#### Danuta Jaruszewska-Walczak

# DIFFERENCE METHODS FOR INFINITE SYSTEMS OF HYPERBOLIC FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS ON THE HAAR PYRAMID

**Abstract.** We consider the Cauchy problem for infinite system of differential functional equations

$$\partial_t z_k(t,x) = f_k(t,x,z,\partial_x z_k(t,x)), k \in \mathbf{N}.$$

In the paper we consider a general class of difference methods for this problem. We prove the convergence of methods under the assumptions that given functions satisfy the nonlinear estimates of the Perron type with respect to functional variables. The proof is based on functional difference inequalities. We constructed the Euler method as an example of difference method.

**Keywords:** initial problems, infinite systems of differential functional equations, difference functional inequalities, nonlinear estimates of the Perron type.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 65M10, 65M15, 35R10.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

For any metric spaces X and Y we denote by C(X,Y) the class of all continuous functions from X into Y. Let  $\mathbf{N}$  and  $\mathbf{Z}$  denote the sets of natural numbers and integers respectively. Denote by  $\mathcal{S}^{\infty}$  the set of all real sequences  $p=(p_k)_{k\in\mathbf{N}}$ . For  $p=(p_k)_{k\in\mathbf{N}}\in\mathcal{S}^{\infty}$ ,  $\bar{p}=(\bar{p}_k)_{k\in\mathbf{N}}\in\mathcal{S}^{\infty}$  we write  $|p|=(|p_k|)_{k\in\mathbf{N}}$  and  $p\leq\bar{p}$  if  $p_k\leq\bar{p}_k$  for  $k\in\mathbf{N}$ . For  $p^{(m)}=(p_k^{(m)})_{k\in\mathbf{N}}\in\mathcal{S}^{\infty}$ ,  $m\in\mathbf{N}$  and  $p=(p_k)_{k\in\mathbf{N}}\in\mathcal{S}^{\infty}$  we put  $\lim_{m\to\infty}p^{(m)}=p$  if  $\lim_{m\to\infty}p_k^{(m)}=p_k$  for all  $k\in\mathbf{N}$ . Let E be the Haar pyramid

$$E = \{(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+n} : t \in [0, a), x \in [-b + Mt, b - Mt] \}$$

where a > 0,  $b = (b_1, ..., b_n)$ ,  $M = (M_1, ..., M_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ ,  $R_+ = [0, +\infty)$  and  $b_i > M_i a$ , i = 1, ..., n. Write

$$E_t = (([-r_0, 0] \times [-b, b]) \cup E) \cap ([-r_0, t] \times \mathbb{R}^n), \quad 0 \le t < a,$$

and

$$S_t = [-b, b] \text{ for } t \in [-r_0, 0], \quad S_t = [-b + Mt, b - Mt] \text{ for } t \in (0, a),$$

where  $r_0 \in R_+$ . Let  $\Omega = E \times C(E_0 \cup E, \mathcal{S}^{\infty}) \times R^n$  and suppose that  $f : \Omega \to \mathcal{S}^{\infty}$ ,  $f = (f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , and  $\varphi : E_0 \to \mathcal{S}^{\infty}$  are given functions. For a function  $z : E_0 \cup E \to \mathcal{S}^{\infty}$ ,  $z = (z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , and for a point  $(t, x) \in E$  we write

$$\partial_t z(t,x) = \left(\partial_t z_k(t,x)\right)_{k \in \mathbf{N}}, \quad f(t,x,z,\partial_x z(t,x)) = \left(f_k(t,x,z,\partial_x z_k(t,x))\right)_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$$

where  $\partial_x z_k = (\partial_{x_1} z_k, \dots, \partial_{x_n} z_k), k \in \mathbf{N}$ . We consider the Cauchy problem

$$\partial_t z(t, x) = f(t, x, z, \partial_x z(t, x)), \tag{1}$$

$$z(t,x) = \varphi(t,x) \text{ on } E_0.$$
 (2)

A function  $u: E_0 \cup E \to \mathcal{S}^{\infty}$ ,  $u = (u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , is called a classical solution of problem (1), (2) if:

- (i)  $u_k$  is continuous on  $E_0 \cup E$  and it is class  $C^1$  on E for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- (ii) u satisfies (1) on E and initial condition (2) holds.

The function  $f: \Omega \to \mathcal{S}^{\infty}$  is said to satisfy the Volterra condition if for each  $(t, x) \in E$ ,  $q = (q_1, \ldots, q_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and for  $z, \bar{z} \in C(E_0 \cup E, \mathcal{S}^{\infty})$  such that  $z(\tau, s) = \bar{z}(\tau, s)$  on  $E_t$  we have  $f(t, x, z, q) = f(t, x, \bar{z}, q)$ . Note that the Volterra condition means that the value of f at the point (t, x, z, q) depends on (t, x, q) and on the restriction of z to the set  $E_t$ . We assume that f satisfies the Volterra condition and we consider classical solutions of (1), (2).

