} BV_{(\Phi,1)}^S[a, b] = BV[a, b]$ , where both, unions and intersections, are taken over all  $\Phi$ -sequences.
7.  $V_{(\Phi,1)}^S[a, b]$  is a linear space if the sequence  $\Phi = \{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  satisfies a generalized  $\Delta_2$ -condition; namely, for all  $t_0 > 0$  there exists  $M(t_0) > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \varphi_n(2t) \leq M(t_0) \sum_{n=1}^m \varphi_n(t) \text{ for all } t \geq t_0, m \geq 1.$$

<sup>1)</sup> The algebra of all functions in  $\mathbb{R}^{[a,b]}$  that possess both one-sided limits at every point of  $(a, b)$ .

8. If  $f \in BV_{(\Phi,1)}^S[a, b]$  and  $c > 0$ , the estimate  $\|f\|_{(\Phi,1)}^S \leq c$  holds if and only if  $V_{(\Phi,1)}^S(\frac{f}{c}) \leq 1$ . In particular,

$$V_B^S V_{(\Phi,1)}^S[a, b] \left( \frac{f}{\|f\|_{(\Phi,1)}^S}; [a, b] \right) \leq 1$$

for every  $f \in BV_{(\Phi,1)}^S[a, b]$  with  $f(t) \not\equiv 0$ .

Now we present the mentioned extension.

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $(\mathbb{X}, |\cdot|)$  be a normed space, let  $\Phi = \{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a  $\Phi$ -sequence and let  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an interval. A function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  is said to be of *bounded second  $\Phi$ -variation in the sense of Schramm* if

$$V_{(\Phi,2)}^s(f; [a, b]) = V_{(\Phi,2)}^s(f) = \sup_{\{I_n\} \in \mathcal{I}[a,b]} \sum_{n \geq 0} \varphi_n (|f_2[I_{n+1}] - f_2[I_n]|) < \infty. \quad (3.2)$$

The class of all the functions in  $\mathbb{X}^{[a,b]}$  that satisfy (3.2) is not empty, for if  $x, y \in \mathbb{X}$  are fixed and  $f \equiv x$  or  $f(t) := tx + y$  then  $V_{(\Phi,1)}^S(f) = 0$ . We will denote this class by  $V_{(\Phi,2)}^S([a, b], \mathbb{X})$  or simply as  $V_{(\Phi,2)}^S[a, b]$ .

The next proposition shows some basic properties of the class  $V_{(\Phi,2)}^S([a, b], \mathbb{X})$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** Let  $\Phi = \{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a  $\Phi$ -sequence and let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow X$  be a function. Then:

1. If  $[c, d] \subset [a, b]$  and  $V_{(\Phi,2)}^s(f; [a, b]) < \infty$ , then  $V_{(\Phi,2)}^s(f; [c, d]) < \infty$  and

$$V_{(\Phi,2)}^s(f; [c, d]) \leq V_{(\Phi,2)}^s(f; [a, b]).$$

2. The functional  $V_{(\Phi,2)}^s : V_{(\Phi,2)}^S[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ , defined by

$$V_{(\Phi,2)}^s(f) := V_{(\Phi,2)}^s(f; [a, b])$$

is convex.

3. If  $\lambda$  is a complex number with  $|\lambda| \leq 1$ , then  $V_{(\Phi,2)}^s(\lambda f) \leq |\lambda| V_{(\Phi,2)}^s(f)$ .

*Proof.* Part 1 follows readily from the definition. In order to prove parts 2 and 3 one uses the fact that each of the functions in  $\Phi$  are convex functions.  $\square$

**Definition 3.7** (Absolute continuity). A mapping  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  is called *absolutely continuous* if there exists a function  $\delta : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, 1)$  such that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and any finite collection of points  $\{a_i, b_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset [a, b]$  such that  $a_1 < b_1 \leq a_2 < b_2 \leq a_3 < \dots \leq a_n < b_n$ , the condition  $\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i) < \delta(\epsilon)$  implies  $\sum_{i=1}^n |f(b_i) - f(a_i)| < \epsilon$ .



