

Jincai Wang

## J-CONVEXITY CONSTANTS

**Abstract.** We introduce the  $J$ -convexity constants on Banach spaces and give some properties of the constants. We give the relations between the  $J$ -convexity constants and the  $n$ -th von Neumann-Jordan constants. Using the quantitative indices we estimate the value of  $J$ -convexity constants in Orlicz spaces.

**Keywords:** new quantitative index,  $J$ -convexity constants,  $n$ -th von Neumann-Jordan constants, Orlicz spaces.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 46B20, 46E30.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Much of the significance of the concept of superreflexivity of a Banach space  $X$  is due to its numerous equivalent characterizations, see, e. g., Beauzamy [1, Part 4]. One of these characterizations is  $J(n, \varepsilon)$ -convexity. We restate the definition from [2] as follows.

**Definition 1.1.** Given  $n$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , we say that a Banach spaces  $X$  is  $J(n, \varepsilon)$ -convex if for all elements  $z_1, \dots, z_n \in U_X = \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq 1\}$  there is

$$\inf_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left\| \sum_{h=1}^k z_h - \sum_{h=k+1}^n z_h \right\| < n(1 - \varepsilon).$$

**Definition 1.2.** We define the  $J$ -convexity constants, for  $n \geq 2$ , by

$$J(n, X) = \sup \left\{ \inf_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^k z_h - \sum_{n=k+1}^n z_h \right\| : z_1, \dots, z_n \in U_X \right\}, \quad (1)$$

and

$$J_n(X) = \inf \left\{ \varepsilon : 0 < \varepsilon < 1, \text{ and there exists } z_1, \dots, z_n \in U_X \text{ such that} \right. \\ \left. \inf_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^k z_h - \sum_{n=k+1}^n z_h \right\| \geq n(1 - \varepsilon) \right\}. \quad (2)$$

It is known that a Banach space is superreflexive if and only if it is  $J(n, \varepsilon)$ -convex for some  $n$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  ([3] and [4]). It is evident that:

- (i)  $J(n, X) \leq n$  and  $0 \leq J_n(X) < 1$  for  $n \geq 2$ .
- (ii)  $X$  is superreflexive if and only if  $J_n(X) > 0$  for some  $n$  or, equivalently,  $J(n, X) < n$  for some  $n$ .
- (iii)  $J(n, X) = n(1 - \varepsilon)$  if and only if  $J_n(X) = \varepsilon$ .
- (iv)  $J(n, X) = n$  if and only if  $J_n(X) = 0$ .
- (v) For a Banach spaces  $X$  the following conditions are equivalent:
  - 1)  $X$  is not superreflexive,
  - 2)  $J_n(X) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
  - 3)  $J(n, X) = n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let

$$\Phi(u) = \int_0^{|u|} \phi(t)dt, \quad \Psi(v) = \int_0^{|v|} \psi(s)ds$$

be a pair of complementary  $N$ -functions, where the right derivative  $\phi$  of  $\Phi$  is right-continuous and nondecreasing,  $\phi(t) > 0$  whenever  $t > 0$ ,  $\phi(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t) = \infty$ ; the right derivative  $\psi$  of  $\Psi$  satisfies the same conditions as  $\phi$ . Assume that  $(G, \Sigma, m)$  is a (Lebesgue) measure space and  $L^0(G, \Sigma, m)$  is the space of  $\Sigma$ -measurable functions defined on  $G$ . The Orlicz space is defined as

$$L^\Phi(G) = \{x \in L^0 : x \text{ is measurable in } G, \rho_\Phi(\lambda x)dt < \infty \text{ for some } \lambda > 0\},$$

where  $\rho_\Phi(x) = \int_G \Phi(x(t))dt$ . The Luxemburg norm (gauge norm) and the Orlicz norm in  $L^\Phi(G)$  are defined, respectively, by

$$\|x\|_{(\Phi)} = \inf\{c > 0 : \rho_\Phi\left(\frac{x}{c}\right) \leq 1\}$$

and

$$\|x\|_\Phi = \inf_{k>0} \frac{1}{k} [1 + \rho_\Phi(kx)].$$

As usual, we denote  $L^{(\Phi)} = (L^\Phi, \|\cdot\|_{(\Phi)})$ ,  $L^\Phi = (L^\Phi, \|\cdot\|_\Phi)$  for short.