A review of existence results for hyperbolic differential functional equations is given in [2]. Infinite systems of hyperbolic functional inequalities and conditions on uniqueness of classical solutions of problem (1), (2) are considered in [3].

In this paper we give a theorem on the convergence of the general one-step difference methods for the problem (1), (2). It is a generalization of the methods used in [4] and [5] on the case of infinite systems of differential functional equations.

### 2. FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENCE PROBLEMS

We formulate a difference problem corresponding to (1), (2). We denote by  $\mathbf{F}(A, B)$  the class of all functions defined on A and taking values in B, where A and B are arbitrary sets. For  $x, \bar{x} \in R^n$ ,  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $\bar{x} = (\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n)$ , we write  $x * \bar{x} = (x_1\bar{x}_1, \dots, x_n\bar{x}_n)$ . We define a mesh on the set  $E_0 \cup E$  in the following way.

Suppose that  $(h_0, h') = (h_0, h_1, \dots, h_n)$  stand for steps of the mesh. For  $h = (h_0, h')$  and  $(i, m) \in \mathbf{Z}^{1+n}$  where  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$  we define nodal points as follows:

$$t^{(i)} = ih_0, \quad x^{(m)} = \left(x_1^{(m_1)}, \dots, x_n^{(m_n)}\right) = m * h'.$$

Denote by  $\Delta$  the set of all  $h=(h_0,h'),\ h_i>0,\ 0\leq i\leq n$ , such that there are  $N=(N_1,\ldots,N_n)\in \mathbf{N}^n,\ \tilde{N}_0\in \mathbf{Z}$  with the properties:  $\tilde{N}_0h_0=r_0$  and N\*h'=b. We assume that  $\Delta\neq\emptyset$  and that there is a sequence  $\{h^{(j)}\},\ h^{(j)}\in\Delta$ , and  $\lim_{j\to\infty}h^{(j)}=0$ .

Let us fix  $h \in \Delta$ . There is  $N_0 \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $N_0 h_0 < a \le (N_0 + 1) h_0$ . Let

$$R_h^{1+n} = \left\{ \left( t^{(i)}, x^{(m)} \right) : (i, m) \in \mathbf{Z}^{1+n} \right\}$$

and  $E_{0.h} = E_0 \cap R_h^{1+n}$ ,  $E_h = E \cap R_h^{1+n}$ . We assume that  $h' \leq h_0 M$ . For a function  $z \colon E_{0.h} \cup E_h \to \mathcal{S}^{\infty}$  or for a function  $z \colon E_0 \cup E \to \mathcal{S}^{\infty}$  we write  $z^{(i,m)} = z\left(t^{(i)}, x^{(m)}\right)$ . Put

$$E_{i.h} = \left\{ \left( t^{(j)}, x^{(m)} \right) \in E_{0.h} \cup E_h : j \le i \right\}.$$

and

$$E'_h = \left\{ \left( t^{(i)}, x^{(m)} \right) \in E_h \colon \left( t^{(i)} + h_0, x^{(m)} \right) \in E_h \right\}.$$

The motivation for the definition of the set  $E'_h$  is the following. Approximate solutions of problem (1), (2) are functions  $u_h$  defined on  $E_h$ . We will write a difference system generated by (1) at each point of the set  $E'_h$ . It follows from condition  $h' \leq h_0 M$  that we calculate all the values of  $u_h$  on  $E_h$ .

For  $1 \leq j \leq n$  we write  $e_j = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , 1 standing on j-th place. We define the difference operators  $\delta_0$ ,  $\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n)$  in the following way:

$$\delta_0 w^{(i,m)} = \frac{1}{h_0} \left( w^{(i+1,m)} - w^{(i,m)} \right), \tag{3}$$

$$\delta_j w^{(i,m)} = \frac{1}{h_j} \left( w^{(i,m)} - w^{(i,m-e_j)} \right) \text{ for } 1 \le j \le \kappa,$$
 (4)

$$\delta_{j} w^{(i,m)} = \frac{1}{h_{j}} \left( w^{(i,m+e_{j})} - w^{(i,m)} \right) \text{ for } \kappa + 1 \le j \le n, \tag{5}$$

where  $1 \leq \kappa \leq n$  is a fixed natural number and  $w: E_{0.h} \cup E_h \to R$ . Let  $\Omega_h = E'_h \times \mathbf{F}(E_{0.h} \cup E_h, \mathcal{S}^{\infty}) \times R^n$  and suppose that  $f_h: \Omega_h \to \mathcal{S}^{\infty}$ ,  $f_h = (f_{h.k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , and  $\varphi_h: E_{0.h} \to \mathcal{S}^{\infty}$  are given functions. For a point  $(t^{(i)}, x^{(m)}) \in E'_h$  we define

$$\delta_0 z^{(i,m)} = \left(\delta_0 z_k^{(i,m)}\right)_{k \in \mathbf{N}}, \ f_h\left(t^{(i)}, x^{(m)}, z, \delta z^{(i,m)}\right) = \left(f_{h.k}(t^{(i)}, x^{(m)}, z, \delta z_k^{(i,m)})\right)_{k \in \mathbf{N}}.$$