## 4. MAIN RESULTS

In this section we present a generalization of Theorem 2.20 for functions of bounded second  $\Phi$ -variation in the sense of Schramm. Indeed, we will prove the following result (see Corollary 4.6 below):

*Let  $\mathbb{X}$  be a reflexive Banach space and let  $\Phi = \{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a  $\Phi$ -sequence. A function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  is of bounded second  $\Phi$ -variation in the sense of Schramm if and only if it is the definite (Bochner) integral of a function of bounded  $\Phi$ -variation in the sense of Schramm.*

Throughout the rest of this work  $\mathbb{X}$  will be assumed to be a Banach space.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\Phi = \{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be  $\Phi$ -sequence. If  $f \in V_{(\Phi, 2)}^S([a, b], \mathbb{X})$ , then  $f \in \text{Lip}[a, b]$  and consequently  $f$  is absolutely continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $a \leq t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < t_3 \leq b$ . Since  $\varphi_1$  is non-decreasing and convex, by the definition of  $V_{(\Phi, 2)}^S(f; [a, b])$  we must have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1 \left( \frac{|f_2[I_3] - f_2[I_1]|}{2} \right) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \varphi_1 (|f_2[I_3] - f_2[I_2]|) + \frac{1}{2} \varphi_1 (|f_2[I_2] - f_2[I_1]|) \leq \\ &\leq V_{(\Phi, 2)}^S(f; [a, b]), \end{aligned}$$

where  $I_1, I_2, I_3$  are non-overlapping intervals ( $|I_j| > 0$ ) with end points in the set  $\{a, t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3\}$ . Fix a point  $c \in (a, b)$  and consider any two other points  $s, t \in [a, b]$ . The proof will follow after analyzing the location of  $s, t$  with respect to  $a, b$  and  $c$ . We will use the notation  $I_{xy} := [x, y]$ .

*Case 1.*  $a < s < c < t < b$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1 \left( \frac{|f[I_{s,t}]|}{3} \right) &\leq \frac{1}{3} \varphi_1 (|f_2[I_{s,t}] - f_2[I_{t,b}]|) + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3} \varphi_1 (|f_2[I_{t,b}] - f_2[I_{a,c}]|) + \frac{1}{3} \varphi_1 (|f_2[I_{a,c}]|) \leq M', \end{aligned}$$

where  $M' := V_{(\Phi, 2)}^S(f; [a, b]) + \varphi_1 (|f_2[I_{a,c}]|)$ .

*Case 2.*  $a < s < c < t = b$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1 \left( \frac{|f_2[I_{s,t}]|}{4} \right) &\leq \frac{1}{4} \varphi_1 (|f_2[I_{s,t}] - f_2[I_{a,s}]|) + \frac{1}{4} \varphi_1 (|f_2[I_{a,s}] - f_2[I_{c,t}]|) + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \varphi_1 (|f_2[I_{c,t}] - f_2[I_{a,c}]|) + \frac{1}{4} \varphi_1 (|f_2[I_{a,c}]|) \leq M'. \end{aligned}$$

*Case 3.*  $a < s < t \leq c < b$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1 \left( \frac{|f_2[I_{s,t}]|}{3} \right) &\leq \frac{1}{3} \varphi_1 (|f_2[I_{s,t}] - f_2[I_{c,b}]|) + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3} \varphi_1 (|f_2[I_{c,b}] - f_2[I_{a,c}]|) + \frac{1}{3} \varphi_1 (|f_2[I_{a,c}]|) \leq M'. \end{aligned}$$

In the cases  $a = s < c < t < b$ ,  $a < c \leq s < t < b$  or  $a = s < c < t = b$ , we obtain

$$\varphi_1 \left( \frac{|f_2[I_{s,t}]|}{4} \right) \leq M, \quad \text{where } M := \max \{M', \varphi(|f_2[I_{a,b}]|)\}.$$

In any case we have

$$|f_2[I_{s,t}]| = \left| \frac{f(t) - f(s)}{t - s} \right| \leq \varphi^{-1}(4M).$$

Therefore,  $f \in Lip[a, b]$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.** If  $\mathbb{X}$  is a reflexive Banach space and  $f \in V_{(\Phi, 2)}^S([a, b], \mathbb{X})$  then the absolute continuity of  $f$  (Lemma 4.1) implies that  $f$  is strongly differentiable a.e. with derivative strongly measurable (see [1]).

In what follows the integral of a normed-space valued function defined on an interval  $[a, b]$  means *the Bochner integral*. It is known that if a function is absolutely continuous then it is Bochner integrable on  $[a, b]$  ([7]). By (the normed-space version of) property 2 of Theorem 3.4, any function in  $V_{(\Phi, 1)}^S([a, b], \mathbb{X})$  is Bochner integrable.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $\Phi = \{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be  $\Phi$ -sequence. If  $f \in V_{(\Phi, 1)}^S([a, b], \mathbb{X})$ , and we define  $U(x) := \int_a^x f(t)dt$ , then  $U \in V_{(\Phi, 2)}^S[a, b]$  and