An  $N$ -function  $\Phi(u)$  is said to satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition for small  $u$  (for all  $u$  or for large  $u$ ), which is written as  $\Phi \in \Delta_2(0)$  ( $\Phi \in \Delta_2$  or  $\Phi \in \Delta_2(\infty)$ ), if there exist  $u_0 > 0$  and  $c > 0$  such that  $\Phi(2u) \leq c\Phi(u)$  for  $0 \leq u \leq u_0$  (for all  $u \geq 0$  or for  $u \geq u_0$ ). An  $N$ -function  $\Phi(u)$  satisfies the  $\nabla_2$ -condition for small  $u$  (for all  $u \geq 0$  or for large  $u$ ), which is written as  $\Phi \in \nabla_2(0)$  ( $\Phi \in \nabla_2$  or  $\Phi \in \nabla_2(\infty)$ ), if its complementary  $N$ -function (see [6] or [8])  $\Psi \in \Delta_2(0)$  ( $\Psi \in \Delta_2$  or  $\Psi \in \Delta_2(\infty)$ ). The basic facts on Orlicz spaces can be found in [8].

New quantitative indices for an  $N$ -function  $\Phi$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\Phi(n) &= \liminf_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(u)}{\Phi^{-1}(nu)}, & \beta_\Phi(n) &= \limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(u)}{\Phi^{-1}(nu)}, \\ \alpha_\Phi^0(n) &= \liminf_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(u)}{\Phi^{-1}(nu)}, & \beta_\Phi^0(n) &= \limsup_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(u)}{\Phi^{-1}(nu)}, \\ \bar{\alpha}_\Phi(n) &= \inf_{u > 0} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(u)}{\Phi^{-1}(nu)}, & \bar{\beta}_\Phi(n) &= \sup_{u > 0} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(u)}{\Phi^{-1}(nu)}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $n = 2$ , we denote these constants by  $\alpha_\Phi, \beta_\Phi, \alpha_\Phi^0, \beta_\Phi^0, \bar{\alpha}_\Phi$  and  $\bar{\beta}_\Phi$  (see [8]). Clearly,  $\frac{1}{n} \leq \bar{\alpha}_\Phi(n) \leq \min\{\alpha_\Phi(n), \alpha_\Phi^0(n)\}$ ,  $\max\{\beta_\Phi(n), \beta_\Phi^0(n)\} \leq \bar{\beta}_\Phi(n) \leq 1$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** ([7]) *Let  $\Phi$  be an  $N$ -function. Then:*

- (i)  $\Phi \notin \Delta_2(\infty) \iff \beta_\Phi(n) = 1$ ;  $\Phi \notin \nabla_2(\infty) \iff \alpha_\Phi(n) = \frac{1}{n}$ .
- (ii)  $\Phi \notin \Delta_2(0) \iff \beta_\Phi^0(n) = 1$ ;  $\Phi \notin \nabla_2(0) \iff \alpha_\Phi^0(n) = \frac{1}{n}$ .
- (iii)  $\Phi \notin \Delta_2 \iff \bar{\beta}_\Phi(n) = 1$ ;  $\Phi \notin \nabla_2 \iff \bar{\alpha}_\Phi(n) = \frac{1}{n}$ .

The following results concern these new indices.

**Proposition 1.2.** ([7]) *Let  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  be a pair of complementary  $N$ -functions and  $n \geq 2$ . Then:*

$$n\alpha_\Phi(n)\beta_\Psi(n) = 1 = n\alpha_\Psi(n)\beta_\Phi(n), \tag{3}$$

$$n\alpha_\Phi^0(n)\beta_\Psi^0(n) = 1 = n\alpha_\Psi^0(n)\beta_\Phi^0(n), \tag{4}$$

$$n\bar{\alpha}_\Phi(n)\bar{\beta}_\Psi(n) = 1 = n\bar{\alpha}_\Psi(n)\bar{\beta}_\Phi(n). \tag{5}$$

## 2. THE RELATIONS BETWEEN $J$ -CONVEXITY CONSTANTS AND VON NEUMANN-JORDAN CONSTANTS

In order to discuss the  $J$ -convexity constants, we need the  $n$ -th von Neumann-Jordan constants defined as follows.

**Definition 2.1.** *We define the  $n$ -th von Neumann-Jordan constants, for  $n \geq 2$ , by*

$$C_{NJ}^{(n)}(X) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n \left\| \sum_{h=1}^k z_h - \sum_{h=k+1}^n z_h \right\|}{n \sum_{i=1}^n \|z_i\|^2} : z_i \in X, \sum_{i=1}^n \|z_i\|^2 \neq 0 \right\}.$$

When  $n = 2$ ,  $C_{NJ}^{(2)}(X)$  is the von Neumann-Jordan constants of a Banach space  $X$  (see [8]).