We will approximate solutions of problem (1), (2) by means of solutions of the problem

$$\delta_0 z^{(i,m)} = f_h \left( t^{(i)}, x^{(m)}, z, \delta z^{(i,m)} \right),$$
 (6)

$$z^{(i,m)} = \varphi_h^{(i,m)} \text{ on } E_{0.h}$$
 (7)

where  $z = (z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . The function  $f_h$  is said to satisfy the Volterra condition if for each  $(t^{(i)}, x^{(m)}) \in E'_h$ ,  $q \in R^n$  and for  $z, \bar{z} \in \mathbf{F}(E_{0.h} \cup E_h, \mathcal{S}^{\infty})$  such that  $z = \bar{z}$  on  $E_{i.h}$  we have  $f_h(t^{(i)}, x^{(m)}, z, q) = f_h(t^{(i)}, x^{(m)}, \bar{z}, q)$ .

If  $f_h$  satisfies the Volterra condition then relation  $h' \leq h_0 M$  implies that there exists exactly one solution  $u_h \colon E_{0,h} \cup E_h \to \mathcal{S}^{\infty}$  of problem (6), (7).

We formulate general conditions for the convergence of the method (6), (7). Let I = [0, a) and

$$I_h = \{t^{(i)}: 0 \le i \le N_0\}, \ I'_h = I_h \setminus \{t^{(N_0)}\}, \ I_{0,h} = \{t^{(i)}: -\tilde{N}_0 \le i \le 0\}.$$

For  $\omega \in \mathbf{F}(I_{0.h} \cup I_h, \mathcal{S}^{\infty})$  we write  $\omega^{(i)} = \omega(t^{(i)})$ .

Now we formulate the main assumptions on  $f_h$ . First we introduce the following one.

**Assumption** H  $[\sigma_h]$ . Suppose that  $\sigma_h : I'_h \times \mathbf{F}(I_{0.h} \cup I_h, \mathcal{S}^{\infty}_+) \to \mathcal{S}^{\infty}_+$  where  $\mathcal{S}^{\infty}_+ = \{p = (p_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{S}^{\infty} : p_k \geq 0, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , and  $\sigma_h$  satisfies the conditions:

- 1)  $\sigma_h$  is nondecreasing with respect to the functional variable and fulfils the Volterra condition;
- 2)  $\sigma_h(t,\theta_h) = \mathbf{0}$  for  $t \in I_h'$  where  $\theta_h^{(i)} = \mathbf{0}$  for  $-\tilde{N}_0 \leq i \leq N_0$  and the difference problem

$$\eta^{(i+1)} = \eta^{(i)} + h_0 \sigma_h \left( t^{(i)}, \eta \right) \text{ for } 0 \le i \le N_0 - 1,$$
 (8)

$$\eta^{(i)} = 0 \text{ for } -\tilde{N}_0 \le i \le 0,$$
 (9)

is stable in the following sense: if  $\eta_h$  is a solution of the problem

$$\eta^{(i+1)} = \eta^{(i)} + h_0 \sigma_h \left( t^{(i)}, \eta \right) + h_0 \gamma(h) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \le i \le N_0 - 1,$$
(10)

$$\eta^{(i)} = \alpha_0(h) \quad \text{for} \quad -\tilde{N}_0 \le i \le 0 \tag{11}$$

where  $\gamma$ ,  $\alpha_0 \colon \Delta \to \mathcal{S}_+^{\infty}$  and  $\lim_{h\to 0} \gamma(h) = \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\lim_{h\to 0} \alpha_0(h) = \mathbf{0}$ , then there exists a function  $\beta \colon \Delta \to \mathcal{S}_+^{\infty}$  such that  $\eta_h^{(i)} \leq \beta(h)$  for  $0 \leq i \leq N_0$  and  $\lim_{h\to 0} \beta(h) = \mathbf{0}$ .

In the sequel we will need the following operator

$$V_h : \mathbf{F}(E_{0,h} \cup E_h, \mathcal{S}^{\infty}) \to \mathbf{F}(I_{0,h} \cup I_h, \mathcal{S}^{\infty}_{\perp}).$$

If  $z: E_{0,h} \cup E_h \to \mathcal{S}^{\infty}$ ,  $z = (z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , then  $V_h z = (V_h z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and

$$(V_h z_k)(t^{(i)}) = \max \left\{ \left| z_k^{(i,m)} \right| : \left( t^{(i)}, x^{(m)} \right) \in E_{0,h} \cup E_h \right\}, \quad -\tilde{N}_0 \le i \le N_0.$$

**Assumption** H  $[f_h, \sigma_h]$ . Suppose that  $f_h = (f_{h.k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies the Volterra condition and:

- 1) the derivatives  $\partial_q f_{h,k} = (\partial_{q_1} f_{h,k}, \dots, \partial_{q_n} f_{h,k})$  exist on  $\Omega_h$  and  $\partial_q f_{h,k}(t, x, z, \cdot)$   $\in C(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$  where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- 2) the estimates

$$\partial_{q_j} f_{h.k}(P) \le 0$$
 for  $1 \le j \le \kappa$ ,  $\partial_{q_j} f_{h.k}(P) \ge 0$  for  $\kappa + 1 \le j \le n$ 

and

$$1 - h_0 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{h_j} \left| \partial_{q_j} f_{h.k}(P) \right| \ge 0,$$

are satisfied for  $P=(t,x,z,q)\in\Omega_h,\;h\in\Delta,\;k\in\mathbf{N};$ 

3) Assumption H  $[\sigma_h]$  is satisfied and

$$|f_h(t, x, z, q) - f_h(t, x, \bar{z}, q)| \le \sigma_h(t, V_h(z - \bar{z})) \quad \text{on} \quad \Omega_h. \tag{12}$$

Now we formulate a general theorem on the convergence of the method (6), (7).

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that for all  $h \in \Delta$  Assumption H  $[f_h, \sigma_h]$  is satisfied and:

- 1) the function  $u_h: E_{0.h} \cup E_h \to \mathcal{S}^{\infty}$  is a solution of problem (6), (7) and there exists  $\alpha_0: \Delta \to \mathcal{S}_+^{\infty}$  such that  $\left| \varphi^{(i,m)} \varphi_h^{(i,m)} \right| \leq \alpha_0(h)$  on  $E_{0.h}$  and  $\lim_{h\to 0} \alpha_0(h) = \mathbf{0}$ ;
- 2) the function  $v: E_0 \cup E \to \mathcal{S}^{\infty}$  is a classical solution of problem (1), (2);
- 3) there exists a function  $\tilde{\beta} \colon \Delta \to \mathcal{S}_+^{\infty}$  such that

$$\left| f_h(t^{(i)}, x^{(m)}, v_h, \delta v_k^{(i,m)}) - f(t^{(i)}, x^{(m)}, v, \delta v_k^{(i,m)}) \right| \le \tilde{\beta}(h) \quad on \quad E_h', \tag{13}$$

and  $\lim_{h\to 0} \tilde{\beta}(h) = \mathbf{0}$  where  $v_h$  is the restriction of the function v to the set  $E_{0,h} \cup E_h$ .

Then there exists a function  $\tilde{\gamma} : \Delta \to \mathcal{S}_+^{\infty}$  such that

$$\left| u_h^{(i,m)} - v^{(i,m)} \right| \le \tilde{\gamma}(h) \quad on \quad E_h \quad and \quad \lim_{h \to 0} \tilde{\gamma}(h) = \mathbf{0}. \tag{14}$$

*Proof.* Let the function  $\Gamma_h \colon E'_h \to \mathcal{S}^{\infty}$ ,  $\Gamma_h = (\Gamma_{h,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , be defined by

$$v_k^{(i+1,m)} = v_k^{(i,m)} + h_0 f_{h.k} \left( t^{(i)}, x^{(m)}, v_h, \delta v_k^{(i,m)} \right) + h_0 \Gamma_{h.k}^{(i,m)} \quad \text{on} \quad E_h'.$$

It follows from (13) that there exists a function  $\gamma \colon \Delta \to \mathcal{S}_+^{\infty}$ ,  $\gamma = (\gamma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , such that  $\left| \Gamma_h^{(i,m)} \right| \leq \gamma(h)$  on  $E_h'$  and  $\lim_{h \to 0} \gamma(h) = \mathbf{0}$ . We define  $\omega_h \colon I_h \to \mathcal{S}_+^{\infty}$  by

$$\omega_h = V_h(v_h - u_h)$$

We prove that  $\omega_h$  satisfies the difference functional inequality

$$\omega_h^{(i+1)} \le \omega_h^{(i)} + h_0 \sigma_h(t^{(i)}, \omega_h) + h_0 \gamma(h), \quad 0 \le i \le N_0 - 1.$$
 (15)

The following estimates hold for  $(t^{(i)}, x^{(m)}) \in E'_h$ 

$$\left| (v_{j} - u_{h.j})^{(i+1,m)} \right| \leq$$

$$\leq \left| (v_{j} - u_{h.j})^{(i,m)} + h_{0} \left[ f_{h.j}(t^{(i)}, x^{(m)}, v_{h}, \delta v_{j}^{(i,m)}) - f_{h.j}(t^{(i)}, x^{(m)}, v_{h}, \delta u_{h.j}^{(i,m)}) \right] \right| +$$

$$+ h_{0} \left| f_{h.j}(t^{(i)}, x^{(m)}, v_{h}, \delta u_{h.j}^{(i,m)}) - f_{h.j}(t^{(i)}, x^{(m)}, u_{h}, \delta u_{h.j}^{(i,m)}) \right| + h_{0} \gamma_{j}(h) =$$

$$= \left| (v_{j} - u_{h.j})^{(i,m)} + h_{0} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\kappa} \partial_{q_{\nu}} f_{h.j}(P_{h.j}^{(i,m)}) \frac{1}{h_{\nu}} [(v_{j} - u_{h.j})^{(i,m)} - (v_{j} - u_{h.j})^{(i,m-e_{\nu})}] +$$