$$V_{(\Phi, 2)}^S(U) \leq V_{(\Phi, 1)}^S(f).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\{I_n = [t_{n-1}, t_n]\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of intervals in  $\mathfrak{J}([a, b])$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \geq 1} \varphi_n (|U_2[I_{n+1}] - U_2[I_n]|) = \\ & = \sum_{n \geq 1} \varphi_n \left( \left| \frac{1}{t_{n+1} - t_n} \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} f(t)dt - \frac{1}{t_n - t_{n-1}} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} f(t)dt \right| \right) = \\ & = \sum_{n \geq 1} \varphi_n \left( \left| \int_0^1 f(t_n + s(t_{n+1} - t_n))ds - \int_0^1 f(t_{n-1} + s(t_n - t_{n-1}))ds \right| \right) \end{aligned}$$

and an application of Jensen inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \geq 1} \varphi_n (|U_2[I_{n+1}] - U_2[I_n]|) \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \int_0^1 \varphi_n (|f(t_n + s(t_{n+1} - t_n)) - f(t_{n-1} + s(t_n - t_{n-1}))|) ds = \\ & = \int_0^1 \sum \varphi (|f(t_n + s(t_{n+1} - t_n)) - f(t_{n-1} + s(t_n - t_{n-1}))|) ds \leq V_{(\Phi, 1)}^s(f). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Following the ideas of A.M. Russell and C.F. Upton in the proof of Lemma 6 of [12] and of M. Bracamonte, J. Giménez and N. Merentes (Lemma 3.2 of [2]), we get the next result.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $\Phi = \{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be  $\Phi$ -sequence,  $E$  a dense subset of  $[a, b]$  and let  $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  be a function such that there is a constant  $K > 0$  with*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi_k (|f[I_j(\xi)]|) \leq K, \quad (4.1)$$

for any finite collection  $\xi : a \leq t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n \leq b$  in  $E$ . Then  $g_E(x-0)$  exists for all  $x \in (a, b] \setminus E$ , where

$$g_E(x-0) := \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0^+ \\ x-h \in E}} g(x-h).$$

An analogous assertion holds for  $g_E(x+0)$  ( $x \in [a, b) \setminus E$ ), which is similarly defined.

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $g(x-0)$  exists for all  $t \in (a, b] \setminus E$ . The case of  $g_E(x+0)$  is treated analogously. We will proceed via proof by contradiction. Suppose that this is not the case, that is, suppose that there exists  $x \in (a, b] \setminus E$  such that

$$\lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0^+ \\ t-h \in E}} g(t-h) = \lim_{\substack{s \rightarrow t^- \\ s \in E}} g(s) \quad \text{does not exist.}$$

Let

$$\Lambda := \limsup_{\substack{x \rightarrow x_0^- \\ x \in E}} f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma := \liminf_{\substack{x \rightarrow x_0^- \\ x \in E}} f(x).$$

Then  $\Lambda > \Gamma$ , and we can find two increasing sequences  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  and  $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  such that

$$x_n < y_n < x_{n+1} < y_{n+1} < \dots < x,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = \Lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(y_n) = \Gamma.$$

If  $\Lambda$  and  $\Gamma$  are finite, consider  $\varepsilon := \frac{\Lambda - \Gamma}{3}$  (otherwise take any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ). Choose  $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|f(x_n) - f(y_n)| > \varepsilon, \quad n > n_\varepsilon. \quad (4.2)$$

Since  $\varphi_{n+1} \leq \varphi_n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , (4.2) implies that for all  $p > 0$

$$\sum_{k=n_\varepsilon+1}^{n_\varepsilon+p} \varphi_k (|f_n(x_n) - f_n(y_n)|) > \sum_{k=n_\varepsilon+1}^{n_\varepsilon+p} \varphi_{n_\varepsilon+p}(\varepsilon) > p \varphi_{n_\varepsilon+p}(\varepsilon),$$

which contradicts (4.1). □

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $\mathbb{X}$  be a reflexive Banach space,  $\Phi = \{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  a  $\Phi$ -sequence and suppose that  $U \in V_{(\Phi,2)}^S([a,b], \mathbb{X})$ . Then there exists a function  $f \in V_{(\Phi,1)}^S[a,b]$  such that:*