**Theorem 2.1.**

(i) For any Banach space  $X$ , there holds

$$J(n, X) \leq \sqrt{nC_{NJ}^{(n)}(X)}. \quad (6)$$

(ii)  $J(n, X) < n$  if and only if  $C_{NJ}^{(n)}(X) < n$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in U(X)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} n \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k x_i - \sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i \right\|^2 &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k x_i - \sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i \right\|^2 \leq \\ &\leq C_{NJ}^{(n)}(X) n \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2 \leq \\ &\leq n^2 C_{NJ}^{(n)}(X). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) By (i), the sufficiency is clear. Now we prove the necessity.

$$\begin{aligned} C_{NJ}^{(n)}(X) &= \sup \left\{ \frac{\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k x_i - \sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i \right\|}{n \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2} : \{x_i\}_1^n \subset X \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2 \neq 0 \right\} = \\ &= \sup \left\{ \frac{\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k x_i - \sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i \right\|}{n \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|x_i\|^2 + 1 \right)} : \{x_i\}_1^n \subset X, \|x_i\| \leq \|x_n\| = 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $J(n, X) < n$ , there exists  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that

$$\sup \left\{ \inf_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k x_i - \sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i \right\| : \{x_i\}_1^n \subset U_X \right\} < n - \delta. \quad (7)$$

Suppose  $1 = \|x_n\| \geq \|x_i\| > 1 - \frac{\delta}{2(n-1)}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ). By (7), there is

$$\inf_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k x_i - \sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i \right\| < n - \delta.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that

$$\|x_1 - x_2 - \dots - x_n\| = \inf_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k x_i - \sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i \right\| < n - \delta.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k x_i - \sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i \right\|^2}{n \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|x_i\|^2 + 1 \right)} &= \frac{\|x_1 - x_2 - \dots - x_n\|^2 + \sum_{2 \leq k \leq n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k x_i - \sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i \right\|^2}{n \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|x_i\|^2 + 1 \right)} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{(n - \delta)^2}{n[(n - 1) \cdot (1 - \frac{\delta}{2(n-1)})^2 + 1]} + \frac{\sum_{2 \leq k \leq n} (\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|x_i\| + 1)^2}{n \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|x_i\|^2 + 1 \right)} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{(n - \delta)^2}{n[(n - 1) - \delta + \frac{\delta^2}{4(n-1)} + 1]} + \frac{\sum_{2 \leq k \leq n} n \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|x_i\|^2 + 1 \right)}{n \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|x_i\|^2 + 1 \right)} = \\ &= \frac{(n - \delta)^2}{n[n - \delta + \frac{\delta^2}{4(n-1)}]} + n - 1 < \frac{n - \delta}{n} + n - 1 = n - \frac{\delta}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

If there exists  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$  such that  $\|x_i\| \leq 1 - \frac{\delta}{2(n-1)}$ , we may, without loss of generality, assume that  $\|x_1\| \leq 1 - \frac{\delta}{2(n-1)}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k x_i - \sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i \right\|^2}{n \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|x_i\|^2 + 1 \right)} &\leq \frac{n(\|x_1\| + \|x_2\| + \dots + \|x_{n-1}\| + 1)^2}{n \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|x_i\|^2 + 1 \right)} = \\ &= n - \frac{n \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|x_i\|^2 + 1 \right) - (\|x_1\| + \|x_2\| + \dots + \|x_{n-1}\| + 1)^2}{\left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|x_i\|^2 + 1 \right)} = \\ &= n - \left\{ n \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|x_i\|^2 + 1 \right) - [\|x_1\|^2 + 2\|x_1\|(\|x_2\| + \dots + \|x_{n-1}\| + 1) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\|x_2\| + \dots + \|x_{n-1}\| + 1)^2] \right\} / \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|x_i\|^2 + 1 \right) = \\ &= n - \left\{ (1 - \|x_1\|)^2 + (\|x_1\| - \|x_2\|)^2 + \dots + (\|x_1\| - \|x_{n-1}\|)^2 + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (n - 1) \left( \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \|x_i\|^2 + 1 \right) - (\|x_2\| + \dots + \|x_{n-1}\| + 1)^2 \right\} / \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|x_i\|^2 + 1 \right) \leq \\ &\leq n - \frac{(1 - \|x_1\|)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|x_i\|^2 + 1} \leq n - \frac{[1 - (1 - \frac{\delta}{2(n-1)})]^2}{n} = n - \frac{\delta^2}{4n(n-1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$C_{NJ}^{(n)}(X) \leq \max \left\{ n - \frac{\delta}{n}, n - \frac{\delta^2}{4n(n-1)^2} \right\} < n.$$