$$+ h_{0} \sum_{\nu=\kappa+1}^{n} \partial_{q_{\nu}} f_{h.j}(P_{h.j}^{(i,m)}) \frac{1}{h_{\nu}} [(v_{j} - u_{h.j})^{(i,m+e_{\nu})} - (v_{j} - u_{h.j})^{(i,m)}] \right| +$$

$$+ h_{0} \sigma_{h.j}(t^{(i)}, \omega_{h}) + h_{0} \gamma_{j}(h), \quad j \in \mathbf{N}$$

where  $P_{h,j}^{(i,m)} \in \Omega_h$  are intermediate points. It follows from condition 2) of Assumptions H  $[f_h, \sigma_h]$  that

$$\left| (v_{j} - u_{h.j})^{(i+1,m)} \right| \leq$$

$$\leq \left| (v_{j} - u_{h.j})^{(i,m)} \left[ 1 - h_{0} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \left| \partial_{q_{\nu}} f_{h.j} (P_{h.j}^{(i,m)}) \right| \frac{1}{h_{\nu}} \right] \right| +$$

$$+ h_{0} \omega_{h.j}^{(i)} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \frac{1}{h_{\nu}} \left| \partial_{q_{\nu}} f_{h.j} (P_{h.j}^{(i,m)}) \right| + h_{0} \sigma_{h.j} \left( t^{(i)}, \omega_{h} \right) + h_{0} \gamma_{j}(h) \leq$$

$$\leq \omega_{h.j}^{(i)} + h_{0} \sigma_{h.j} (t^{(i)}, \omega_{h}) + h_{0} \gamma_{j}(h), \quad j \in \mathbf{N}.$$

The above estimates imply (15). It follows that the initial inequality  $\omega_h^{(i)} \leq \alpha_0(h)$ ,  $-\tilde{N}_0 \leq i \leq 0$ , holds.

Let  $\eta_h: I_{o,h} \cup I_h \to \mathcal{S}_+^{\infty}$  be the solution of (10), (11). It follows from Assumption H  $[\sigma_h]$  that  $\omega_h^{(i)} \leq \eta_h^{(i)}$  on  $I_h$ . Now we obtain the assertion of Theorem 1 from the stability of problem (8), (9).

In Theorem 1 we have assumed that the functions

$$\operatorname{sign} \partial_a f_{h,k}(P) = (\operatorname{sign} \partial_{a_1} f_{h,k}(P), \dots, \operatorname{sign} \partial_{a_n} f_{h,k}(P)), \ k \in \mathbb{N},$$

are constant on  $\Omega_h$ . This condition can be omitted if we define difference operators  $\delta_0$ ,  $\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n)$  in the following way:

$$\delta_0 w^{(i,m)} = \frac{1}{h_0} \left( w^{(i+1,m)} - \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left( w^{(i,m+e_j)} + w^{(i,m-e_j)} \right) \right)$$
(16)

and

$$\delta_j w^{(i,m)} = \frac{1}{2h_j} \left( w^{(i,m+e_j)} - w^{(i,m-e_j)} \right), \ 1 \le j \le n, \tag{17}$$

where  $w: E_{0.h} \cup E_h \to R$ . Consider the difference method (6), (7) with the above given  $\delta_0$  and  $\delta$ .

**Assumption** H [ $f_h, \sigma_h$ ]. Suppose that the functions  $f_h$  and  $\sigma_h$  satisfy conditions 1) and 3) of Assumption H [ $f_h, \sigma_h$ ] and

$$1 - nh_0 \frac{1}{h_i} \left| \partial_{q_j} f_{h.k}(t, x, z, q) \right| \ge 0$$
 on  $\Omega_h$ 

where  $1 \le j \le n, k \in \mathbf{N}$ .

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that for all  $h \in \Delta$  Assumption  $\tilde{H}[f_h, \sigma_h]$  is satisfied and:

- 1) the function  $u_h: E_{0.h} \cup E_h \to \mathcal{S}^{\infty}$  is a solution of problem (6), (7) with  $\delta_0$  and  $\delta$  given by (16), (17), there exists  $\alpha_0: \Delta \to \mathcal{S}^{\infty}_+$  such that  $\left| \varphi^{(i,m)} \varphi^{(i,m)}_h \right| \leq \alpha_0(h)$  on  $E_{0.h}$  and  $\lim_{h\to 0} \alpha_0(h) = \mathbf{0}$ ;
- 2) the function  $v: E_0 \cup E \to S^{\infty}$  is a classical solution of problem (1), (2);
- 3) there exists a function  $\tilde{\beta} \colon \Delta \to \mathcal{S}_+^{\infty}$  such that estimate (13) is satisfied and  $\lim_{h\to 0} \tilde{\beta}(h) = \mathbf{0}$ .

Then there exists a function  $\tilde{\gamma} \colon \Delta \to \mathcal{S}_{+}^{\infty}$  such that conditions (14) are satisfied.