- (a)  $U' = f$  a.e.,
- (b)  $U(x) := \int_a^x f(t)dt$ ,
- (c)  $V_{(\Phi,2)}^S(U) = V_{(\Phi,1)}^S(f)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $F$  is absolutely continuous (Lemma 4.1) and  $\mathbb{X}$  is a reflexive Banach space,  $f$  is strongly differentiable a.e., with derivative strongly measurable (see Remark 4.2). Let  $E$  be a set of zero Lebesgue measure such that  $F'$  exists at every point of the set  $D := [a,b] \setminus E$ . Given  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , choose  $m+1$  ordered points  $a \leq x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_m \leq b$  in  $D$ . Now consider  $m+2$  positive numbers:  $h_0, h_1, \dots, h_m$  and  $\xi$  such that  $x_m - h_m, x_{m-1} + \xi, \dots, x_k + h_k, k = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ , are in  $D$  with

$$x_0 < x_0 + h_0 < x_1 < x_1 + h_1 < \dots < x_{m-1} + h_{m-1} < x_{m-1} + \xi < x_m - h_m < x_m.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} \varphi_k \left( \left| \frac{U(x_{k+1} + h_{k+1}) - U(x_{k+1})}{h_{k+1}} - \frac{U(x_k + h_k) - U(x_k)}{h_k} \right| \right) + \\ & + \varphi_{m-1} \left( \left| \frac{U(x_m) - U(x_m - h_m)}{h_m} - \frac{U(x_{m-1} + \xi) - U(x_{m-1} + h_{m-1})}{\xi - h_{m-1}} \right| \right) \leq \\ & \leq V_{(\Phi,2)}^S(U). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limits, in the above inequality, as  $\xi \rightarrow 0$  and as  $h_k \rightarrow 0, k = 0, \dots, m$ , we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \varphi_k (|U'(x_{k+1}) - U'(x_k)|) \leq V_{(\Phi,2)}^S(U). \quad (4.3)$$

If  $a = x_0$  then we obtain  $U'_+(a)$  instead of  $U'(a)$  in (4.3). Thus, the derivative  $U'$  satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4.4. Now, let us define  $f : [a,b] \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ , as

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} U'(x), & \text{when } x \in D, \\ U'_D(x-0), & \text{when } x \in (a,b] \setminus E, \\ U'_D(a+0), & \text{if } x = a \notin D. \end{cases}$$

By construction,  $U' = f$  a.e. By virtue of Theorem 4.3, we just need to verify that  $f \in V_{(\Phi,1)}^S([a,b], \mathbb{X})$  and that  $V_{(\Phi,1)}^S(f) \leq V_{(\Phi,2)}^S(U)$ .

Let  $A = \{I_k = [t_k, s_k]\}_{k=0}^m$  be any finite family of intervals in  $\mathcal{J}[a,b]$ . We need to consider several cases.

*Case 1.* Suppose that there is just one  $I_p \in A$  such that one of its end points is in  $E$ . Assume further that this end point is the right hand side one ( $s_p$ ). Choose  $s'_p \in D$  such that  $t_p < s'_p < s_p$  and replace the interval  $I_p$  in  $A$  with  $I'_p = [t_p, s'_p]$ . Since all the end points of this new collection are in  $D$  and  $f|_D = U$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} \varphi_k (|f[I_{k+1}] - f[I_k]|) + \varphi_{p-1} (|f[I'_p] - f[I_{p-1}]|) + \\ & + \varphi_p (|f[I_{p+1}] - f[I'_p]|) + \sum_{k=p+1}^{m-1} \varphi_k (|f[I_{k+1}] - f[I_k]|) \leq V_{(\varphi,2)}^S(U). \end{aligned}$$

Keeping  $s'_p$  in  $D$  and taking limit as  $s'_p \rightarrow s_p$ , we have  $f(s'_p) \rightarrow f(s_p - 0)$ . But in this case  $f(s'_p) = U'(s'_p) \rightarrow U'(s_p - 0) = f(s_p)$ . Thus

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (|f[I_{k+1}] - f[I_k]|) \leq V_{(\varphi,2)}^S(U).$$

*Case 2.* If  $I_p$  is as in Case 1, but now  $t_p$  is the end point in  $E$ , then (since  $A \in \mathfrak{J}[a, b]$  is finite) there is a point  $t'_p \in D$ ,  $t'_p < t_p$ , such that  $I'_p = [t'_p, s_p]$  does not overlap the rest of the intervals in  $A$ . Now we replace (in  $A$ )  $I_p$  with  $I'_p$  and proceed as in Case 1.  
*Case 3.* Suppose now that just one point of  $E$  is a common end point of two intervals in  $A$ ; say  $I_p$  and  $I_{p+1}$ . Then  $t_p < s_p = t_{p+1} < s_{p+1}$ . Choose  $s'_p \in D$  such that  $t_p < s'_p < s_p$  and replace  $I_p$  with  $I'_p = [t_p, s'_p]$  and  $I_{p+1}$  with  $I'_{p+1} = [s'_p, t_{p+1}]$ . Since the end points of this new collection are in  $D$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} \varphi_k (|f[I_{k+1}] - f[I_k]|) + \varphi_{p-1} (|f[I'_p] - f[I_{p-1}]|) + \varphi_p (|f[I'_{p+1}] - f[I'_p]|) + \\ & + \varphi_{p+1} (|f[I_{p+2}] - f[I'_{p+1}]|) + \sum_{k=p+2}^{m-1} \varphi_k (|f[I_{k+1}] - f[I_k]|) \leq V_{(\Phi,2)}^S(U). \end{aligned}$$