□

### 3. LOWER BOUNDS FOR $J$ -CONVEXITY CONSTANTS IN ORLICZ SPACES

In this section, we will give lower bounds of  $J$ -convexity for three Orlicz spaces.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\Phi$  be an  $N$ -function and  $n \geq 2$ . Then:*

$$\max \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha_\Phi(n)}, n\beta_\Phi(n) \right] \leq J(n, L^{(\Phi)}[0, 1]), \tag{8}$$

$$\max \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha_\Phi^0(n)}, n\beta_\Phi^0(n) \right] \leq J(n, l^{(\Phi)}), \tag{9}$$

$$\max \left[ \frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}_\Phi(n)}, n\bar{\beta}_\Phi(n) \right] \leq J(n, L^{(\Phi)}[0, \infty)). \tag{10}$$

*Proof.* We only prove (8). The proofs of inequalities (9) and (10) are similar. By the definition of  $\alpha_\Phi(n)$ , there exists  $0 < u_k \nearrow \infty$  such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(u_k)}{\Phi^{-1}(nu_k)} = \alpha_\Phi(n).$$

So for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $k_0 \geq 1$  such that for any  $k \geq k_0$ , there is

$$\frac{\Phi^{-1}(u_k)}{\Phi^{-1}(nu_k)} < \alpha_\Phi(n) + \varepsilon. \tag{11}$$

Put  $u_0 = u_{k_0} > 1$ . Let  $G_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$  be non-overlapping subsets of  $[0, 1]$ , and  $m(G_i) = \frac{1}{nu_0}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ . Define  $x_i(t) = \Phi^{-1}(nu_0)\chi_{G_i}(t), 1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then  $\|x_i\|_{(\Phi)} = 1$ , and for any  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k x_i - \sum_{k+1}^n x_i \right\|_{(\Phi)} &= \Phi^{-1}(nu_0) \|\chi_{G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \dots \cup G_n}\|_{(\Phi)} = \\ &= \frac{\Phi^{-1}(nu_0)}{\Phi^{-1}(u_0)} > \frac{1}{\alpha_\Phi(n) + \varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$J(n, L^{(\Phi)}[0, 1]) > \frac{1}{\alpha_\Phi(n) + \varepsilon},$$

which proves (8), because  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary.

Now we prove that  $n\beta_\Phi(n) \leq J(n, L^{(\Phi)}[0, 1])$ . By the definition of  $\beta_\Phi(n)$ , for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$  we choose a  $v_0 > 1$  such that  $\frac{\Phi^{-1}(v_0)}{\Phi^{-1}(nv_0)} > \beta_\Phi(n) - \frac{\varepsilon}{n}$ . We divide  $[0, \frac{1}{v_0}]$  into  $n$  non-overlapping intervals  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  of the same length. Define:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(t) &= \Phi^{-1}(v_0) (\chi_{A_1} + \chi_{A_2} + \chi_{A_3} + \dots + \chi_{A_n}), \\ x_2(t) &= \Phi^{-1}(v_0) (-\chi_{A_1} + \chi_{A_2} + \chi_{A_3} + \dots + \chi_{A_n}), \\ x_3(t) &= \Phi^{-1}(v_0) ((-\chi_{A_1} - \chi_{A_2} + \chi_{A_3} + \dots + \chi_{A_n})), \\ &\dots\dots \\ x_n(t) &= \Phi^{-1}(v_0) (-\chi_{A_1} - \chi_{A_2} - \chi_{A_3} - \dots - \chi_{A_{n-1}} + \chi_{A_n}). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,  $\|x_i\|_{(\Phi)} = 1 (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ . For any  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^k x_i - \sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i \right\|_{(\Phi)} \geq \|\Phi^{-1}(v_0)n\chi_{A_k}\|_{(\Phi)} = \frac{n\Phi^{-1}(v_0)}{\Phi^{-1}(nv_0)} \geq n\beta_\Phi(n) - \varepsilon.$$

The latter implies that  $J(n, L^{(\Phi)}[0, 1]) \geq n\beta_\Phi(2^{n-1}) - \varepsilon$ . This proves that  $J_n(L^{(\Phi)}[0, 1]) \geq n\beta_\Phi(n)$ , because  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1.**

- (i) If  $\Phi \notin \Delta_2(\infty) \cap \nabla_2(\infty)$ , then  $J(n, L^{(\Phi)}[0, 1]) = n$ .
- (ii) If  $\Phi \notin \Delta_2(0) \cap \nabla_2(0)$ , then  $J(n, l^{(\Phi)}) = n$ .
- (iii) If  $\Phi \notin \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$ , then  $J(n, L^{(\Phi)}[0, \infty)) = n$ .