*Proof.* Let the function  $\Gamma_h \colon E'_h \to \mathcal{S}^{\infty}$ ,  $\Gamma_h = (\Gamma_{h.k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , be defined by

$$v_k^{(i+1,m)} = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left( v_k^{(i,m+e_j)} + v_k^{(i,m-e_j)} \right) + h_0 f_{h.k} \left( t^{(i)}, x^{(m)}, v_h, \delta v_k^{(i,m)} \right) + h_0 \Gamma_{h.k}^{(i,m)} \quad \text{on} \quad E_h'.$$

It follows from (13) that there exists a function  $\gamma \colon \Delta \to \mathcal{S}_+^{\infty}$ ,  $\gamma = (\gamma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , such that  $\left| \Gamma_h^{(i,m)} \right| \leq \gamma(h)$  on  $E_h'$  and  $\lim_{h \to 0} \gamma(h) = \mathbf{0}$ . If  $z_h = v_h - u_h$ ,  $z_h = (z_{h,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\omega_h = V_h(z_h)$  then the following inequalities are true on  $E_h'$ 

$$\left|z_{h.k}^{(i+1,m)}\right| \le \left|\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(z_{h.k}^{(i,m+e_j)} + z_{h.k}^{(i,m-e_j)}\right) + \right|$$

$$+h_0 \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{q_j} f_{h.k} \left( Q_{h.k}^{(i,m)} \right) \frac{1}{2h_j} \left[ z_{h.k}^{(i,m+e_j)} - z_{h.k} \right)^{(i,m-e_j)} \right] +$$

$$+h_0 \sigma_{h.k}(t^{(i)}, \omega_h) + h_0 \gamma_k(h) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2n} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n (z_{h.k}^{(i,m+e_j)} \left( 1 + nh_0 \frac{1}{h_j} \partial_{q_j} f_{h.k} \left( Q_{h.k}^{(i,m)} \right) \right) +$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^n z_{h.k}^{(i,m-e_j)} \left( 1 - nh_0 \frac{1}{h_j} \partial_{q_j} f_{h.k} \left( Q_{h.k}^{(i,m)} \right) \right) \right| + h_0 \sigma_{h.k}(t^{(i)}, \omega_h) + h_0 \gamma_k(h) \leq$$

$$\leq \omega_{h.k}^{(i)} + h_0 \sigma_{h.k}(t^{(i)}, \omega_h) + h_0 \gamma_k(h), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

where  $Q_{h.k}^{(i,m)} \in \Omega_h$  are intermediate points. Thus

$$\omega_{h.k}^{(i+1)} \le \omega_{h.k}^{(i)} + h_0 \sigma_{h.k}(t^{(i)}, \omega_h) + h_0 \gamma_k(h), \quad k \in \mathbf{N}$$

and the assertion of Theorem 2 follows in this same way as in the proof of Theorem 1.

#### 3. THE EXAMPLE OF THE DIFFERENCE SCHEME

Now we assume that  $h' = h_0 M$  and we consider functional differential problem (1), (2) and the difference system

$$\delta_0 z^{(i,m)} = f\left(t^{(i)}, x^{(m)}, T_h z, \delta z^{(i,m)}\right),\tag{18}$$

with the initial condition

$$z^{(i,m)} = \varphi_h^{(i,m)}$$
 on  $E_{0.h}$  (19)

where  $z = (z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $T_h z = (T_h z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $T_h : \mathbf{F}(E_{0.h} \cup E_h, R) \to C(E_0 \cup E, R)$  is the interpolating operator given in [1]. The operators  $\delta_0$ ,  $\delta$  are defined by (3)–(5) where  $1 \le \kappa \le n$  is given integer.

We will need the following operator  $V \colon C(E_0 \cup E, \mathcal{S}^{\infty}) \to C([-r_0, a), \mathcal{S}_+^{\infty})$ . If  $z \in C(E_0 \cup E, \mathcal{S}^{\infty}), z = (z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  then  $Vz = (Vz_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and

$$(Vz_k)(t) = \max\{|z_k(t,x)| : (t,x) \in E_0 \cup E\}, -r_0 \le t < a, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**Assumption** H  $[f, \sigma]$ . Suppose that the function  $f: \Omega \to \mathcal{S}^{\infty}$ ,  $f = (f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , is continuous, it satisfies the Volterra condition and:

- 1) there exists a continuous function  $\sigma: R_+ \times C([-r_0, a), \mathcal{S}_+^{\infty}) \to \mathcal{S}_+^{\infty}$  such that:
  - (i)  $\sigma$  is nondecreasing with respect to both variables, satisfies the Volterra condition and  $\sigma(t,\theta) = \mathbf{0}$  for  $t \in R_+$  where  $\theta(t) = \mathbf{0}$  on  $[-r_0,a)$ ,

(ii) the problem

$$\omega'(t) = \sigma(t, \omega), \quad \omega(t) = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{on} \quad [-r_0, 0]$$

is stable and  $\bar{\omega}(t) = \mathbf{0}$  for  $t \in R_+$  is the maximum solution of it;