Again, by considering the definition of  $f$  and passing to limit as  $s'_p \rightarrow s_p$  (taking into account Lemma 4.4), one gets

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (|f[I_{k+1}] - f[I_k]|) \leq V_{(\Phi,2)}^S(U).$$

Any other situation can be treated similarly. As claimed, we conclude that

$$f \in V_{(\Phi,1)}^S([a, b], \mathbb{X}) \quad \text{and} \quad V_{(\Phi,1)}^S(u) \leq V_{(\Phi,2)}^S(U).$$

The proof is complete. □

The following result, which was already stated at the beginning of this section, now follows readily from Theorems 4.3 and 4.5.

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $\mathbb{X}$  be a reflexive Banach space and let  $\Phi = \{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a  $\Phi$ -sequence. A function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  is of bounded second  $\Phi$ -variation in the sense of Schramm if and only if it is the definite (Bochner) integral of a function of bounded  $\Phi$ -variation in the sense of Schramm.*

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. Barbu, Th. Precupanu, *Convexity and Optimization on Banach Spaces*, Sijthof and Noordhoff, the Netherlands, 1978.
- [2] M. Bracamonte, J. Gimenez, N. Merentes, *On generalized second  $\Phi$ -variation of normed space valued maps*, J. Math. Control Sci. Appl. (JMCSA) **1** (2011) 4, 75–83.
- [3] V.V. Chistyakov, *On mappings of bounded variation*, J. Dyn. Control Syst. **3** (1997) 2, 261–289.
- [4] V.V. Chistyakov, *Mappings of bounded  $\Phi$ -variation with arbitrary function  $\Phi$* , J. Dyn. Control Syst. **4** (1998) 2, 217–247.
- [5] J. Ciemnoczłowski, W. Orlicz, *Functions of bounded  $\varphi$ -variation and some properties*, Demonstratio Math. **18** (1985), 231–251.
- [6] Ch.J. de la Vallée Poussin, *Sur la convergence des formules d'interpolation entre ordonnées équidistantes*, Bull. Acad. Sci. Belg. (1908), 314–410.
- [7] J. Diestel, J.J. Uhl, *Vector measures*, Math. Surveys, 15, Amer. Math. Soc. 1977.
- [8] P.L. Dirichlet, *Sur la convergence des séries trigonométriques que servent à représenter une fonction arbitraire entre des limites données*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **4** (1826), 157–159.
- [9] A. Hernández, S. Rivas, *Funciones de  $\Phi$ -variación acotada en el sentido de Schramm*, Ponencia presentada en las IX jornadas de Matemáticas, Maracaibo, Venezuela, 1996.
- [10] C. Jordan, *Sur la série de Fourier*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **2** (1881), 228–230.
- [11] F. Riesz, *Sur certains systèmes singuliers d'équations intégrales*, Annales de L'Ecole Norm. Sup., Paris, **3** (1911) 28, 33–68.
- [12] A.M. Russell, C.J.F. Upton, *A generalization of a theorem by F. Riesz*, Anal. Math. **9** (1983), 69–77.
- [13] M. Schramm, *Functions of  $\phi$ -bounded variation and Riemann-Stieltjes integration*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **267** (1985) 1, 49–63.
- [14] N. Wiener, *Sur une généralisation de la notion de variation de puissance pième au sens de N. Wiener et sur la convergence des séries de Fourier*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser A-B **204** (1937), 470–472.
- [15] L.C. Young, *The quadratic variation of function and its Fourier coefficients*, J. Mass. Inst. Technology **3** (1924), 73–94.

José Giménez  
jgimenez@ula.ve

Universidad de Los Andes  
Departamento de Matemáticas  
Facultad de Ciencias  
Mérida, Venezuela

Nelson Merentes  
nmerucv@gmail.com

Universidad Central de Venezuela  
Escuela de Matemáticas  
Caracas, Venezuela

Sergio Rivas  
srivas@gmail.com

Universidad Nacional Abierta  
Departamento de Matemáticas  
Caracas, Venezuela

*Received: August 16, 2010.*

*Revised: November 28, 2010.*

*Accepted: November 30, 2010.*