*Proof.* We only prove (i). If  $\Phi \notin \Delta_2(\infty)$ , then  $\beta_\Phi(n) = 1$  by Proposition 1.1. By (8), there is  $J(n, L^{(\Phi)}[0, 1]) = n$ . If  $\Phi \notin \nabla_2(\infty)$ , then  $\alpha_\Phi(n) = \frac{1}{n}$ . By (8), the same equality holds true.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** Let  $1 < p < \infty, L^p \in \{L^p[0, 1], L^p[0, \infty), l^p\}$ . Then for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \max \left\{ n^{\frac{1}{p}}, n^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \right\} &\leq J(n, L^p), \\ \max \left\{ n^{\frac{2}{p}-1}, n^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \right\} &\leq C_{NJ}^{(n)}(L^p). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We put  $\Phi_p(u) = |u|^p$ . Then  $L^{(\Phi)} = L^p$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{(\Phi)} = \|\cdot\|_p$ . The result is easy to verify.  $\square$

Similarly, for the Orlicz spaces with the Orlicz norm, the following theorem holds.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\Phi$  be an  $N$ -function,  $n \geq 2$  and  $\Psi$  be a complementary  $N$ -function for  $\Phi$ . Then:

$$\max \left[ n\beta_\Psi(n), \frac{1}{\alpha_\Psi(n)} \right] \leq J(n, L^\Phi[0, 1]), \tag{12}$$

$$\max \left[ n\beta_\Psi^0(n), \frac{1}{\alpha_\Psi^0(n)} \right] \leq J(n, l^\Phi), \tag{13}$$

$$\max \left[ n\bar{\beta}_\Psi(n), \frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}_\Psi(n)} \right] \leq J(n, L^\Phi[0, \infty)). \tag{14}$$

**Remark.** (i) By Proposition 1.2, there is

$$\max \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha_{\Phi}(n)}, n\beta_{\Phi}(n) \right\} = \max \left\{ n\beta_{\Psi}(n), \frac{1}{\alpha_{\Psi}(n)} \right\}.$$

That is to say that  $J(n, L^{(\Phi)}[0, 1])$  and  $J(n, L^{(\Psi)}[0, 1])$  have the same lower bounds etc.

(ii) If  $X_{\Phi}$  denotes one of the Orlicz spaces in Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, then

$$\sqrt{n} \leq J(n, X_{\Phi}).$$

In fact, assume that  $X_{\Phi} = L^{(\Phi)}$ , then by Theorem 3.1, there holds

$$\sqrt{n} \leq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha_{\Phi}(n)}, n\beta_{\Phi}(n) \right\} \leq J(n, X_{\Phi}).$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] B. Beauzamy, *Introduction to Banach spaces and their geometry*, Volume 68 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*, North-Holland, 2nd ed., 1985.
- [2] J. Wenzel, *Superreflexivity and  $J$ -convexity of Banach spaces*, *Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae*, Vol. LXVI, 1 (1997), 135–147.
- [3] R.C. James, *Some self dual properites of normed linear spaces*, Symposium on infinite dimensional topology, Baton Rouge, 1967, Volume 69 of *Annals of Mathematics studies*, 1972, 159–175.
- [4] J.J. Schäfler, K. Sundaresan, *Reflexivity and girth of spheres*, *Math. Ann.* 184 (1970) 3, 163–168.
- [5] Z.D. Ren, *Nonaquare constants of Orlicz space*, *Stochastic processes and Function Analysis*, edited by J.A. Goldstein, N. E. Gretskey and J. J. Uhl, Jr., Marcel Dekker, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Math. 186 (1997), 79–197.
- [6] S.T. Chen, *Geometry of Orlicz Spaces*, *Dissertationes Math.* 356 (1996), 1–204.
- [7] J. Wang, *On non- $l_n^{(1)}$  constants and  $n$ -th Von Neumann-Jordan constants for Orlicz spaces*, *Commentationes Mathematicae*, to appear.
- [8] M.M. Rao, Z.D. Ren, *Theory of Orlicz spaces*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1991.

Jincai Wang  
www.wangj@163.com

Suzhou University  
Department of Mathematics  
P.R. China, 215006

*Received: December 29, 2005.*