2) for  $(t, x, q) \in E \times \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $z, \bar{z} \in C(E_0 \cup E, \mathcal{S}^{\infty})$  we have

$$|f(t,x,z,q) - f(t,x,\bar{z},q)| \le \sigma(t,V(z-\bar{z})); \tag{20}$$

- 3) the derivatives  $\partial_q f_k = (\partial_{q_1} f_k, \dots, \partial_{q_n} f_k)$  exist on  $\Omega$  and  $\partial_q f_k(t, x, z, \cdot) \in C(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$  where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- 4) the estimates

$$1 - h_0 \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{h_j} \left| \partial_{q_j} f_k(P) \right| \ge 0 \tag{21}$$

and

$$\partial_{q_j} f_k(P) \le 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \le j \le \kappa,$$
 (22)

$$\partial_{q_j} f_k(P) \ge 0 \quad \text{for } \kappa + 1 \le j \le n$$
 (23)

are satisfied for  $P=(t,x,z,q)\in\Omega,\,h\in\Delta$  and  $k\in\mathbf{N}.$ 

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that Assumption H  $[f, \sigma]$  is satisfied and:

- 1) for  $h \in \Delta$  the function  $u_h : E_{0.h} \cup E_h \to \mathcal{S}^{\infty}$  is a solution of problem (18), (19) with  $\delta_0$ ,  $\delta$  given by (3)–(5);
- 2)  $v: E_0 \cup E \to \mathcal{S}^{\infty}$ ,  $v = (v_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , is a solution of (1), (2),  $v_k$  is of class  $C^1$  on  $E_0 \cup E$  and it is of class  $C^2$  on E for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- 3) the derivatives of the second order of  $v_k$  are bounded on  $E, k \in \mathbf{N}$ ;
- 4) there exists a function  $\alpha_0 \colon \Delta \to \mathcal{S}_+^{\infty}$  such that  $\left| \varphi_h^{(i,m)} \varphi^{(i,m)} \right| \leq \alpha_0(h)$  on  $E_{0,h}$  and  $\lim_{h \to 0} \alpha_0(h) = \mathbf{0}$ .

Then there is a number  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and a function  $\tilde{\gamma} \colon \Delta \to \mathcal{S}_+^{\infty}$  such that we have for  $|h| < \varepsilon_0$ 

$$\left| u_h^{(i,m)} - v^{(i,m)} \right| \le \tilde{\gamma}(h) \quad on \ E_h \tag{24}$$

and  $\lim_{h\to 0} \tilde{\gamma}(h) = \mathbf{0}$ .

*Proof.* We prove that the function

$$f_h(t, x, z, q) = f(t, x, T_h z, q), \quad (t, x, z, q) \in \Omega_h,$$

satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem 1.

Let  $L_{h_0} : \mathbf{F}(I_{0.h} \cup I_h, \mathcal{S}^{\infty}) \to C([-r_0, a), \mathcal{S}^{\infty})$  be the operator given for  $\eta : I_{0.h} \cup U_h \to \mathcal{S}^{\infty}$ ,  $\eta = (\eta_k)_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$ , by  $L_{h_0} \eta = (L_{h_0} \eta_k)_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$  and

$$(L_{h_0}\eta_k)(t) = \eta_k^{(i+1)} \frac{t - t^{(i)}}{h_0} + \eta_k^{(i)} \left(1 - \frac{t - t^{(i)}}{h_0}\right) \quad \text{for } t^{(i)} \le t \le t^{(i+1)}$$

where  $-\tilde{N}_0 \le i \le N_0 - 1$  and

$$(L_{h_0}\eta_k)(t) = (L_{h_0}\eta_k)(t^{(N_0)})$$
 for  $t^{(N_0)} \le t < a$ .

Define  $\sigma_h : I_h' \times \mathbf{F}(I_{0,h} \cup I_h, \mathcal{S}_+^{\infty}) \to \mathcal{S}_+^{\infty}$  by

$$\sigma_h(t, w) = \sigma(t, L_{h_0} w). \tag{25}$$

Assumption (20) implies the estimates

$$|f_h(t, x, z, q) - f_h(t, x, \bar{z}, q)| = |f(t, x, T_h z, q) - f(t, x, T_h \bar{z}, q)| \le$$
  
  $\le \sigma(t, V(T_h z - T_h \bar{z})) = \sigma_h(t, V_h(z - \bar{z})) \text{ on } \Omega_h,$ 

which proves condition (12). It follows that the consistency condition (13) is satisfied (see Lemma 3.5 in [1]). We prove that the difference problem

$$\eta^{(i+1)} = \eta^{(i)} + h_0 \sigma_h(t^{(i)}, \eta) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \le i \le N_0 - 1, \tag{26}$$

$$\eta^{(i)} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad -\tilde{N}_0 \le i \le 0, \tag{27}$$

is stable in the sense of Assumption H  $[\sigma_h]$ . Let  $\eta_h: I_{0.h} \cup I_h \to \mathcal{S}_+^{\infty}$  be a solution of problem

$$\eta^{(i+1)} = \eta^{(i)} + h_0 \sigma_h(t^i, \eta) + h_0 \gamma(h) \quad \text{for } 0 \le i \le N_0 - 1, \tag{28}$$

$$\eta^{(i)} = \alpha_0(h) \quad \text{for } -\tilde{N}_0 \le i \le 0,$$
(29)

where  $\gamma$ ,  $\alpha_0: \Delta \to \mathcal{S}_+^{\infty}$  and  $\lim_{h\to 0} \gamma(h) = \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\lim_{h\to 0} \alpha_0(h) = \mathbf{0}$ . The above problem is equivalent to

$$\eta^{(i+1)} = \eta^{(i)} + h_0 \sigma(t^i, L_{h_0} \eta) + h_0 \gamma(h) \quad \text{for } 0 \le i \le N_0 - 1, \tag{30}$$

$$\eta^{(i)} = \alpha_0(h) \text{ for } -\tilde{N}_0 \le i \le 0.$$
(31)

Let  $\omega_h: [-r_0, a) \to \mathcal{S}_+^{\infty}$ ,  $\omega_h = (\omega_{h,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , be the maximum solution of the problem

$$\omega'(t) = \sigma(t, \omega) + \gamma(h), \quad \omega(t) = \alpha_0(h) \quad \text{for } t \in [-r_0, 0].$$

There exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that the solution  $\omega_h$  is defined on [0, a) for  $|h| < \varepsilon_0$  and

$$\lim_{h\to 0} \omega_h(t) = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{uniformly on } [0,a).$$

The functions  $\omega_{h,k}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , are convex on [0,a), therefore we have

$$\omega_h^{(i+1)} \ge \omega_h^{(i)} + h_0 \sigma \left( t^{(i)}, \omega_h \right) + h_0 \gamma(h) \quad \text{for } 0 \le i \le N_0 - 1.$$

Since  $\eta_h$  satisfies conditions (30), (31) and  $\eta_h^{(i)} = \omega_h^{(i)}$ ,  $-\tilde{N}_0 \leq i \leq 0$  then we have  $\eta_h^{(i)} \leq \omega_h^{(i)}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq N_0$ , which completes the proof of the stability of problem (26), (27). It follows from Theorem 1 that there is  $\tilde{\gamma} \colon \Delta \to \mathcal{S}_+^{\infty}$  such that estimation (24) is satisfied and  $\lim_{h\to 0} \tilde{\gamma}(h) = \mathbf{0}$ . This proves the Theorem 3.

Now we consider the equation 18 with the initial condition 19 where the operators  $\delta_0$ ,  $\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n)$  are given by (16), (17).

**Assumption** H'  $[f, \sigma]$ . Suppose that conditions 1)-3) of Assumption H  $[f, \sigma]$  are satisfied and the estimates

$$1 - nh_0 \frac{1}{h_j} \left| \partial_{q_j} f_k(t, x, z, q) \right| \ge 0, \quad \text{for } 1 \le j \le n, \ k \in \mathbf{N}, \ h \in \Delta, \tag{32}$$

hold on  $\Omega$ .

**Theorem 4.** Suppose that Assumption H'  $[f, \sigma]$  is satisfied and:

- 1) the function  $u_h: E_{0.h} \cup E_h \to \mathcal{S}^{\infty}$  is a solution of problem (18), (19) with  $\delta_0$ ,  $\delta$  given by (16), (17);
- 2) conditions 2)-4) of Theorem 3 hold.

Then there is a number  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and a function  $\tilde{\gamma} \colon \Delta \to \mathcal{S}_+^{\infty}$  such that we have for  $|h| < \varepsilon_0$ 

$$\left| u_h^{(i,m)} - v^{(i,m)} \right| \le \tilde{\gamma}(h) \quad on \ E_h \tag{33}$$

and  $\lim_{h\to 0} \tilde{\gamma}(h) = \mathbf{0}$ .

The proof of the above Theorem is analogous to Theorem 3. Details are omitted.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Jaruszewska-Walczak D., Kamont Z.: Numerical methods for hyperbolic functional differential problems on the Haar pyramid. Computing 65 (2000), 45–72.
- [2] Kamont Z.: Iterative methods for hyperbolic differential-functional problems. Discuss. Math. 13 (1993), 93–117.
- [3] Kamont Z.: Infinite systems of hyperbolic functional differential inequalities. Non-linear Anal. **51** (2002), 1429–1445.
- [4] Kowalski Z.: A difference method for certain hyperbolic systems of non-linear partial differential equations of the first order. Ann. Polon. Math. 19 (1967), 313–322.

[5] Kowalski Z.: On the difference method for certain hyperbolic systems of non-linear partial differential equations of the first order. Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 16 (1968), 297–302.

Danuta Jaruszewska-Walczak dana@math.univ.gda.pl

Uniwersytet Gdański Instytut Matematyki ul. Wita Stwosza 57, 80-952 Gdańsk, Poland

Received: March 30, 2004.